



## Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<b><u>Date of Meeting:</u></b>	<b>May 11th, 2026</b>
<b><u>Completion Rate:</u></b>	100% of Board members completed (9 of 9) <sup>1</sup>

### **Evaluation of Meeting Components**

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”


Board members provided “excellent” and “good” ratings in Materials Provided and Meeting Facilitation and “excellent” ratings in CTAC Staff and Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations of CTAC programmatic and funding realignment, evaluation results for the Dolly Parton Imagination Library, HOPE Alachua: Health and Opioid Prevention Effort, and Alachua County Public Schools McKinney-Vento Program.

	<b>Meeting Component</b>			
<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Materials Provided</b>	<b>Meeting Facilitation</b>	<b>CTAC Staff</b>	<b>Presentations</b>
<b>May 11, 2026</b>	<b>3.56</b> ↓	<b>3.89</b> ↑	<b>4.00</b> ↑	<b>4.00</b> ↑
<b>Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)</b>	<b>3.79</b>	<b>3.86</b>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>3.81</b>

<sup>1</sup> All nine Board members attended in-person or virtually on 05/11/2026 and all completed a survey.

**Materials Provided:** The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.56
Certain	4	
Chance	3	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	3	
Labarta	4	
Patton	4	
Pinkoson	3	
Walker	3	




A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a larger dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 56%, and a smaller medium green segment representing 'Good' at 44%.

**Comments:**

- We did get several items that were updates from the Board packet this month. (Chance)
- New handouts (Hardt)
- Unfortunately, we received the last presentation packet from the school board at the time of the presentation before the Board. (Pinkoson)

**Meeting Facilitation:** The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.89
Certain	3	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Patton	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 89%, and a small medium green segment representing 'Good' at 11%.

**Comments:** (none)

**CTAC Staff:** CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	4.0
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Patton	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The entire chart is a single dark green slice, indicating that 100% of the ratings were 'Excellent'. A white callout box with a black border is positioned over the chart, containing the text 'Excellent 100%'.

**Comments:**

Amazing work keeping us organized!!! 😊 (Patton)

**Presentations:** Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Patton	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	



A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The entire chart is a single dark green slice, indicating that 100% of the ratings were 'Excellent'. A white callout box with a black border is positioned over the chart, containing the text 'Excellent 100%'.

**Comments:**

- Presentations were excellent; however, possible consideration for more concise presentations with more time for questions. (Pena)
- It was a lot to digest this month- hated to cut the presentations short. (Chance)
- Great and essential information. (Hardt)
- Always impressive the quality of the presentations! (Patton)
- Since I was online, it was difficult to hear a couple of the speakers in the room. The presenters were clear though. (Walker)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

**General Comments:**

Meta programming for HOPE. (Hardt)

**Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:**

*(no comments)*