

MINUTES OF THE WORK SESSION
CITY COUNCIL OF CREST HILL
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS
August 26, 2024

The August 26, 2024, the City Council Work Session was called to order by Mayor Raymond R. Soliman at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 20600 City Center Blvd. Crest Hill, Will County, Illinois.

The following Council members were present: Mayor Raymond Soliman, City Clerk Christine Vershay-Hall, City Treasurer Glen Conklin, Alderman Scott Dyke, Alderman Darrell Jefferson, Alderwoman Claudia Gazal, Alderperson Tina Oberlin, Alderman Mark Cipiti, Alderman Nate Albert, Alderperson Joe Kubal.

Absent Council Members: Alderwoman Jennifer Methvin.

Also Present were: Interim Administrator Tony Graff, Police Chief Ed Clark, Deputy Chief Jason Opiola, Interim Finance Director Erica Waggoner, Interim Community Development Director Ron Mentzer, Interim Employee Relations Dave Strahl, City Attorney Mike Stiff.

Absent were: City Engineer Ron Wiedeman, Interim Public Works Director Mike Eulitz, Interim Planner Maura Rigoni, Building Commissioner Don Seeman.

Attorney Stiff commented that the agenda that was posted on Friday had a duplicate item, which was the consideration of the Annexation Ordinance for Plum and Caton Farm. He then commented that a new agenda was posted, subject to a motion to amend, since there is no action that can be taken tonight because it is a Work Session meeting, they have struck the second of the duplicate items. He then stated that in place of the duplicate item there was another matter that should have been on the agenda, which was the recommendation for rezoning and setback variation for the property at Plum and Caton Farm Road.

Attorney Stiff requested a motion to amend the agenda to discuss these topics and no action will be taken, just discussion.

(#1) Motion by Alderperson Oberlin seconded by Alderwoman Gazal, to Approve the Amendment Change as Requested.

On roll call, the vote was:

AYES: Ald. Dyke, Jefferson, Gazal, Oberlin, Cipiti, Albert, Kubal.

NAYES: None.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

There being seven (7) affirmative votes, the MOTION CARRIED.

TOPIC: Liquor License 21109 Division Street Mima's Sazon LLC

Mayor Soliman commented that Mirta Roxborough, owner of Mima's Sazon, is requesting a liquor license for her restaurant located at 21109 Division Street. Mayor Soliman commented that the two Deputy Liquor Commissioners, and the Mayor had met with the owner, Mirta, on August 8, 2024, and everything is in order.

Mirta Roxborough approached the podium and introduced herself. She stated that it is a Cuban Restaurant, and she would like to sell beer and wine to her patrons who eat there. It is not a bar; it is a restaurant where she would like to serve beer or a glass of wine while eating. She commented that she would like to have a special event every three months and it would be small events with sitting music, no disco or dancing, and this would be to unwind and listen to some Cuban music with a glass of wine.

Her restaurant is very clean and very family oriented. She commented that she has six grandchildren, and they are very family oriented.

Mayor Soliman commented for clarification they discussed beer, wine, and champagne. Mirta commented she would like to have a Sunday brunch and serve champagne but that would be down the road.

Mirta commented that Mima Sazon's hours of operation are Tuesday through Saturday 11:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. and Sunday 10:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m. and closed on Monday. If there was a small event it would be held from 7:00 p.m. until 10:00 p.m. on a Saturday.

Mirta explained that Mima is her mother and Sazon is seasoning, her mother's seasoning.

Mayor Soliman commented that this is a restaurant license and mayor's choice. He then informed Mirta that she still must get the State Liquor License and when she receives the State License, she will need to bring a copy to the city along with the rest of her payment. Mayor Soliman gave Mirta her City Liquor License at the meeting.

TOPIC: Josh Hassert Legislative Update – Grocery Tax and Retail Sales Tax

Josh Hassert commented that one of the grants that they have been working on very hard for five plus years for the City Center Building has finally cleared all hurdles and received the million-dollar grant. Josh also commented that City Engineer Ron Wiedeman has worked very hard with that grant paperwork to get all this finalized.

