Subject: [PDF] A SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE BLUE GUM EUCALYPTUS TREE LOCATED BEHIND THE RESIDENCES AT 935 & 1001 BALBOA AVENUE, CAPITOLA - SITE VISIT ON APRIL 21, 2022:

May 19, 2022

Please find my analysis of this tree.

The tree of concern is a mature Blue Gum Eucalyptus *(Eucalyptus globulus)*. The trunk of this tree transects the boundary between these residential properties.

Observations:

- This tree has a 60-inch diameter trunk, measured at 54-inches above ground and it is approximately 135-feet tall. The tree exhibit's good health and vitality, as evidenced by its good foliage condition.

- I determined that this tree has a fair structural condition, because it has a codominant growth pattern (two codominant stems attached to the trunk at about 12-feet above ground) and because there is some dead wood within its canopy.

- I inspected the base of the trunk at ground level and observed that it has a good root flare with no defects evident in this area. The trunk appeared to be sound, with no evidence of defects or fungal decay in it.

- I observed that this tree has two codominant stems (secondary trunks), attached to the trunk at about 12-feet above ground. The area of attachment in between the codominant stems appears to be strong, despite being narrow.

- I observed that this tree has developed a symmetrical canopy shape, having grown out in the open. The limb structure does not appear to be overly heavy or overextended in length. The attachment areas of these limbs to the codominant stems appeared to be strong when observed from the ground.

- I observed a number of dead branches within the tree's canopy that are over 1.5-inches in diameter.

Tree Risk Assessment:

I undertook an ISA Level-Two Risk Assessment by walking around the trunk and observing this tree from all sides.

I utilized the ISA Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form and made the following determinations based upon the likelihood of tree failure occurring within a three year period (see the attached form):

- I determined the likelihood of the tree falling or living branches falling within 3-years, to be improbable. I concluded that this tree represents a low risk regarding these concerns.

- I determined the likelihood of dead branches falling within 3-years to be possible. I concluded that this tree represents a moderate risk concerning the likelihood of the dead branches falling onto the properties below.

- I determined that the dead wood that is larger than 1-inch diameter needs to be removed at this time.

- I determined that this tree is inspected by a Certified Arborist every three-years.

Recommendations:

I recommend that this tree is pruned by a State Licensed Tree Service. This work must be done under the supervision of an ISA Certified Arborist (see the attached list of recommended tree service providers).

- I recommend that all dead branches over 1-inch diameter be removed.

- I also recommend that some of the heavier limbs be thinned in their ends in order to reduce their weight and the possibility of them failing in the future. This is skilled work and it must be done by a competent tree service provider, utilizing thinning cuts, not stub cuts or heading cuts.

I recommend that consideration is given to installing a support cable in between the two codominant stems at this time, even though I determined the likelihood of them failing to be improbable within a period of three years. The installation of a cable will serve to reduce the chance of them failing the future. Tree support cables must consist of EHS-Grade galvanized cable attached to through rods or approved cable end-stops. I recommend that cables are periodically inspected by an arborist to ensure that they are in good condition.
 I recommend that this tree is inspected by a Certified Arborist every three years.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted

Nigel Belton

ISA - Tree Risk Assessment Qualification

Attachments:

- Assumptions & Limiting Conditions

- Basic ISA Tree Risk Assessment Form

- Photograph of the subject tree

--

Nigel Belton - Consulting Arborist ISA Certified Arborist WE-0410A

Assumptions and limiting Conditions

1. Any legal description given by the appraiser/consultant is assumed to be correct. No responsibility is assumed for matters legal in character nor is any opinion rendered as to the quality of any title.

2. The appraiser /consultant can neither guarantee nor be responsible for accuracy of information provided by others.

3. The appraiser/consultant shall not be required to give testimony or to attend court by reason of this appraisal unless subsequent written arrangements are made, including payment of an additional fee for services.

4. Loss or removal of any part of this report invalidates the entire appraisal/evaluation.

5. Possession of this report or a copy thereof does not imply right of publication or use for any purpose by any other than the person(s) to whom it is addressed without written consent of the appraiser/consultant.

