

## **Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys**

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

Date of Meeting: February 13, 2023

**Completion Rate:** 89% of Board members completed (8 of 9)

### **Evaluation of Meeting Components:**

Board members rate effectiveness and efficiency of four components from 1 to 4. Ratings signify 1 = "poor", 2 = "fair", 3 = "good", and 4 = "excellent". All meeting components received favorable ratings of either "good" or "excellent". In addition, all meeting components received higher than average rating. Board members expressed appreciation for the presentations and one member noted wanting to receive presentations in the packet to review in advance. Several members shared they thought it was a great meeting.

		Meeting Co	omponent	
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
February 13, 2023	4.00 ↑	3.88	3.88	4.00
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.67	3.78	3.79	3.70

<u>Materials Provided</u> (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4		
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4	4.00	Excellent
Pinkoson	4		100%
Snyder	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None Received.

<u>Meeting Facilitation</u> (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		Good 12%
Cornell	4		1270
Ferrero	4		
Hardt	3	2.00	
Labarta	4	3.88	Excellent
Pinkoson	4		88%
Snyder	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None Received.

<u>CTAC Staff</u> (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	3		Good 12%
Cornell	4		1270
Ferrero	4		
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4	3.88	Excellent
Pinkoson	4		88%
Snyder	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None Received.

<u>Presentations</u> (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4		
Hardt	4	4.00	
Labarta	4	4.00	Excellent
Pinkoson	4		100%
Snyder	4		
Twombly	4		

## **Comments:**

Finally, Board members are able to provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

#### **General Comments:**

- Thank you CTAC staff! (Andrew).
- This was a great meeting to discuss really using innovative policies to fund desperately needed programming to address significant community needs. Thank you for providing a policy to allow staff to begin implementing some new and innovative programming across different goals of the CTAC; with the idea of "changing the lake" and providing children and families the opportunities to generationally improve lives. (Cornell).
- I always am interested to hear the presentations. I would prefer to have some material in the packet rather than just hear the presentations. Would it be possible to request this material so we can review it carefully? (Ferrero).
- Good meeting. (Hardt).
- Thank you for the 3 informative presentations. (Snyder).
- Good conversation and thrilled to see the groups who presented seeing the importance to consider working as a system vs independently. (Twombly).

# Are there any items, presentations, or other information you would like placed on a future Board agenda?

None received.