Josh spoke about the grocery tax and local sales tax which is part of House Bill 3144. The Grocery Tax comes back to the municipalities and part of the negotiations with IML, and the Governor's Office was allowing Home Ruled and Non-Home Ruled communities to enact their own grocery tax locally and would not be until January of 2026. This would be by board vote at a 1% grocery tax. He then stated that the earliest date to file for this is October of 2025. This is allowing Non-Home Rule Municipality to vote by the Council for the 1% and not by referendum. This can be implemented right away by Board vote since the Governor has already signed the bill.

Alderwoman Gazal commented that she believes Attorney Sanchi did this for Minooka. Attorney Stiff commented that some of their other municipal clients are acting on this right away even though you have until October of 2025 to get a passed ordinance down to the Department of Revenue in Springfield. Attorney Stiff commented that to get this effective January 1, 2026, when the grocery tax goes away at the state level you would have to have your ordinance passed and down to the Department of Revenue by October 1, 2025.

Alderwoman Gazal and Alderperson Oberlin both commented and agreed that they believe while it is fresh that we should have this on the next Work Session agenda and start acting on it now.

Josh commented that the sales tax does not have to go up to 1%, it could be in .25% increments.

Interim Administrator Graff commented that we should not move too fast on the grocery tax and compare with other municipalities and the increments need to be talked about.

Alderwoman Gazal commented that she agrees and does not want to increase 1% tax especially with inflation right now but has no problem with the Retail Sales Tax being moved forward.

Alderwoman Gazal asked about an update for the money from the outstanding bill from Stateville. Josh commented that Stateville is willing to pay the original amount of \$814,000.00 but the documentation for the \$905,000.00 they are not accepting because the paperwork that was submitted was not thorough enough, and they would like more information.

City Treasurer Glen Conklin commented that it has been submitted and resubmitted, but he believes we need to look at the bigger picture and forego that difference considering what is going on with Stateville. Alderwoman Gazal asked for any idea of when they will close and Josh commented that the court ruling set September 30, 2024, as the date.

Alderman Cipiti asked when they tear the current building down will they be putting the new building on the same footprint or close to it. Josh commented he is not sure at this time, and it is in the planning phase now.

TOPIC: Introduction of Interim Finance Director Erica Waggoner

City Treasurer Glen Conklin introduced Erica Waggoner to the Council. She will be our Interim Finance Director, and she is doing well.

Erica thanked the Council for the opportunity to work with them and she knows her time will be briefer than anyone wants here but we can see how it goes, and she is very excited to be here and hopes she can be helpful.

Many welcomed Erica Waggoner to the City of Crest Hill.

TOPIC: Update Personnel Manual

Tuition Reimbursement Policy - Interim Human Resource Manager Dave Strahl presented and discussed the current tuition policy and has offered suggestions to change the language regarding tuition reimbursement. He also presented a tuition reimbursement application form which was not in place previously.

Alderwoman Gazal asked to explain it more. Interim Manager Strahl commented if there is tuition reimbursement that is offered there is no guarantee that the city is obligated to pay for additional classes once a course of study is started and there is no obligation that the city will pay for the education and putting a cap on the cost of the tuition such as the average cost of a public university. He also commented that the employee will need to acknowledge that they understand the policy outlined in the manual for repayment if necessary.

Alderwoman Gazal asked if we are still paying 100%. Interim Manager Strahl commented that based on the schedule outlined in the manual it is still the same based on the grades but repayment from the employee will depend on how they stay employed with the City of Crest Hill. The repayment would be on a tier program and if they stay three years, there would be no reimbursement to the city.

Alderman Oberlin commented that it needs to be stated in the policy that the courses will be done on the employee's time and not while on city time.

Alderman Jefferson asked if we could make sure that the universities that the employee attends is accredited by the State or National College Board.

It was stated that there is a new policy reimbursement form that will need to be signed by the department head and the city administrator.

Alderman Oberlin asked if the Council can be notified if an employee will be taking a class. It was stated that the last time this happened the policy was ignored. Interim Manager Strahl commented that the original policy never had a sign-off and approval policy which should have been standard and was not. Attorney Stiff commented that once an application for the policy is signed off the department head should be required to notify the Council in a report or something, but department head should be the one to keep the Council informed.

Alderman Jefferson would like to see that there is a Human Resources approval on the application.

