Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

Date of Meeting:	**September 9, 2024**
Completion Rate:	**89%** of Board members completed (8 of 9)[1]

Evaluation of Meeting Components:

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = "*poor*", 2 = "*fair*", 3 = "*good*", and 4 = "*excellent*". All Board members provided ratings of "excellent" for Materials Provided, Meeting Facilitation, and CTAC Staff. All meeting components received a higher-than-average rating. Board members complimented staff on preparation of materials. Suggestions were received on the presentation of longitudinal data metrics and the inclusion of a fiscal one-pager by goal.

	Meeting Component			
Date of Meeting	**Materials Provided**	**Meeting Facilitation**	**CTAC Staff**	**Presentations**
September 9, 2024	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑	3.88 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.74	3.83	3.87	3.80

---

[1] Nine Board members attended in-person or virtually on 9/9/2024, eight (8) of which completed a survey.

Materials Provided (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	4.00
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	

Excellent 100%

Comments: None received.

Meeting Facilitation (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	4.00
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	-	
Twombly	4	

Excellent 100%

Comments: None received.

CTAC Staff (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		
Certain	4		
Chance	4		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	4.00	Excellent 100%
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

Comments:
- Love the idea of starting to track longitudinal data, but we might want to limit that to just a few metrics, so it isn't overwhelming to staff. (Chance).
- Excellent! (Labarta).
- All were very prepared to answer all questions posed. (Twombly).

Presentations (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		
Certain	4		
Chance	3		Good 12%
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.88	
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		Excellent 88%
Twombly	4		

Comments:
- I think it would be helpful to have a one-pager in each board packet with the goal areas and % of budget allocated, awarded, unfunded in each category. (Chance).
- Great job Bonnie! Thank you for pulling together all this data. (Twombly).

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Excellent preparation of materials and presentations by our outstanding CTAC team! (Andrew).

- Related to sharing with afterschool providers the State of Florida new buildresiliency.org resource, there is a resiliency coach credential coming online - those interested can sign up to be notified when it is available. (Chance).

- Thank you for your thorough back up material. (Pinkoson).

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

- None received.