



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	November 4, 2024
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	100% of Board members completed (8 of 8)¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “poor”, 2 = “fair”, 3 = “good”, and 4 = “excellent.” All Board members provided ratings of “excellent” for Meeting Facilitation. Materials Provided, Presentations, and CTAC Staff received “excellent” and “good” ratings. The Board meeting included discussions of Early Learning and Enrichment programs and gun violence funding allocation.

	Meeting Component			
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
November 4th, 2024	3.75	4.00 ↑	3.88 ↑	3.50 ↓
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.75	3.84	3.87	3.79

Materials Provided (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

¹ Eight Board members attended in-person or virtually on 11/4/2024, and eight Board members completed a survey. Board member Lee Pinkoson was not in attendance.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	3.75
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	3	
Labarta	3	
Pinkoson		
Twombly	4	

A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 75%, and a smaller light green segment representing 'Good' at 25%.

Comments:

I would have wanted more specific impact goals for the proposed funding to GEZ. (Labarta)


Meeting Facilitation (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Andrew	4	4
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Ferrero	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson		
Twombly	4	

A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is a single solid dark green circle representing 'Excellent' at 100%.

Comments: None received


CTAC Staff (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)



Comments:

I appreciate all CTAC staff. They are consistently prepared, on point, professional, and pleasant. I will miss you Ashley! (Chance)

Presentations (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4	3.50	
Certain	3		
Chance	3		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	3		
Hardt	4		
Labarta	3		
Pinkoson			
Twombly	4		

Comments: None received

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Good meeting- Thank you staff (Cornell)
- I am feeling a sense of momentum. I read the handout for the cancelled maternal/child RFP workshop. I found it confusing. (Hardt)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

- Thank you to our exceptional CTAC TEAM! You ALL are AWESOME! Keep making THE DIFFERENCE for our children and families 😊 (Andrew)
- Housing- Anne Ray of Shimberg Center (Hardt)