6. This report and the values expressed herein represent the opinion of the appraiser/consultant, and the appraiser's/consultant's fee is in no way contingent upon the reporting of a specified value nor upon any finding to be reported.

7. Sketches, diagrams, graphs, photos, etc in this report, being intended as visual aids, are not necessarily to scale and should not be construed as engineering reports or surveys.

8. This report has been made in conformity with acceptable appraisal/evaluation/diagnostic reporting techniques and procedures, as recommended by the International Society of Arboriculture.

9. When applying any pesticide, fungicide, or herbicide, always follow label instructions.

10. No tree described in this report was climbed, unless otherwise stated. We cannot take responsibility for any defects which only could have been discovered by climbing. A full root collar inspection, consisting of excavating the soil around the tree to uncover the root collar and major buttress roots was not performed, unless otherwise stated. We cannot take responsibility for any root defects which could only have been discovered by such an inspection.

Consulting Arborist Disclosure Statement

Arborists are tree specialists who use their education, knowledge, training, and experience to examine trees, recommend measures to enhance the beauty and health of trees, and attempt to reduce risk of living near trees. Clients may choose to accept or disregard the recommendations of the arborist, or to seek additional advice.

Arborists cannot detect every condition that could possibly lead to the structural failure of a tree. Trees are living organisms that fail in ways we do not fully understand. Conditions are often hidden within the trees and below ground. Arborists cannot guarantee that a tree will be healthy or safe under all circumstances, or for a specified period of time. Likewise, remedial treatments, like medicine, cannot be guaranteed.

Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled. To live near trees is to accept some degree of risk. The only way to eliminate all risk associated with trees is to eliminate all trees.

Nigel Belton ISA Certified Arborist – WE 410A

Client <u>City of Capitola</u> Address/Tree loadion <u>935 + 1001 13alloga Are</u> <u>Capitola</u> Tree species <u>Blue Jum Ecceptophes</u> <u>dbh 60"</u> Height <u>135</u> . Crown spread dia. Assessor(s) <u>Migel Belton</u> Target Assessment															
Address /Tree landing Park in the Park		Date 4/2	21/2	5	Tir	ne									
Tree species Black D F	aprilota	Tree	no			Sheet	of								
Assessor(s) Alica Ratting dbh	60"	Height 135	- 1	Crov	vn spr	read dia									
loois	used_Ni48	~ Margo 1	mile	LI No		e frame	3-7	ens							
Target As	sessment	0													
per				rget zor		-									
Target description		arget protection	Target within drip line	Target within 1 x Ht.	Target within 1.5 x Ht.	Occupancy rate 1-rare 2-occasional 3-frequent 4-constant	Practical to move target?	Restriction practical?							
1) 935 Ballowa Aux - Nesidance + You	rel .	None	./			14	NO	No							
(2) 1001 11 11 11		pl.	1			4		100							
3						4	10	NO							
4					-			-							
Site Fa	ictors		1	l			L.,,,,								
History of failures None Unat I an durage of.		Topography	Elat	Siona	—		A								
Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted I Prevailing wind direction West Common weather Strong winds V Ice Tree Health and	ogy□ Root cu Pavement over □ Snow□ He I Species Profi	ts Describe_ roots Ds eavy rain Des	% Deso scribe_	ribe	nter	STOM	y								
Vigor Low 🗌 Normal 🖬 High 🔲 Foliage None (seasonal) 🔲 N	one (dead)	Normal	% CI	loroti	<u>с</u>	% Noc	rotic	0/							
·	Abiotic							70							
Species failure profile Branches Trunk Roots Describe - La	nu														
Load F	actors														
Wind exposure Protected Partial Full Wind funneling	n West	Relative	e crow	n size	Small	D Mediur	n 🚺 La	irge 🗆							
Crown density sparse Norman Dense Interior branches Few	Normal 🖬 Der	ise 🗆 Vines/IV	listleto	e/Mos	s 🗆 _										
Recent or expected change in load factors															
Tree Defects and Conditions Aff	ecting the Like	elihood of Failu	ıre												
- Crown and	Branches -							~							
		Cracks Lightning damage													
Unbalanced crown L LCR 75 % Dead twigs/branches A 45 % overall Max. dia. 3*	Codominant					Include									
Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia Over-extended branches		Included bark k attachments													
Pruning history	Previous bra	evious branch failures													
Crown cleaned Thinned Raised		i/Missing bark □ Cankers/Galls/Burls □ Sapwood damage/decay □													
Reduced D Topped D Lion-tailed D Conks Heartwood decay D															
Flush cuts Other	Response gro	nath													
but dead wood require to be remaind	of concern														
				2000		tance		_							
	Load on defe		M	linor I] Mo	derate 🗆 Sig	nificant								
Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent	Likelihood of	failure Improbab	le 🗆 Po	ossible I] Pro	bable 🗆 Im	minent								
— Trunk —		- Roots a	and R	oot	Colla	ar —		\leq							
Dead/Missing bark Abnormal bark texture/color	Collar buried	i/Not visible 🛙	Den	th		Stem g	indline	-)							
Codominant stems 🗸 Included bark 🗆 Cracks 🗆	Dead 🗆		ау □	ur <u> </u>			0075	1							
Sapwood damage/decay 🛛 Cankers/Galls/Burls 🗆 Sap ooze 🗆	Ooze 🗆	Dece	-y 🗀			onks/Mush									
Lightning damage 🗆 Heartwood decay 🗆 Conks/Mushrooms 🗆		Cut/Damaged r		~		avity 🗆	- 10								
Cavity/Nest hole% circ. Depth Poor taper 🗆				DIS	stance	from trunk		_							
Lean° Corrected?	Root plate lifting Soil weakness														
Response growth	Response gr	owth of concern	11-	1	1	6		- //							
Condition (s) of concern Codomi non t stern not a signed	/ Fondition(s)	of concern	100	de	7 20	is bee	n	- 11							
Part Size Fall Distance defaut	Part Size	No		Fall	Distar	nce		/							
Load on defect N/A Minor Moderate Significant	Load on defe	t N/A□	6.4			derate 🗆 Sig		_ /							
Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent		failure Improbabl	e DPo	ssible E	Prol	bable 🗆 Imi	nincant l minent I								

