



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	July 10, 2023
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	89% of Board members completed (8 of 9)¹


Evaluation of Meeting Components:

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “poor”, 2 = “fair”, 3 = “good”, and 4 = “excellent”. All meeting components received favorable ratings of either “good” or “excellent”. “Materials Provided”, “Meeting Facilitation”, and “Presentations” received higher-than-average ratings, while “CTAC Staff” was rated lower-than-average. Appreciation and positive feedback were expressed for staff and the Listening Project communication products. Board members offered specific suggestions and advisement in their “General Comments” regarding fiscal, information management, and outcome measurement.

Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
July 10, 2023	3.75 ↑	4.00 ↑	3.75 ↓	3.88 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.68	3.79	3.81	3.74


¹ Nine Board members attended in-person or virtually on 7/10/2023, eight (8) of which completed a survey.

Materials Provided (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)




Comments: None Received.

Meeting Facilitation (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)



Comments: None Received.


CTAC Staff (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)



Comments:

- Excellent job on all presentations by staff! Staff were well prepared. (Twombly).

Presentations (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)



Comments:

- Great job by all. (Twombly).

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Thank you to our awesome CTAC staff for all of your efforts to support our children and families in Alachua County. (Andrew).
- (1) Regarding the new grant application/management system, I am happy to be part of the testing or review process. (2) With the mentoring RFP, I suggest another potential outcome measurement is school attendance and behavioral referrals (potentially through a data sharing agreement with the school district). I also suggest applicants be able to choose from among the outcome measurement options, having them report on all may be overwhelming and/or not appropriate for some programs. (Chance).
- Please utilize county staff if you need to in order to help with fund balance so that we can sort out the policy for utilization of fund balance going forward. (Cornell).
- Such a good job with all the products related to the Listening Project. (Twombly).

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

- None Received.