Alderman Dyke commented that he feels the problem is getting the money back from them once they leave the city. Even though they sign and acknowledge they must reimburse the city, we still must chase them for the money. He then asked if there is anyway until full reimbursement is made, that hold back their vacation pay or any pay. Interim Manager Strahl commented that he would investigate that, but another option would be a promissory note of some type and specified in that contract where any benefits payable upon termination would be withheld as part of repayment. Alderman Dyke commented that he still foresees the city having to pay attorney fees. He then asked if we could reverse the agreement, and we pay them the percentage owed that year until paid off in the three years. Interim Manager Strahl commented we could but that would be a lot of accounting and bookkeeping, and no one would utilize this benefit.

Attorney Stiff commented that because of the accounting nightmare many organizations have eliminated this type of program. He also commented that you need to be careful in what you can withhold from earnings and the Department of Labor frowns upon holding final wages earned.

It was stated that this would be on the September 16th agenda.

Meal Reimbursement Policy - Interim Manager Strahl commented that this came up after discussion with the Police Officers regarding confusion on meal reimbursement. He then commented that he looked at the two policies within the personnel manual and the contract and there were terms used interchangeably but mean two different things. He suggested clarifying within the policy itself that there are no written receipts, and now have a verifiable receipt before processing reimbursement and make sure it is an itemized receipt.

He then commented that the question of per diem vs. reimbursement was also mentioned and that is a policy question and how you want to do that. He then commented that he would suggest per diem since it is much cleaner.

Alderman Cipiti commented that if per diem would be given and no receipts involved and whether they ate three meals or not they would just get a cash amount per day for their travel, and he was told that is correct. Interim Manager Strahl commented that it is a maximum of \$50.00 per day, so they are not eating at high-end places. Alderman Jefferson commented that with today's restaurant prices, a per diem is a cheaper way to go without asking for receipts.

This will also be on the September 16, 2024, agenda.

TOPIC: Approval to Upgrade On-Duty Police Pistol to 9mm Glock

Police Chief Ed Clark commented why they believe we should go from the 40-caliber platform that they are currently using now to the 9mm Glock. They would be using their asset forfeiture funds and CPAT funds if the Council approves, so it is a free and clear cost. You will see cost savings and there are benefits from the platform that we will move to with accuracy and efficiency.

Deputy Chief Jason Opiola commented that the platform they are using now was purchased in 2014 and Glock recommends switching every eight years and we are moving into year ten with the current platform we have.

There are benefits of moving to the 9mm, basic technology has increased tremendously over the years and a basic 9mm round is just as effective in terms of utilization and penetration as a 40-caliber.

They buy 10,000 training rounds a year and that saving alone switching platforms will be \$1,477.60 on the ammo costs alone and over five years that is a savings of approximately \$8,865.60 a year.

From a tactical standpoint, when back-up is called, which is Will County and City of Joliet, they carry the 9mm round as well. After many years of study it has shown that the 40-caliber we use causes some compression which causes additional recoil which can at times cause less accuracy. The 9mm has less costs in terms of replacement parts due to less compression on the slide that is generated. The sighting system that is recommended is also more beneficial for sight and increases accuracy for the officers.

From a safety standpoint this switch makes sense not only for cost effective wise but tactical, as well.

Alderman Oberlin commented that for the safety of our residents and our officers she would be happy to approve this.

Mayor Soliman asked for an informal vote to approve the request from the Police Department for an upgrade from pistols to a 9mm Glock.

AYES: Ald. Dyke, Jefferson, Gazal, Oberlin, Cipiti, Albert, Kubal.

NAYES: None.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

This will be on the September 3, 2024, agenda.

TOPIC: Approval Request of an Ordinance Authorizing the Sale

Police Chief Ed Clark commented that they currently have ten (10) shot guns that are old, and he would like to surplus these guns.

Alderman Dyke asked if these are the guns that are part of the trade in towards the new guns, Chief Clark commented that they are, and we would also have surplus for the guns they are upgrading. Deputy Chief Opiola commented that they will come back with a breakdown for the Council's review.

Mayor Soliman asked for an informal vote.

AYES: Ald. Kubal, Albert, Cipiti, Oberlin, Gazal, Jefferson, Dyke.

NAYES: None.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

This will be on September 3, 2024, agenda.

Chief Clark asked for volunteers for the Neighbors Night Out Event.

