Date: June 18,

2025

Case No. 250104 Address: 67 Stewart St

#### Staff Report

The applicant has submitted an application for Siding for work at 67 Stewart St, a Contributing structure located in the Cleveland Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Melody Lopez

Owner: LOPEZ, MELODY KAYO

Constructed: c 1896-1897

#### CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

#### **General Factors:**

### 1. Historic significance of the resource:

This building is a contributing resource in the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District. It is significant for its historic association with the founding and initial period of growth of the town of Deadwood. Spurred by the tremendous mining boom of 1876, Deadwood grew quickly and became the first major urban center of western South Dakota. Deadwood's economic prominence during the late 1800s and early 1900s was reflected by the construction of a number of large residence such as this one. These houses displayed a variety of architectural styles: Queen Anne, Second Empire, Colonial, and even Gothic variants are found locally. Together, these houses are among the strongest reminders of Deadwood's nineteenth-century boom. Contemporary newspaper reports confirm that this house was build in 1896-97 for John H. Burns. Directories indicate that Burns resided in the house until sometime between 1909 and 1933. No other information about Burns is known, other than he worked as an attorney.

### 2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to replace the windows with new wood and replace the siding with smart siding.

**Attachments: Yes** 

Plans: Yes Photos: Yes

**Staff Opinion:** Staff is still reviewing the application and specifications of the replacement windows to determine if the proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource nor do they have an adverse effect on the historic character of the building listed as a contributing resource(s) in the State and National Register of Historic Places.

#### Motions available for commission action:

# A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project DOES NOT encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

#### **B**: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

#### C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to APPROVE the project as presented.