



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	June 8th, 2026
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	78% of Board members completed (7 of 9) ¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components


Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”

Board members provided “excellent,” “good,” and “fair” ratings for Materials Provided and “excellent” and “fair” ratings for Meeting Facilitation, CTAC Staff, and Presentations. The Board meeting included a discussion of the 2026-2027 proposed budget and a presentation of CTAC’s Family Eviction and Housing Stability convening.

Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
June 8, 2026	3.57 ↓	3.86	3.71 ↓	3.86 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.79	3.86	3.89	3.81

Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.


¹ Seven Board members attended in-person or virtually on 06/08/2026 and all completed a survey.



Comments:


- A few different versions of materials caused a bit of confusion (Chance)
- Great work (Cornell)
- Not everything was available to review in advance and I had to ask for things to be emailed after the meeting. Were these materials supposed to be confidential? (Hardt)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.



Comments: (none)


CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.



Comments:

I was confused about the point of passing a draft of a budget when we knew important things were left out and another meeting would be needed to finalize the funding decisions. No one ever satisfactorily answered the question why we needed to do it. (Hardt)

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.



Comments:

Presentations were better than the agenda materials we reviewed ahead of time. Evidently the final draft was not submitted to us for review. Can staff try to finalize the materials in time for us to be able to review? (Hardt)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

There was an enormous amount of work to provide the back-up for this meeting. Great job staff. (Cornell)

I appreciate ED Kiner being available to answer questions between board meetings. (Hardt)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

A communications plan for what the Trust does. Can we make some short movies? I am especially interested in someone documenting the steps we are taking to improve safety for children in the community: violence, housing, eviction prevention, special needs children, health care including mental health care. (Hardt)