



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	September 17th, 2026
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	73% of Board members completed (8 of 11) ¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components


Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”

Board members provided “excellent” and “good” ratings for Meeting Facilitation and CTAC Staff, “excellent,” “good,” and “fair” ratings for Materials Provided, and “excellent,” “good,” “fair,” and “poor” ratings for Presentations. The Board meeting included welcoming two new Board members and presentations on gun violence prevention initiatives.

Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
September 17, 2026	3.50 ↓	3.88 ↑	3.88 ↓	3.36 ↓
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.78	3.86	3.89	3.80

¹ Ten Board members attended in-person or virtually on 09/17/2026 and eight completed a survey.


Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.



Comments:


- The last minute data on the gun violence programs was not helpful- particularly for the online participants. (Chance)
- Packet from CTAC complete/timely/information helpful. See Presentations comment. (Walker)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.




Comments: (none)

CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.



Comments: (none)

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.



Comments:

- GPD presentation (Rating: 2)- Conflict “mitigation” does not cross reference back to see if those they contact have violent episodes after. Perhaps need independent evaluator? Someone that can assess both sets of data: “shift notes” (qualitative) and LEO. County presentation (Rating: 1)- No data- could have been a staff decision/comment without a presentation. (Labarta)
 - Data specific to contracted or funded projects and outcomes tied to children/youth should be required, to show the CTAC's ROI. (Walker)
-

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- As a "newbie" I felt welcome and found it easy to understand what was being discussed and able to keep up without a significant amount of background. Thank you! (Tate)
- Very educational :) (Page)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

(none)