



Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

<u>Date of Meeting:</u>	February 9, 2026
<u>Completion Rate:</u>	67% of Board members completed (6 of 9) ¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = “*poor*”, 2 = “*fair*”, 3 = “*good*”, and 4 = “*excellent*.”


Board members provided “excellent” ratings in Materials Provided and CTAC Staff and “excellent” and “good” ratings in Meeting Facilitation and Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations of Enrichment and Mental Health programs.

	Meeting Component			
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
February 9, 2026	4.00 ↑	3.67 ↓	4.00 ↑	3.83 ↑
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.79	3.86	3.89	3.81

¹ Six Board members attended in-person on 02/09/2026 and all completed a survey.

Materials Provided: The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		4.00
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Bullard		
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Walker		




A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The entire chart is a single dark green slice, indicating that 100% of the ratings were 'Excellent'. A callout box next to the slice contains the text 'Excellent 100%'.

Comments: (none)

Meeting Facilitation: The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		3.67
Certain	3	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Bullard		
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	3	
Walker		




A pie chart representing the distribution of ratings. The chart is divided into two segments: a smaller light green segment representing 'Good' ratings at 33%, and a larger dark green segment representing 'Excellent' ratings at 67%. Callout boxes are present for both segments with the text 'Good 33%' and 'Excellent'.

Comments:


Chair Chance rocks! (Hardt)

CTAC Staff: CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.



Comments: (none)

Presentations: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.



Comments: (none)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

Great participation by community. (Hardt)

Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:

(no comments)