Risk Categorization

									Likelihoo							d Failure & Impac					+ Consequences				
Target (Target number or description)								Failure					Impact				a Imp Iotrix :		t Consequences						
			Tree part		Condition(s) of concern			Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating (from Matrix 2)		
- 935 Ba -1001 13	albon	Inen i + In	k my		No si defect	s s	2)						√				5					Low		
		dead	bon	les	dead			1				_		7				1			1		Made		
							┢																		
							\square																		
		1					F	-	-																
		1					-					\square			1										
						ana ana amin'ny dia mana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny dia mampika Na kaodim-pana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny dia mampikana amin'ny d	\uparrow																		
		1													1										
		-						1							1										
								J		.	1					·									
1atrix I. Likeli	hood ma	atrix.								-			T	1	to the select of the	1		Li ga-dirite		İ					
Likelihood of Failure					fImpact	117_1	-			-							and a second		ł	1	-				
Imminent	Very lou Unlikely			<u>Г</u>	Vedium Likely	High Very likely	_									+			+	Ť			+ - +		
Probable	Unlikel			Som	ewhat likely	Likely																			
Possible	Unlikel	y Unlil	kely		Unlikely	Somewhat lik	ely													- 11					
Improbable	Unlikel	y Unlil	kely		Unlikely	Unlikely				1		t	1	-		t	1		t	+					
Aatrix 2. Risk	rating m	atrix.				-				-						-	1		-	-			++-		
Likelihood	SUSSICE IN CONTRACTOR		1		nces of Failu								ł			-	ł		1	ļ					
Failure & Im		Negligible	Min		Significan	t Severe Extreme	_				_														
Very likel Likely		Low	Mode Mode		High High	High				T		1	1			1	1		1	1			1 1		
Somewhat	ikely	Low	Lo		Moderate		e													Ν	lorth	. 11	1		
Unlikely	/	Low	Lo	w	Low	Low													and a	6	101	Ph	ma		
lotes, expla	nations	. descrinti	ons								1			10.00				1	1		5	5-)	yen		
Likelijh	and i	+ free	falli	ny	is imp	nolable	2 =	60	us .	~	4	10	=	-	4	10	Ri:	54		>	-	TN	ne		
-ikeks h	and	07 61	ring	61	archest	related	170	ala	11	7 10	=	n	hr	lo	ret	6	RI	34	+/		1	5	me		
-ikeliko	ww	y u	eact	w	ood n	wing is	110	35/	16	3.		n n n						1	1				J		
Avera	11 12	ist The	Any	69	1 this	Tes 13	n	lo	ler	d.	E				No. and	N.			and and			-16	Non-State State		
Vitigation o	ntions	•	Q		7 .															Tay	1.1.11	1250			
		e the	Le	N	branc	hes.												I	Resi	dua	l ris	k			
																		I	Resi	dua	l ris	k			
							- 1411 - 111											_ 1	Resi	dua	l ris	k			
ŀ																		!	Resi	dua	l ris	k			
	risk rat	ing	Lov	νΠ	Moderate	High 🗆 🛙	Evtro	me l																	
Jverall tree							LALIC	IIIC L																	
Overall tree Overall resid	dual risl	k None								Re	econ	nme	nde	ed ir	nspe	ctio	n int	terv	al	er	ren	5	3 Ypa		
Overall resid			🗆 Lov	V	Moderate [☐ High ☐ I ded ⊡No □Ye	Extre	me I			econ	nme	nde	ed ir	nspe	ctio	n int	terv	al _	<u>e</u> r	ren	ŋ.	3 yea		

