

Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u> June 9th, 2025

Completion Rate: 77% of Board members completed (7 of 9)¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = "poor", 2 = "fair", 3 = "good", and 4 = "excellent." Board members provided "excellent" ratings in all categories: Materials Provided, Meeting Facilitation, CTAC Staff, and Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations of the Fiscal Year 2025-2026 preliminary budget and the Fiscal Year 2024 audit.

Meeting	Component

Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
June 9, 2025	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.77	3.85	3.88	3.79

¹ Eight Board members attended in-person or virtually on 6/9/2025. Board member Mary Chance was not in attendance. Seven of the eight Board members in attendance completed a survey.

<u>Materials Provided:</u> The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		
Certain	4	
Chance		
Cornell	4	
Bullard	4	4.00
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	

Comments: (none)

<u>Meeting Facilitation:</u> The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Patton			
Certain	4	4.00	
Chance			4.00
Cornell	4		
Bullard	4		
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

Comments: (none)

<u>CTAC Staff:</u> CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating			
Patton					
Certain	4				
Chance					
Cornell	4				
Bullard	4	4.00			
Hardt	4		1		
Labarta	4				
Pinkoson	4				
Twombly	4				

Comments: (none)

<u>Presentations:</u> Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating			
Patton					
Certain	4				
Chance					
Cornell	4				
Bullard	4	4.00			
Hardt	4				
Labarta	4				
Pinkoson	4				
Twombly	4				

Comments: (none)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Nice work preparing us for meeting! (Cornell)
- Problems with getting on zoom as a panelist before the meeting starts. I am watching as roll is called and cannot respond. (Hardt)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

Trauma responsive care for children, how can we incorporate it into all our activities?
McKinney Vento unstably housed children known to our school district and estimates of children 0-5 not included in these numbers. Ann Ray from Shimberg Center, Herman Knopf. Can our doulas help? (Hardt)