

Summary of Board Meeting Evaluation Surveys

Per Board Policy 1.15, each meeting Board members will have the opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of meetings and provide suggestions on how to improve and make the best use of Board meetings. The following is a summary of the input Board members provided for review by the Board, CTAC staff, and members of the public regarding the most recent Board meeting.

Date of Meeting: November 10th, 2025

Completion Rate: 78% of Board members completed (7 of 9)¹

Evaluation of Meeting Components

Board members rate the effectiveness and efficiency of four meeting components from 1 to 4. A rating of 1 = "poor", 2 = "fair", 3 = "good", and 4 = "excellent."

Board members provided "excellent" ratings Facilitation, CTAC staff, and Materials Provided, and "excellent" and "good" ratings for Presentations. The Board meeting included presentations from The Shimberg Center for Housing Studies and TeensWork Alachua.

Meeting Component

Date of Meeting	Materials Provided	Meeting Facilitation	CTAC Staff	Presentations 3.86
November 10, 2025	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00
Average Rating (Cumulative to Date)	3.78	3.86	3.89	3.80

¹ Seven Board members attended in-person on 11/10/2025 and all completed a survey.

<u>Materials Provided:</u> The Board packet was received in a timely fashion and provided the information needed to prepare for the meeting.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	
Bullard		4.00
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Γwombly	4	

Comments:

Thanks for delivery by USPS mail (timely) (Certain)

<u>Meeting Facilitation:</u> The Chair ensured Board members and members of the public who wanted to speak had the opportunity to be heard.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating
Patton		
Certain	4	
Chance	4	
Cornell	4	4.00
Bullard		4.00
Hardt	4	
Labarta	4	
Pinkoson	4	
Twombly	4	

Comments: (no comments)

<u>CTAC Staff:</u> CTAC staff were knowledgeable on their agenda items and prepared to address questions or provide a plan for follow-up.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Patton			
Certain	4		1
Chance	4		
Cornell	4		
Bullard		4.00	
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

Comments: (no comments)

<u>Presentations</u>: Presentations were helpful in providing information on programs and policies to guide decision-making and allow for input and transparency.

Member Name	Rating	Average Rating	
Patton			
Certain	3		
Chance	4		
Cornell	4		
Bullard		3.86	
Hardt	4		
Labarta	4		
Pinkoson	4		
Twombly	4		

Comments:

Great meetings, the workshop was EXCELLENT! (Pinkoson)

Finally, Board members can provide general comments on the meeting overall as well as topics they'd like to see addressed on future agendas. These comments are listed below.

General Comments:

Good meeting. (Hardt)

<u>Items, Presentations, or other Information for future Board agendas:</u>

(no comments)