EVALUATION SUMMARY Dacula City Core GENERAL CONTRACTOR April <u>23</u>, 2025



EVALUATION REVIEWER	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
Reviewer 1	80	86	44	60	7.5
Reviewer 2	82	96	39	62	67
Reviewer 3	77	88	45	57	67
Reviewer 4	82	96	50	54	78
Reviewer 5	80	91	44	55	75
Reviewer 6	62	78	44	42	54
Reviewer 7					,
TOTAL	463	<i>5</i> 35	266	<i>330</i>	416
RANKING	2	1	5	4	3

Brittni Nix, AICP, City Administrator

Stephen Mayer, Director of Finance

NAME MARGARET BEATY REVIEW DATE 04/23/25 REVIEWER NO. 1



Each reviewer will complete one of these forms for the firms being evaluated. Rate each firm by awarding all or part of the assigned weight, as appropriate

	10	15	20	25					
PRORATED NUMBERS BELOW FOR SCORING									
Score for Rating for Weight Above									
Excellent	10	15	20	25					
Above Average	7	11	15	19					
Average	5	7	10	13					
Below Average	2	3	5	7					
Unacceptable	0	0	0	0					

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINT VALUE	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
SECTION A - BID PROPOSAL FORM	25	19	25	7	13	13
SECTION B - FINANCIAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS HISTORY	20	20	20	5	10	20
SECTION C - RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	15	((1.1	7	15	15
SECTION D - PROJECT TEAM/REFERENCES	10	5	10	5	7	7
SECTION E - PROPOSER'S EVALUATION/PROJECT APPROACH	20	15	10	10	5	10
SECTION F - QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF SUBCONTRACTORS	10	10	10	10	10	10
TOTALS	100	80	86	44	60	75
	RANK	2	1	5	4	3



REVIEW DATE 4/23/25 REVIEWER NO. 2



Each reviewer will complete one of these forms for the firms being evaluated. Rate each firm by awarding all or part of the assigned weight, as appropriate

	10	15	20	25				
PRORATED NUMBERS BELOW FOR SCORING								
Score for Rating for Weight Above								
Excellent	10	15	20	25				
Above Average	7	11	15	19				
Average	5	7	10	13				
Below Average	2	3	5	7				
Unacceptable	0	0	0	0				

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINT VALUE	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
SECTION A - BID PROPOSAL FORM	25	19	25	7	13	13
SECTION B - FINANCIAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS HISTORY	20	15	20	5	15	15
SECTION C - RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	15	lI	0 1	7	15	15
SECTION D - PROJECT TEAM/REFERENCES	10	7	10	5	7	7
SECTION E - PROPOSER'S EVALUATION/PROJECT APPROACH	20	20	20	10	5.	10
SECTION F - QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF SUBCONTRACTORS	10	10	10	5	7	7
TOTALS	100	82	96	39	62	67
	RANK	2.	ĺ	5	4	3

REVIEW DATE 4/23/25 REVIEWER NO.

Each reviewer will complete one of these forms for the firms being evaluated. Rate each firm by awarding all or part of the assigned weight, as appropriate

	10	15	20	25					
PRORATED NUMBERS BELOW FOR SCORING									
Score for Rating for Weight Above									
Excellent	10	15	20	25					
Above Average	7	11	15	19					
Average	5	7	10	13					
Below Average	2	3	5	7					
Unacceptable	0	0	0	0					

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINT VALUE	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
SECTION A - BID PROPOSAL FORM	25	19	25	7	13	13
SECTION B - FINANCIAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS HISTORY	20	20	20	5	10	20
SECTION C - RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	15		11	[]	15	15
SECTION D - PROJECT TEAM/REFERENCES	10	5	10	5	107	7
SECTION E - PROPOSER'S EVALUATION/PROJECT APPROACH	20	15	15	10	5	5
SECTION F - QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF SUBCONTRACTORS	10	7	7	7	7	7
TOTALS	100	77	<i>8</i> 8	45	57	67
	RANK	2		5	4	3

NAME GOY	A	a	A	P	M

EVIEW DATE 4 23 29 REVIEWER N

DACULA

Each reviewer will complete one of these forms for the firms being evaluated. Rate each firm by awarding all or part of the assigned weight, as appropriate

	10	15	20	25				
PRORATED NUMBERS BELOW FOR SCORING								
Score for Rating for Weight Above								
Excellent	10	15	20	25				
Above Average	7	11	15	19				
Average	5	7	10	13				
Below Average	2	3	5	7				
Unacceptable	0	0	0	0				

