

Date: June 04, 2026

Case No. 260119
Address: 32 Lincoln Ave.

Staff Report

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 32 Lincoln Ave., a Noncontributing structure located in the Ingleside Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Scott Ericksen
Owner: ERICKSEN, SCOTT RERICKSEN, CHRISTINE M
Constructed: c 1881

CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying Project Approval:

General Factors:

1. Historic significance of the resource:

This late nineteenth century house has sustained several modern alterations, most notably the loss of its original mansard roof as well as replacement siding and windows, and a false dormer. Because of these modifications, the house has lost integrity and cannot contribute to the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District at this time.

2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to install an 8x5 resin plastic shed along the right side of the lot towards the back of the lot. Install a metal 6x8 gazebo along size of the garage in the back yard.

Attachments: Yes

Plans: No

Photos: Yes

Staff Opinion: The proposed gazebo and shed are easily reversable and typically, due to their construction, have a short life expectancy. Staff's opinion is these structures would be more compatible constructed of a wood material to fit the period and style of the neighborhood. The proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource or have an adverse effect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Historic Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.



Motions available for commission action:

A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project **DOES NOT** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

B: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.