



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	March 9, 2026
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	67% of Board members completed (6 of 9) ¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”


Board members provided “excellent” ratings in all categories: Materials Provided, CTAC Staff, Meeting Facilitation, and Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations of CQI (Continuous Quality Improvement) and FY25 Summer Camps.

Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
March 9, 2026	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑	4.00 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.80	3.86	3.89	3.81

¹ Six Board members attended in-person on 03/09/2026 and all completed a survey.

Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell		
Bullard		
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The chart is a single dark green circle, indicating that 100% of the ratings were 'Excellent'. A callout box next to the chart contains the text 'Excellent 100%'.

Comments: (none)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell		
Bullard		
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The chart is a single dark green circle, indicating that 100% of the ratings were 'Excellent'. A callout box next to the chart contains the text 'Excellent 100%'.

Comments: (none)

CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell		
Bullard		
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The chart is almost entirely dark green, with a very small white slice at the top. A callout box points to the green area, containing the text "Excellent 100%".

Comments:

- Excellent reports by Bonnie and Amy (Chance)
- Slides- Staff doesn't have to read every word on the slide (Certain)

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell		
Bullard		
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	



A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The chart is almost entirely dark green, with a very small white slice at the top. A callout box points to the green area, containing the text "Excellent 100%".

Comments:

- All of them were very informative, especially the summer camp data/presentation. Questions were answered/discussion was productive. (Walker)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- The provider feedback on the usefulness of CQI data was fantastic- the fact that they are looking at it not just as a compliance matter but as a true growth and improvement opportunity is a homerun! (Chance)
- Best meeting ever! (Labarta)
- What a fantastic meeting! (Pinkoson)
- I appreciate the Board's open interaction; the members are obviously seasoned & committed, focused on what is best for kids. The staff are knowledgeable, open to feedback. I like the transparency. (Walker)
- Homeless Children, Pam Worsham, McKinney-Vento (Hardt)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

(no comments)