TOPIC: Plan Commission Recommendation for Multiple Variances – 1940 Sybil Drive

Interim Community Development Director Ron Mentzer commented that he is looking for directions to prepare an ordinance to approve multiple variations for the property at 1940 Sybil Drive. The property owner, Scott McFedries, had begun construction or completed construction of multiple accessory structures on the property without permits. The Building Commissioner found the construction the homeowner was doing while on an inspection of the property across the street from McFedries. The four accessory structures are a swimming pool, deck, patio, and a shed that was constructed too close to property lines and in a utility easement that is not allowed by the zoning ordinance. Also, collectively with these structures they have increased the lot coverage on the property to 58% and under the zoning ordinance provisions maximum lot coverage for that zoning district is 50%. The applicant did have a unanimous recommendation through the Plan Commission on August 8, 2024. The recommendation was subject to three conditions. These conditions are similar to the conditions on the approval of the variation on the large shed on Noonan Street that was constructed without a permit.

The owner will need to acknowledge that the pool, shed, deck, and patio are located within a stormwater management and public utility easement along the rear property line. It is understood and accepted that those structures could be impacted and if any utility company or the city need to access the easement, any cost associated with the access and/or moving or replacing the accessory structure will be at the cost of the owner.

The owner will obtain all required building permits for all four (4) of these structures and the owner will be responsible for paying all fees and fines associated with after-the-fact building permits and the penalty permit fee is triple the cost of what the permit fee would have been.

The variances approved would only apply to the structures that now exist on the property or in the process of being constructed and these variances would not apply if any replacement of these structures needed to be done in the future.

Scott McFedries approached the podium and explained he purchased his home thirty-two years ago and all he has ever tried to do is beautify his home. He then apologized and commented that twenty-five years ago he did not realize he had to pull permits. He stated that he called the city to ask if he needed permits to replace the pool and the deck, and the city was not aware that they never pulled permits in the past. The homeowner stated that he is at fault and would like to make amends, and he is retired, and this is his forever home. He also commented that he would appreciate it if he could receive his variances.

Alderman Dyke commented that he has a concern with the encroachment on the neighbor's property, even though the neighbor is fine with that. Attorney Stiff commented that that would be a private dispute between the two owners, and this will be dealt with in a closing if they decide to sell the house in the future. This would not be a city problem it would be Mr. McFedries' problem.

Alderdwoman Gazal commented that she had a resident in her ward and the variance was not granted. The city made him pay the fees and the Council did not grant him anything. He ended up paying the fees, selling the shed and starting all over. She then commented that if you set precedence for one you cannot pick and choose, you have opened the door.

Scott McFedries commented that the shed was a dog run that he poured a concrete pad for, and he did not want to rip the concrete out, so he built a shed on it. He also commented that if he could move the shed without damaging the shed and place it elsewhere, he would but he cannot.

Mrs. McFedries commented that they are willing to take financial responsibility if a new neighbor moves in and does not want that on his property, they will move it at their cost or anything else we need to correct.

Attorney Stiff commented that the city cannot approve the encroachment on the neighbor's property but can make a decision for the other mistakes. This is noted that they are not asking the City to give approval for the deck.

It was stated that our City Engineer Ron Wiedeman has looked at the property and there are no utilities in the easement, and he does not believe this will affect drainage.

Scott McFedries and his wife commented that they just want to make things right, he was not aware he needed to have a permit and stated that it is his fault, but he wants to make it right.

Plan Commission Chairman Bill Thomas commented that this was a tough decision by the Plan Commission, but the question was what purpose it would serve if we had them move the shed. He then commented that the applicant paying the \$900.00 penalty will serve as a lesson to them. He also commented that this home is the kind of home you want in the City of Crest Hill, it is incredible.

Mayor Soliman asked for an informal vote to agree with the Plan Commission on the recommendation to approve the variances.

AYES: Ald. Oberlin, Cipiti, Albert, Kubal, Dyke, Jefferson.

NAYES: Ald. Gazal.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

This will be on the September 3, 2024, agenda.

TOPIC: Update of Chapters 1.20 Administrative Citation

Interim Community Development Director Ron Mentzer commented that the Community Development staff are proposing revisions to the City Code to identify those sections to the City Code that have connection to the Community Development Department and identify where there are disconnects between those sections of the code. Specifically, where those sections identify specific staff titles or operational structures or procedures that are not consistent with what is going on in the organization now. Then they would be bringing forward to the City Council a number of amendments to correct those disconnects.

They have started revising chapters 120, 124, and 128 which are the references to departments, individual positions, and procedural requirements to accurately reflect what goes on in the organization currently.

