



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	November 13, 2023
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	88% of Board members completed (7 of 8)¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components:


Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “poor”, 2 = “fair”, 3 = “good”, and 4 = “excellent”. All meeting components received a higher-than-average rating. Nearly all meeting components received a rating of “excellent”. One Board member made a positive remark regarding the information and presentations. One Board member who attended virtually indicated difficulty hearing. A Board member suggested including a strategic framework one-pager in each board packet as a reminder of agreed upon priorities.

Date of Meeting	Meeting Component			
	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
November 13, 2023	4.00 ↑	3.86 ↑	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.70	3.82	3.83	3.78

¹ Eight Board members attended in-person or virtually on 11/13/2023, seven (7) of which completed a survey.

Materials Provided (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	



A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings for 'Materials Provided'. The chart is entirely dark green, indicating that 100% of the ratings were 'Excellent'.


Rating	Percentage
Excellent	100%

Comments:

- I think it would be helpful to have the strategic framework (one-pager, ideally) in every board packet - to remind us of our agreed upon funding priorities. (Chance).

Meeting Facilitation (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	3.86
Certain	4	
Chance	3	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	



A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings for 'Meeting Facilitation'. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment for 'Excellent' (86%) and a smaller light green segment for 'Good' (14%).


Rating	Percentage
Excellent	86%
Good	14%

Comments:

- It was difficult to hear, but it could have been my lack of technological skills, so I am still giving high marks. (Pinkoson).

CTAC Staff (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)


Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	



Comments: None Received.

Presentations (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	



Comments: None Received.

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Our CTAC team is extraordinary! Enjoy Thanksgiving! =). (Andrew).
- Good information and presentations. (Twombly).

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

- None Received.