



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	September 28th, 2026
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	70% of Board members completed (7 of 10) ¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components


Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”

Board members provided “excellent” and “good” ratings in all categories: Materials Provided, Meeting Facilitation, CTAC Staff, and Presentations. The Board meeting included updates on the Alachua County Reads initiative and a presentation of Youth Mental Health Programs.

Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
September 28, 2026	3.86 ↑	3.71 ↓	3.86 ↓	3.86 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.78	3.86	3.89	3.81


Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

¹ Nine Board members attended in-person or virtually on 09/28/2026 and seven completed a survey.



Comments: (none)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.



Comments:

There was a little back and forth confusion between the regular Board meeting and the TRIM notice meeting. (Tate)

CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 86%, and a smaller medium green segment representing 'Good' at 14%. Labels for each category are placed near their respective slices. <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Category</th><th>Percentage</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Excellent</td><td>86%</td></tr><tr><td>Good</td><td>14%</td></tr></tbody></table>	Category	Percentage	Excellent	86%	Good	14%
Category	Percentage								
Excellent	86%								
Good	14%								
Pena		3.86							
Certain	3								
Chance	4								
Cornell	4								
Hardt									
Patton									
Pinkoson	4								
Walker	4								
Tate	4								
Page	4								

Comments:

Presentations are clear, questions answered and/or clarified as needed; ensures programs/services aligned with strategic plan. (Walker)

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 86%, and a smaller medium green segment representing 'Good' at 14%. Labels for each segment are placed directly on the chart. <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Category</th><th>Percentage</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Excellent</td><td>86%</td></tr><tr><td>Good</td><td>14%</td></tr></tbody></table>	Category	Percentage	Excellent	86%	Good	14%
Category	Percentage								
Excellent	86%								
Good	14%								
Pena		3.86							
Certain	3								
Chance	4								
Cornell	4								
Hardt									
Patton									
Pinkoson	4								
Walker	4								
Tate	4								
Page	4								

Comments:

Alachua County Reads, nonprofit lifecycle, youth MH (CAC, PACE, UF Shands/PALS). (Walker)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments: (none)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

Response to citizen saying rural areas not served- info on children served county wide.
(Certain)