Attorney Stiff commented that he has met with them twice and it follows the municipal code and some of the codes have to say certain things that we must follow even though we are a Non-Home Rule Community.

Mayor Soliman asked for an informal vote to amend the chapters.

AYES: Ald. Gazal, Jefferson, Dyke, Kubal, Albert, Cipiti, Oberlin.

NAYES: None.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

This will be on the agenda September 3, 2024.

TOPIC: Annexation of the Vacant Property at the Northwest Corner of Caton Farm and Plum Street

Interim Community Development Director Ron Mentzer commented that this is an annexation of a 16,800 square foot vacant lot on the northwest corner of Caton Farm Road and Plum Street. The applicant did apply as the ordinance states to have the property disconnected from the Plainfield Fire Protection District and at the same time, they applied for the property to be annexed into the Lockport Fire Protection District. The Plainfield Fire Protection District denied that request and the property will remain in the Plainfield Fire Protection District. The property owner would like to annex it into the city of Crest Hill.

Raphael Prado, the owner of the property, approached the podium and commented that he wants to make sure that all protocols are followed correctly and wants to move forward with the house.

Mayor Soliman asked for an informal vote to prepare an ordinance approving the annexation of the vacant property into the City of Crest Hill.

AYES: Ald. Kubal, Albert, Cipiti, Oberlin, Gazal, Jefferson, Dyke.

NAYES: None.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

TOPIC: Plan Commission Recommendations for Rezoning and Setback Variations

Interim Community Development Director Ron Mentzer commented that under State Statute when a property is annexed in it is automatically annexed into the most restrictive zoning classification and in this case that is R-1A District. The R-1A District requires a 20,000 square foot minimum lot size and the subject lot size is only a 16,800 square foot lot size which would make the property unbuildable without a special relief. They had advised from staff perspective that the applicant should request upon annexation that they be rezoned from R-1A to R-1B zoning. The property would comply with the R-1B sizing restriction, and the applicant did make that request along with a front yard setback variance. This would reduce the front yard setback requirement along the Caton Farm frontage from thirty feet to twenty feet. There was a Public Hearing before the Plan Commission and there was unanimous approval of the rezoning recommendation upon annexation and approval for the setbacks.

Mayor Soliman asked for an informal vote to approve the rezoning from R-1A to R-1B and a front yard setback variance.

AYES: Ald. Oberlin, Cipiti, Albert, Kubal, Gazal, Jefferson, Dyke.

NAYES: None.

ABSENT: Ald. Methvin.

This would be on the agenda September 3, 2024.

TOPIC: Community Development Director Open Position - Update

Interim City Administrator Tony Graff commented that there were four candidates interviewed and we have gone through three of the candidates. There are several options which are we can continue with the person we had talked to, do an in-house recruitment, or contract with GovHR for outreach which is a \$7,000.00 cost.

The final candidate's last request was more so assurance he would have the job past the election, and we could not give that type of assurance since it is an appointment by the Mayor. The candidate did say he would stop in and contact Interim Director Mentzer if he came to town and discuss the position with him.

Alderman Oberlin asked if this was the candidate that they received emails about. Interim Administrator Graff commented that it is not, that it is the fourth candidate that was interviewed. The Council was not aware of the candidate that was being discussed. Interim Administrator Graff commented that he will forward the information to the Council.

Alderman Dyke asked if Jim is the candidate in question; without saying a last name. Interim Administrator Graff commented that was correct.

Several Council members commented that they cannot decide tonight until they get the information from Interim Administrator Graff on the applicants resume and info.

TOPIC: City of Crest Hill – Public comment Policy Discussion

City Attorney Mike Stiff commented that he believes the summer of 2022 this was discussed, and he believes there was question about individuals recording meetings themselves. He found a draft ordinance on his computer, and it was never formally voted on. He also commented that Alderwoman Gazal requested this to be on the agenda to be discussed.

Attorney Stiff also commented that he found emails regarding this from the prior City Administrator, Jim Marino, with other ordinances from Centralia and Peru as examples and their public comment policy is codified in their Code of Ordinance which ours is not.

Alderwoman Gazal asked why have a policy if we do not follow it. City Attorney Stiff commented that at another municipality where there were issues between Council members and issues with public comment much like the issues we have here and that Village Attorney there was tasked with running a timer and he would enforce it.

