CHILDREN'S TRUST
OF ALACHUA COUNTY

Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

Date of Meeting:	**October 12th, 2026**
Completion Rate:	80% of Board members completed (8 of 10)[1]

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = "*poor*", 2 = "*fair*", 3 = "*good*", and 4 = "*excellent*."


Board members provided "excellent" and "good" ratings for Materials Provided and Meeting Facilitation and "excellent" ratings for CTAC Staff and Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations of FY26 CTAC funded after school programs and Strategic Plan Implementation.

	Meeting Component			
Date of Meeting	**Materials Provided**	**Meeting Facilitation**	**CTAC Staff**	**Presentations**
October 12, 2026	**3.75** ↓	**3.88** ↑	**4.00** ↑	**4.00** ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	**3.78**	**3.86**	**3.90**	**3.81**

Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

[1] Eight Board members attended in-person on 10/12/2026 and all completed a survey.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.75
Certain	3	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker		
Tate	3	
Page	4	



Comments:

Comprehensive info :) (Page)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	3.88
Certain	3	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker		
Tate	4	
Page	4	



Comments:

Best discussions that we have conducted since becoming a member. Excellent info and comments by members and public. (Pena)

CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker		
Tate	4	
Page	4	



Comments: *(none)*

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Pena	4	4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Hardt	4	
Patton		
Pinkoson	4	
Walker		
Tate	4	
Page	4	



Comments: *(none)*

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

- We need a documentary (Hardt)
- It was a little choppy keeping up with the info this time. Not sure why- maybe just that a lot of information was trying to be distributed. (Tate)
- Great to hear from our providers about their programs!! (Page)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

(none)