



CHILDREN'S TRUST
OF ALACHUA COUNTY

Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	April 13, 2026
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	89% of Board members completed (8 of 9) ¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”


Board members provided “excellent” and “good” ratings in all categories: Materials Provided, CTAC Staff, Meeting Facilitation, and Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations on Gun Violence Prevention and MySidewalk.

Meeting Component				
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
April 13, 2026	3.88 ↑	3.88 ↑	3.88 ↓	3.75 ↓
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.80	3.86	3.89	3.81

Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

¹ Eight Board members attended in-person or virtually on 04/13/2026 and all completed a survey.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.88
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	3	
Labarta	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 88%, and a smaller light green segment representing 'Good' at 12%.

Comments:

- BOCC information day of- right before meeting (Certain)
- County document was the weak link (Hardt)
- Consider adding additional information on provider burn rates and reasons for invoice lags- (Example: "4 month lag"). At what point are there consequences? (Walker)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.88
Certain	3	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 88%, and a smaller light green segment representing 'Good' at 12%.

Comments: (none)

CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.88
Certain	3	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	




A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 88%, and a smaller light green segment representing 'Good' at 12%.

Comments:

- Still working on tech in the meeting room. Feedback was new! (Hardt)

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.75
Certain	3	
Chance	3	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker	4	



A pie chart illustrating the distribution of survey responses. The chart is divided into two segments: a large dark green segment representing 'Excellent' at 75%, and a smaller light green segment representing 'Good' at 25%.

Comments:

- It would have been helpful to have more context and coordination with the city/county gun violence presentations. (Chance)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- Very excited about the potential with MySidewalk data (Chance)
- Literacy- Year 2- Here we come! (Cornell)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

- Thank you staff (Cornell)
- Last meeting I suggested a speaker: Pam Worsham, McKinney Vento (Hardt)