RFQ Scoring Meeting CDBG-2023 Engineering Services November 30, 2022 @ 1:00PM

SELECTION REVIEW COMMITTEE

Name	Title/Office/Position
1. Ernest Jackson	City Council member-Pct 3
2. Butch Calvert	Project/Brant Manager
3. John Angerstein	City Administrator
4.	,

Committee Chair (Signature)

CITY OF CROCKETT BID TALLY SHEET

CONTRACT

Sealed Bid
 Sealed Bid

☐ Telephone Bid

☐ Letter Bid

BID DESCRIPTION: CDBG - DRP 2023 Engineering Services

BID OPENING DATE/TIME: November 30, 2022 at 1:00 PM

Bid Opener Name and Signature

Grant Re	ecipient Crty or Crocket TxCDBG Contract No		t No.
	Respondent // // /	Date of Rating 11/30/20/22	
	or's Name BUTCH CALVERT	.	7777
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following area		Comments
	Factor Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1.	3/F - 1/2/2015	20	<u> 2Ø</u>
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	er	10
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	5
	Subtotal, Experience	60	6 <i>(</i>)
Work Pe	erformance		
B	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	2.5
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting		<i>U</i>
Capacity	y to Perform		
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	.5***
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15
TOTAL S	SCORE		
	Factor	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Experience	60	<u>60</u>
	Work Performance	25	25
	Capacity to Perform	15	
	Total Score	100	

Grant Recipient City of CROCKETT		TxCDBG Contract No.		
Name of	Respondent	Date of Rating 11/30/2422		322
Evaluato	or's Name BUTCH CALVERT			
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following are	as:		Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designed LIASTEW ATER type of projects	20	س	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selectic criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	per	10	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	Ø	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	5 ¢	
Work Pe	erformance			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	ng past/current clients.		
Canacit	y to Porform			
Capacity	<u>y to Perform</u> <u>Factor</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u>ocore</u> E	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
· 0.	Tolessional hability insurance to in toles	· ·	_5_	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	50	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	9\$	

	Respondent / KSA	TxCDBG Contraction Date of Rating _	ct No. 11-30-22	
Evaluato	r's Name Ernest Jockson			
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	s:		Comments
EXPONO	Factor "Hel	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, the compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	er	10	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work Pe	rformance			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	.5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
0	A. Danfarra			
Capacity	to Perform	Max.Pts.	Cooro	
1.	Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>wax.rts.</u> 5	Score	
1. 2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
2. 3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u> </u>	
ა.	Professional hability insurance is in force	3	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
囡	Experience	60	60	
d	Work Performance	25	25	
1	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	160	

Grant Re	cipient City OF Crockett	TxCDBG Contrac	ct No.	
Name of	Respondent <u>SPI</u>	Date of Rating _	11/30/22	
	r's Name <u>Ernest Jackson</u>		(1	
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	ıs:		Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	10	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selectic criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	er	15	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	1	
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	0	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	55	
Work Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	g past/current clients.		
0	to Danfarra			
Capacity	v to Perform	Max.Pts.	Score	
4	Factor Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>Max.r ts.</u> 5		
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
过	Experience	60	\$ 55	
ď	Work Performance	25	25	
ď	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	95	

Grant Re		TxCDBG Contrac		
	me of Respondent KSA Engineers Date of Rating 11/30/02		11/30/05	
Evaluato	's Name John Angerstein			
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	s:		Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selectio criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	er	(0	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	_10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Capacity	v to Perform			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.		5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	63	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	(00)	

Name of I	cipient <u>City of Crockett</u> Respondent <u>SPI Engineers</u> 's Name <u>John Angelstein</u>	TxCDBG Contract Date of Rating	et No. <i> 30 </i> 300	13-
Experien	ce Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	ıs:		Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
1.	Has previously designed Washington type of projects	20	<u>20 </u>	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selectic criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, a compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	er	10	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	0	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	55	
Work Pe	rformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	GI	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	g past/current clients.		
0	. 4. Daufaum			
Сараспу	<u>r to Perform</u> <u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	<u> </u>	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
2. 3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
ა.	Professional hability insurance is in force	Ū	_5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	60	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	100 5	95.