

**PSTAFF ANALYSIS  
REZONING REQUEST  
*Unified Zoning Ordinance***

**ZONING CASE:** Kobayashi Healthcare Inc. is petitioning the City of Dalton for the de-annexation of Parcel 13-025-11-000 totaling 12.32-acres zoned *Heavy Manufacturing (M-2)*.

The surrounding uses and zoning are as follows: Rural Residential to the north, Heavy Manufacturing to the east, south, and west. *The subject property is adjacent to the City of Dalton boundary along its eastern and southern boundaries, and adjacent to the unincorporated County to the north and east. The petitioner has requested to de-annex their property from the City of Dalton to unincorporated Whitfield County.*

**CONSIDERING FACTORS FOR ANNEXATION ANALYSIS**

**(A) Whether the proposed amendment would allow a use that is generally suitable for the site compared to other possible uses and whether the proposed change is consistent with the established land use pattern and zoning of adjacent and nearby property.**

The proposed annexation would be suitable considering that the parcel is bounded by the unincorporated county along two of its property boundaries. No concerns regarding the creation of an unincorporated county island would result if the requested annexation is approved.

**(B) Whether the proposed annexation would adversely affect the economic value of adjacent and nearby property.**

There is no expected impact on the economic values of adjacent and nearby property as the underlying zoning and land use will not change if the subject property is annexed.

**(C) Whether the subject property has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned, considering the suitability of the subject property of the proposed zoned uses.**

The annexation will not change the character of the subject property, nor will it influence the character of the area.

**(D) Whether there is relative gain to the health, safety, morals, or general welfare to the public as compared to any hardship imposed upon the individual owner under the existing zoning.**

No impact. Under the Unified Zoning Ordinance, there will not be any change in zoning designation, but the jurisdiction will change. The petitioner is applying to de-annex the subject property from the City of Dalton into unincorporated Whitfield County, so it is their choice to leave the jurisdiction of the City of Dalton.

**(E) Whether the proposed amendment, if adopted or approved, would result in a use which would or could cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, schools, sewers, water resources, police and fire protection, or other utilities, as contrasted with the impact under the existing zoning.**

No impact is expected on services are expected. Sewer, water, police, and fire services are already available at the site via automatic aide agreements.

**(F) Whether the property sought to be rezoned (or annexed) is in conformity with the policy and intent of the adopted joint comprehensive plan or equivalent. If not, has the plan already been amended, officially or unofficially, by the development of uses which are contrary to the plan recommendation, and if the plan has been amended, does this reasoning or annexation request allow uses which are compatible to the existing uses in the vicinity.**

This property's annexation would not conflict with the Comprehensive Plan, or its Future Development Map, based on the existing development of the subject property. The subject property is within the *Industrial* character area, which is a shared character area for both Whitfield County and the City of Dalton. The purpose of this character area is to accommodate medical and healthcare related services. Altering the jurisdictional boundary of this area would have no impact in regard to the character of the area.

**(G) Whether there are any other conditions or transitional patterns affecting the use and development of the property to be rezoned or annexed, which give grounds for approval or disapproval of the proposed zoning proposal. Whether the proposed zoning change constitutes an "entering wedge" and is a deterrent to the use, improvement, or development of adjacent property within the surrounding zone districts or would create an isolated, unrelated district (spot zoning) as interpreted by current Georgia law.**

While there are some adjacent parcels that will remain in the unincorporated county, no county islands will result from this annexation if it is approved.

**(H) Whether the subject property, as currently zoned, is vacant and undeveloped for a long period of time, considered in the context of land development in the vicinity or whether there are environmental or cultural factors, like steep slopes, flood plain, stormwater, or historical issues that influence the development of the subject property under any zoning designation.**

N/A

**Conclusion:** Staff recommend approval for the annexation of parcel 13-025-11-000.

Reasons for approval:

- 1) The subject property is adjacent to the unincorporated county boundary to the north and east property boundaries.
- 2) De-annexation will not create an unincorporated County island .

- 3) There is no proposed change in current use, including zoning for the parcel due to the Unified Zoning Ordinance shared by the City and County.