



### **Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys**

Per Board Policy 1.15, at each meeting, Board members will be given the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions as to how to improve and make the best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<b><u>Date of Meeting:</u></b>	<b>July 25, 2022</b>
<b><u>Completion Rate:</u></b>	<b>50% of Board members completed (5 of 10)</b>

### **Evaluation of Meeting Components:**


Board members rate effectiveness and efficiency of four components from 1 to 4. Ratings signify 1 = “poor”, 2 = “fair”, 3 = “good”, and 4 = “excellent”. All meeting components received ratings of “excellent” for this particular meeting. The July 25<sup>th</sup> meeting was intentionally short to allow time to setup for a Meet and Greet event for members of the public to meet the Executive Director candidates, which occurred after the Board meeting. The meeting was approximately 20 minutes in duration with 8 agenda items.

<b>Meeting Component</b>				
<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Materials Provided</b>	<b>Meeting Facilitation</b>	<b>CTAC Staff</b>	<b>Presentations</b>
<b>July 25, 2022</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>
<b>July 11, 2022</b>	<b>3.57</b>	<b>3.86</b>	<b>3.86</b>	<b>3.86</b>
<b>Average Rating (January-June)</b>	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>3.83</b>	<b>3.60</b>

---

**Materials Provided** (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

---


Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4	4.00	
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None received.

---

**Meeting Facilitation** (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

---


Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4	4.00	
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None received.

---

**CTAC Staff** (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)

---

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4	4.00	
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		


**Comments:** None received.

---

---

**Presentations** (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

---

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4	4.00	
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:**

- We appreciate the provider sharing information about their program. (Twombly).
- 

Board members were given the opportunity to provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. The only comment received in this section was "Great short meeting!"

**General Comments:**

- Great short meeting! (Pinkoson).

**Are there any items, presentations, or other information you would like placed on a future Board agenda?**

- None received.