

Case No. 260048  
Address: 890 Main St.

### **Staff Report**

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 890 Main St., a Contributing structure located in the Upper Main Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: John (Jack) and Jordan Clauff  
Owner: MURPHY, JORDAN KELSEYCLAUFF, JOHN TIGHE  
Constructed: c 1895

### **CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL**

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

#### **General Factors:**

##### **1. Historic significance of the resource:**

This building is a contributing resource in the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District. It is significant for its historic association with the founding and initial period of growth of the town of Deadwood. Spurred by the tremendous mining boom of 1876, Deadwood grew quickly and became the first major urban center of western South Dakota. This house displays the architectural characteristics common to working-class housing in pre-World War I Deadwood. These small, vernacular cottages, typically of foursquare or T-gable plan, occasionally featured limited Queen Anne detailing. A number of these houses survive today, scattered throughout Deadwood's neighborhoods.

##### **2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:**

The applicant is requesting permission to repair areas of the front porch. The primary focus of the repair is along the facade below the second story railing. Another focus of the repair will be to replace our current first floor deck boards with Trex composite tongue and groove boards. A railing will be added along the porch steps. Gutters will also be added. Ne lattices will be added to replace the existing ones. The goal of this project is to restore the porch consistent with its original architectural style and historic character.

**Attachments: yes**

**Plans: no**

**Photos: yes**

#### **Staff Opinion:**

Staff conducted a site visit with the owners to review their request. The proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage or destroy a historic resource or have an adverse effect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Historic Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.



**Motions available for commission action:**

**A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:**

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project **DOES NOT** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

---

**If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:**

**B: First Motion:**

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

**C: Second Motion:**

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.