



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	April 3, 2023
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	100% of Board members completed (9 of 9)

Evaluation of Meeting Components:

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four components from 1 to 4. Ratings signify 1 = “poor”, 2 = “fair”, 3 = “good”, and 4 = “excellent”. All meeting components received favorable ratings of either “good” or “excellent”. Additionally, all meeting components received a higher-than-average rating. Two Board members noted appreciation for the CQI presentation, and one wanting to receive updates on CQI in the future.

Date of Meeting	Meeting Component			
	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
April 3, 2023	3.78 ↑	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.68	3.78	3.80	3.72

Materials Provided (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	3.78
Certain	3	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	3	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Snyder	4	
Twombly	4	

A pie chart illustrating the distribution of ratings for 'Materials Provided'. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 78%, and a smaller medium green segment representing 'Good' at 22%.

Comments: None Received.

Meeting Facilitation (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)


Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Snyder	4	
Twombly	4	

A pie chart illustrating the distribution of ratings for 'Meeting Facilitation'. The chart is a single solid dark green circle representing 'Excellent' at 100%.

Comments: None Received.

CTAC Staff (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)


Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Snyder	4	
Twombly	4	



Comments: None Received.

Presentations (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Snyder	4	
Twombly	4	



Comments:

- Bonnie did a great job with her presentation. Good to see a better format to report out data for Board, staff, and providers. It will be a useful tool. (Twombly).

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Excellent back-up for both Steering Committee and Board Meeting. CQI presentation was excellent! (Cornell).

Are there any items, presentations, or other information you would like placed on a future Board agenda?

- Updates about CQI initiative (Snyder).