

Case No. 230060 Address:
130 Charles St.

Staff Report

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 130 Charles St., a contributing structure located in the Cleveland Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Rick Ensminger
Owner: ENSMINGER, RICK L & BARBARA L
Constructed: c 1938

CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

General Factors:

1. Historic significance of the resource:

This building is a contributing resource in the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District. It is significant for its historic association with the growth and economic activity which took place in Deadwood and the northern Black Hills from the late 1920s until World War II. Spurred by resurgence in local mining activity, Deadwood experienced a period of expansion and new construction during these decades not seen since the nineteenth century. In Deadwood, residential construction from this period commonly borrowed from one or more earlier, traditional forms. These houses could display elements of Tudor, Colonial, or Cape Cod design.

2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to remove the existing deck on the back of the structure. Replace with new deck of same dimensions and design. Plan to use a composite material of matching color of house.

Attachments: Yes

Plans: Yes

Photos: Yes

Staff Opinion:

The proposed changes is a replacement of deteriorated materials on the existing deck on the rear of the resource, the deck was approved previously by the Deadwood Historic Preservation Commission. The proposed work and changes does not encroach upon, damage or destroy a historic resource nor does it have an adverse effect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Historic Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.



Motions available for commission action:

A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project **DOES NOT** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

B: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.