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINT VALUE	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
SECTION A - BID PROPOSAL FORM	25	25	19	13	13	19
SECTION B - FINANCIAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS HISTORY	20	20	10	10	R	19
SECTION C - RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	15	1	11	7	11	15
SECTION D - PROJECT TEAM/REFERENCES	10	9	10	9	9	7
SECTION E - PROPOSER'S EVALUATION/PROJECT APPROACH	20	15	w	ID	10	ら
SECTION F - QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF SUBCONTRACTORS	10	10	10	9	5	7
TOTALS	100	82	94	50	54	78
	RANK	2	l	5	4	3

NAME	Kevin	Whigham	REVIEW DA

ATE 4 23 25 REVIEWER NO.__

Each reviewer will complete one of these forms for the firms being evaluated. Rate each firm by awarding all or part of the assigned weight, as appropriate

	10	15	20	25				
PRORATED NUMBERS BELOW FOR SCORING								
Score for Rating for Weight Above								
Excellent	10	15	20	25				
Above Average	7	11	15	19				
Average	5	7	10	13				
Below Average	2	3	5	7				
Unacceptable	0	0	0	0				

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINT VALUE	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
SECTION A - BID PROPOSAL FORM	25	19	25	7	13	13
SECTION B - FINANCIAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS HISTORY	20	20	20	5	15	20
SECTION C - RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	15	11	11	7	7	15
SECTION D - PROJECT TEAM/REFERENCES	10	5	10	5	5	7
SECTION E - PROPOSER'S EVALUATION/PROJECT APPROACH	20	15	15	10	5	10
SECTION F - QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF SUBCONTRACTORS	10	Į D	10	6	10	10
TOTALS	100	80	91	44	55	75
	RANK	2		5	4	3

NAME Stephen	Wayes	REVIEW DATE 4-23-25	REVIEWER NO.	()
Each reviewer will com	plata and of these f	arms for the firms being avaluated Data	anala firma la c	



Each reviewer will complete one of these forms for the firms being evaluated. Rate each firm by awarding all or part of the assigned weight, as appropriate

	10	15	20	25						
PRORATED NUMBERS BELOW FOR SCORING										
Score for Rating for Weight Above										
Excellent	10	15	20	25						
Above Average	7	11	15	19						
Average	5	7	10	13						
Below Average	2	3	5	7						
Unacceptable	0	0	0	0						

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINT VALUE	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	REEVES YOUNG
SECTION A - BID PROPOSAL FORM	25	19	25	7	13	13
SECTION B - FINANCIAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS HISTORY	20	20	20	10	B	10
SECTION C - RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	15	3	11	7	7	41
SECTION D - PROJECT TEAM/REFERENCES	10	5	7	0.5	2	5
SECTION E - PROPOSER'S EVALUATION/PROJECT APPROACH	20	0	0	10	10	10
SECTION F - QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF SUBCONTRACTORS	10	5	5	5	5	5
TOTALS	100	62	78	44	42	54
	RANK					

CITY OF___

BID TABULATION FORM

Dacula City Core 2500 Sanjo Street, Dacula, GA 30019

RANK	BIDDER	ADD #1	ADD #2	ADD #3	ADD #4	BID BOND	Connector	Base Proposal for BRIDGE CONNECTOR	ON ENVELOPE	BASE PROPOSAL, Without Allowances	Total ALLOWANCES	TOTAL BASE PROPOSAL, Including Proposal For BRIDGE CONNECTOR, CORRECTION ON ENVELOPE AND ALLOWANCES
2,	GRAHL CONSTRUCTION		V	V	1		36,313,040	380,000	34,000 ALT	36,693,040	6,396,960	43,090,000
9	BOWEN & WATSON CONSTRUCTION	V	V		/		37,908,418	260,000	750.00 ALTI -1,716,000	36,452,418	3,831,582	40,284,000
5	KEVIN PRICE CONSTRUCTION	/	V.	-12,614	V	V	39,609,000	513,311.70	-12,614	40,009,697.7	8,065,885.32	48,675,583.02
3	MANHATTAN CONSTRUCTION	V	V	V			38,856,000	586,288	85,000 ALT1	39, 442,288	6,349,000	45,791, 288
4	REEVES YOUNG	V	V	V	V	/	40,400,000	637.099	48,078 ALT 9	41,037,099	5,434,472.90	46,471,571.90

Brittni Nix, ACIP, City Administrator

Trey King, Mayor//
Stephen Major, Finance Director