

### **Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys**

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and best use time during Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

Date of Meeting: March 20, 2023

**Completion Rate:** 78% of Board members completed (7 of 9)

#### **Evaluation of Meeting Components:**

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four components from 1 to 4.

Ratings signify 1 = "poor", 2 = "fair", 3 = "good", and 4 = "excellent". All meeting components received favorable ratings of either "good" or "excellent". Additionally, all meeting components received higher than average rating. Several members noted the meeting had good discussion.

	Meeting Component			
Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations
March 20, 2023	3.71 🕇	3.86 🕇	3.86	3.86
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.68	3.78	3.80	3.72

<u>Materials Provided</u> (The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Andrew	4		Good
Certain	4		29%
Cornell	3		
Ferrero	4	3.71	Excellent
Labarta	4		71%
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	3		

### **Comments:**

I did not receive, but believe this was an email issue. I was able to pull off of CTAC website.
 (Twombly).

<u>Meeting Facilitation</u> (The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	Good
Andrew	4		14%
Certain	3		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.86	Constitute 1
Labarta	4		Excellent 86%
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None Received.

<u>CTAC Staff</u> (CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions, or provide a plan for follow-up)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	Good
Andrew	4		14%
Certain	3		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.86	
Labarta	4		Excellent 86%
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

**Comments:** None Received.

<u>Presentations</u> (Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency)

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	Good
Andrew	4		14%
Certain	3		
Cornell	4		
Ferrero	4	3.86	Excellent
Labarta	4		86%
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

### **Comments:**

Mia's report is outstanding - she's a jewel! (Labarta).

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

## **General Comments:**

- Excellent discussion generated by Tina & Lee. It's the kind of substance boards should struggle with. (Labarta).
- Great meeting! (Pinkoson).
- Good discussion on ensuring that we focus our funding on system changes that support and create outcomes desired by the Board and community. (Twombly).

# Are there any items, presentations, or other information you would like placed on a future Board agenda?

None received.