

Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u> August 11th, 2025

Completion Rate: 78% of Board members completed (7 of 9)¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = "poor", 2 = "fair", 3 = "good", and 4 = "excellent."

Board members provided "excellent," "good," and "fair" ratings for Materials Provided, "excellent" and "good" ratings for Presentations, and "excellent" ratings for "Facilitation" and "CTAC staff." The Board meeting included presentations of two maternal health initiatives and updates on the Community Literacy Plan, a gun violence initiative, and the FY26 budget.

Meeting Component

Date of Meeting August 11, 2025	Materials Provided 3.50	Meeting Facilitation 4.00	CTAC Staff 4.00	Presentations 3.86
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.77	3.85	3.88	3.79

¹ Seven Board members attended in-person or virtually on 8/11/2025. Board members Mary Chance and Susanne Bullard were not in attendance. All seven Board members in attendance completed a survey.

<u>Materials Provided:</u> The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Patton	4		
Certain	3		
Chance			
Cornell	4		
Bullard		3.50	
Hardt	2		
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	3.5		
Twombly	4	1	

Comments:

- Financial numbers on the budget were too small to read. The finance staff could have been more prepared. (Hardt)
- The budget item (back up) could have been more clear. (Pinkoson)

<u>Meeting Facilitation:</u> The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Patton	4		
Certain	4		
Chance			
Cornell	4		
Bullard		4.00	
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

Comments:

 I could hear what everyone was saying, the microphones were working. I was called on when my hand was up; I felt like I could participate. (Hardt) <u>CTAC Staff:</u> CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton	4	
Certain	4	1
Chance		
Cornell	4	
Bullard		4.00
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	

Comments: (none)

<u>Presentations</u>: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Patton	4		
Certain	3		
Chance			
Cornell	4		
Bullard		4.00	
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

Comments: (none)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

 Budget got on too late. We either need lighter agenda or workshop when we're doing budget. (Labarta)

<u>Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:</u>

Sherry Kitchens from Child Advocacy Center and Michelle Nall from OB mobile clinic. I
think we need to focus on the Safety agenda. (Hardt)