

Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

Date of Meeting: October 10, 2022

Completion Rate: 90% of Board members completed (9 of 10)

Evaluation of Meeting Components:

Board members rate effectiveness and efficiency of four components from 1 to 4.

Ratings signify 1 = "poor", 2 = "fair", 3 = "good", and 4 = "excellent". All meeting components received favorable ratings of either "good" or "excellent". The *Materials Provided* and *Presentations* meeting components received higher than average ratings while *Meeting Facilitation* and *CTAC Staff* received a lower than the average rating. Appreciation and positive feedback were received on the presentation made by GNV4ALL.

	Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations	
October 10, 2022	3.67	3.72	3.78	3.75	
Average Rating (January – September)	3.63	3.76	3.79	3.69	

<u>Materials Provided</u> (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4	-	
Certain	3		Good
Cole-Smith	3		33%
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.67	
Hardt	3		
Pinkoson	4		Excellent
Snyder	4		67%
Twombly	4		

Comments:

• Please add a date to (item 3) Program Award and Expenses Report as of ____. (Certain).

<u>Meeting Facilitation</u> (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		
Certain	3		Good
Cole-Smith	3		33%
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.72	
Hardt	4		
Pinkoson	3.5		Excellent
Snyder	4		67%
Twombly	4		

Comments: None Received.

<u>CTAC Staff</u> (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	Good 22%
Andrew	4		
Certain	3		
Cole-Smith	3		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.78	
Hardt	4		
Pinkoson	4		Excellent 78%
Snyder	4		78%
Twombly	4		

Comments: None Received.

<u>Presentations</u> (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	Good 25%
Andrew	4		2370
Certain	3		
Cole-Smith	3		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.75	
Hardt			
Pinkoson	4		Excellent
Snyder	4		75%
Twombly	4		

Comments:

- GNV4ALL very good (Hardt).
- Appreciated the GNV4ALL presentation. (Snyder).
- Great dynamic discussions on how we can collaborate on creating a cohesive vision of transforming child care. Loved Pat's comments and insights! (Twombly).

Finally, Board members are able to provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

• We need to be the glue that holds the new system together. (Hardt).

Are there any items, presentations, or other information you would like placed on a future Board agenda?

• Oh so many. I will make a list for the new ED. (Hardt).