Alderwoman Gazal commented that she does not want to stop a resident from speaking since that is their right, but she has a problem when it becomes a personal attack.

Mayor Soliman commented that in his sixteen years as Mayor there have been several people who have spoken longer than three minutes, most of them have and he has cut people off in the past and he has always taken the attitude if someone was at the podium that they had a right to speak. In most cases, we would manage the issue right after the meeting was over or set an appointment with them to speak to them later to find solutions. He also commented that if most of the Council would like to enforce the three-minute rule he does not have a problem with that, but it would need to be controlled by the attorney with a stopwatch and stop anyone who is over the time limit.

Alderwoman Gazal asked what about the people who give a personal attack while at the podium and are allowed to continue. Mayor Soliman commented that he had no idea that was going to take place.

Mayor Soliman also commented that many people have come to that podium and berated him, and he never cut them off or stopped them and most spoke for longer than three minutes. He then stated someone even left the dais and went to the podium and spoke for nine minutes and he never stopped them even when it was about him.

Alderwoman Gazal commented that it was her and it was under the new business section and not the public comment section and she likes to look people in the face when she addresses them.

Alderperson Oberlin commented that to her knowledge the person who did the personal attack has never lived in the city or paid taxes and they spoke of personal issues that were not true and it is inexcusable and had nothing to do with running the city. She also commented that she took great offense that the Mayor allowed it.

Alderman Cipiti commented that he does not have a problem with allowing someone to speak more than three minutes if they are being respectful, but if someone gets up there and starts personally attacking someone then the person presiding over the meeting, which is the Mayor, should stop this and this is the area that he is failing in.

Alderman Albert stated that he would like to see consistency. If it is truly a public comment, then it is just that and not pick and choose who we respond to or engage with.

Attorney Stiff commented that this is an area where the public can state their issue and their comment and sit down and if there is an engagement that needs to happen then it needs to happen at another time. He also stated our current policy has no indication on the document when it was passed and is not dated.

Attorney Stiff would like some feedback from the Council before he does more research. He also commented that he has seen five-minute policies but usually nothing more than that.

Alderman Gazal asked if we could add something on the agenda so the residents know that we cannot engage with them during the public comment section. Attorney Stiff commented that he is happy to draft whatever the Council would like but maybe when the Mayor is introducing that topic, he could explain the public comment policy.

Alderman Jefferson commented that we have six bullet points and none of them tell you what you can and cannot say or be respectful with your comment. We will not be able to police public comment because if a person is full of emotion you are going to get that. He asked the Council what we are aiming to do with this policy since it is non-discriminatory the way it reads now. Attorney Stiff commented that he has given you samples and can get a few more and pick and choose what you like about them and let us come back and have a discussion.

It was suggested to have a public comment section at the beginning of the meeting and at the end of the meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Stuart Soifer, a resident, asked what the address on Plum Street would be because in his subdivision the address of the house is on the side of the house and the part of the house with the numbers on it with the door is on a different street. He was told the narrower frontage of the property was the front of the house and where the address must go but it is really the back or side of the house.

He also commented that going back to the earlier topic regarding the variances he stated that around twenty years ago he applied for a permit for a deck and a shed and was denied because the shed did not pass the setback off the easement. He then said he now knows what to do and that is just to do it because everyone else does it, so he is going to put his shed up now.

Chairman Bill Thomas commented that he wanted to share what Carillon Lakes has done about the public comment section. He commented that they do not allow questions for their open meetings. He also commented that he does not think the Council wants the public to

complain about everything they want to do and to have a section for that and then a section for comment on an agenda item.

MAYOR'S UPDATES:

Mayor Soliman commented that he received a resignation letter from Alderman Albert resigning from the Crest Hill Event's Committee. This position will be open. The other alderman on the committee is Alderman Cipiti. He then asked if any Council member would like to join Alderman Cipiti on the Event's Committee, please let him know.

Alderman Albert read his resignation letter that he had given the Mayor.

COMMITTEE/LIAISON UPDATES:

There were none.

CITY ADMINISTRATOR UPDATES:

Interim Administrator Graff announced that mosquito spraying will happen on Wednesday night and then one more spraying after this one depending on the weather.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:51pm.

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2024.
As presented _____
As amended _____

CHRISTINE VERSHAY-HALL, CITY CLERK

RAYMOND R. SOLIMAN, MAYOR