

#### Addressing the front doors to the Rest Haven:

1. The front doors more than meet the minimum ADA width requirements, but open inwards to the building. The doors are set about 8" from the exterior of the building and the framing and trim are wood that can be easily modified and components mounted if needed compared to brick framing.
2. I checked to see if the projecting decorative brick benchlike structure that a person would have to pass by to get to the front doors interfered with the sidewalk width and confirmed it does not.
3. This is the best option for allowing accessibility to the facility. The double doors, even if reconfigured to open outwards would still allow enough room to satisfy the 60"x60" turn radius square that is minimally required for ADA accessibility, assuming the 36" continuous width.
4. The big hangup on this, according to HLPC, is that it isn't possible / feasible to be able to open both doors at once. There are options for double door openers, just not through the vendors HLPC went to for quotes.

#### Addressing the side door accessibility concept for the Rest Haven:

1. This would require reframing the door as it satisfies the 32" width at point, but not the 36" width continuously. The door opens inward and would have to continue to do so without losing space in the breezeway. This potentially reduces the amount of usable space in the Rest Haven. Having the door open outward would require removing 4" of brick around the frame and reduce the area needed for the turn radius square.
2. Additionally, the former breezeway wall/door supports would need to be removed or significantly altered to allow for the minimum 60"x60" turn radius square. This turn area would have to remain even if the breezeway becomes enclosed.



FROM BUILDINGS  
DO NOT TO  
BE ENTERED OR  
USED IN  
ANY MANNER  
WITHOUT A  
PERMIT  
VIOLATORS WILL BE  
PROSECUTED