This data sheet was produced by the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) - 2017



Nigel Belton Consulting Arborist

Recommended Tree Services and Pest and Disease Specialists:

Page 1

Please find below a list of recommended tree service providers. Note that all tree service providers must be State Licensed Contractors and should have an ISA Certified Arborist on staff concerning any tree pruning and maintenance work. I recommended that prospective contractors provide proof of current General Liability and Workman's Compensation Insurance coverage for your own protection.

Recommended Companies for Tree Pruning and Support Cable Installation Work:

- Lewis Tree Service (Crew with Tito) 831 476 1200
- Nature First Tree Service (now Davey Residential) 831 462 8233
- Peter Quintanilla 831 760 0160 (small tree specialist in Monterey & Santa Cruz Counties)
- Area Custom Tree Service 650 969 7076
- Smith Tree Service 831 649 4343 (Monterey Peninsula Area)
- Quality Arborcare 831 423 6441
- Arborwell (888) 969 8733
- Recommended Companies for Tree Removal Work:
- Lewis Tree Service 831 476 1200
- Community Tree Service 831 763 2391
- Christianson Tree Experts 831 425 0801
- Quality Arborcare 831 423 6441
- La Selva Tree Service (831) 708 8733
- Econo Tree Service 650 367 4900
- Professional Tree Care Co. 888 335 8733
- Atlas Tree Surgery 707 523 4399 (Santa Rosa)



Ph / Fax (831) 688-1239

P.O. Box 1744 ~ Aptos, CA 95001 ~

~ beltonnigel@gmail.com

Recommended Tree Services and Pest and Disease Specialists:

Recommended Pest and Disease Control People:

- Geno Vitale Vitale Tree Care (831) 234 3520
- Don Cox <u>drtreelove@gmail.com</u>
- West Valley Spray Company 408 378 8530
- Peninsula Pest Management (Monterey County) 831 753 2847
- Smith's Pest Management 408 514 5703

Fruit Tree Pruning and Orchard Management:

- Terence Welch 831 435 8733
- Orchard Keepers 831 854 7811

Olive Tree Specialist:

John Hadley – (707) 887-0240 – From Santa Rosa – Comes down to existing clients in this area periodically.

Referred by: Nigel Belton – Consulting Arborist – ISA Certified Arborist WE-0410A 831 688 1239 – beltonnigel@gmail.com