



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.


| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <u>Date of Meeting:</u> | December 12, 2022 |
| <u>Completion Rate:</u> | 90% of Board members completed (9 of 10) |

Evaluation of Meeting Components:

Board members rate effectiveness and efficiency of four components from 1 to 4. Ratings signify 1 = “poor”, 2 = “fair”, 3 = “good”, and 4 = “excellent”. All meeting components received favorable ratings of either “good” or “excellent”. The *Materials Provided*, *Meeting Facilitation*, and *Presentations* meeting components received higher than average ratings and *CTAC Staff* received a slightly below average rating. Appreciation for staff and positive feedback were received on the presentations and efforts made.

| Meeting Component | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------|
| Date of Meeting | Materials Provided | Meeting Facilitation | CTAC Staff | Presentations |
| December 12, 2022 | 3.78 ↑ | 3.89 ↑ | 3.78 ↓ | 3.78 ↑ |
| Average Rating (January – November) | 3.65 | 3.77 | 3.79 | 3.69 |


Materials Provided (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)



Comments:


- Many inconsistencies & incorrect or missing info. (Hardt).

Meeting Facilitation (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)




Comments: None Received.

CTAC Staff (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)



Comments: None Received.

Presentations (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)



Comments: None Received.

Finally, Board members are able to provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Great presentations. Our director is doing an excellent job keeping the Board informed of our outreach effort. Kristy Goldwire continues to do an excellent job in her role. (Cole-Smith).
- Thank you for providing the program funding spreadsheet. (Cornell).
- Thanks for finding a way to move funds to needed health services. (Hardt).
- Merry Christmas & Happy Holidays! I hope Santa recognized how wonderful and valuable y'all are to Alachua County and rewards you with all you deserve! (Pinkoson).

Are there any items, presentations, or other information you would like placed on a future Board agenda?

- Technology: Bridging the digital divide. Let's continue to look at ways in which we can enrich the technology training for children and parents in this community. (Cole-Smith).