

9/8/222

Related Cases #

Date Submitted:

Staff:

Lauren Hollenbeck

Contact:
Olson Engineering, Inc. Attn: Mike Odren
222 E. Evergreen Blvd.

Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 695-1385 mikeo@olsonengr.com

Community Development Department | Planning 616 NE Fourth Avenue | Camas, WA 98607 (360) 817-1568 Permits@CityofCamas.us

Camas Station

General Application	Form	Case Number: Appeal 22-01						
	Applicant Ir	nformation						
Applicant/Contact::	CK Designs, LP	Phone: (760) 518-8568						
Address:	2689 Red Arrow Drive hbode@icloud.com							
	Street Address	E-mail Address						
	Las Vegas City	NV 89135 State ZIP Code						
Property Address:	Property In NW corner of intersection of NW 16th A							
Troperty Address.	Street Address Camas	County Assessor # / Parcel # WA 98607						
7 . B	City CC (Community Commercial)	State ZIP Code Site Size 94,086 SF/2.16 AC						
Zoning District	CC (Community Commercial)	Site Size 94,000 SF/2.10 AC						
Description of Project Brief description: The Applicant requests reconsideration by the Hearing Examiner on two items from the Final Order as indicated in the attached memo. for case number CUP22-02.								
Are you requesting a	consolidated review per CMC 18.55.020(B	YES NO)?						
Permits Requested:	☐ Type I ☐ Type II	☐ Type III ☐ Type IV, BOA, Other						
	Property Owner or 0	Contract Purchaser						
Owner's Name:	CK Designs, LP	Phone: (760) 518-5868						
	Last First 2689 Red Arrow Drive Las Vegas, NV							
E mail Address	Street Address hbode@icloud.com	Apartment/Unit #						
E mail Address:	City	State Zip						
	Signa	ature						
I authorize the appli the property.	cant to make this application. Further, I g	rant permission for city staff to conduct site inspections of						
Signature:	Howard Bode	Date: 09 / 08 / 2022						
Note: If multiple property owners are party to the application, an additional application form must be signed by each owner. If it is impractical to obtain a property owner signature, then a letter of authorization from the owner is required.								

Pre-Application Date:

Revised: 01/22/2019

Electronic Copy

Submitted

paid \$399.00

Validation of Fees

Application Checklist and Fees [updated on January 1, 202	Application	Checklist	and Fees	[updated or	n January	1, 2022
---	-------------	-----------	----------	-------------	-----------	---------

	Application Checklist and Fees [update	d on January 1, 2022]				
\rightarrow	Annexation \$863 - 10% petition; \$3,669 - 60% petition	001-00-345-890-00		\$		
\Diamond	Appeal Fee	001-00-345-810-00	\$399.00	\$	399.00	
\Diamond	Archaeological Review	001-00-345-810-00	\$137.00	\$		
\Diamond	Binding Site Plan \$1,879 + \$24 per unit	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
<u>۰</u>	Boundary Line Adjustment	001-00-345-810-00	\$103.00	\$		
<u>۰</u>	Comprehensive Plan Amendment	001-00-345-810-00	\$5,826.00	\$		
٥.	Conditional Use Permit					
	Residential \$3,417 + \$105 per unit	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
	Non-Residential	001-00-345-810-00	\$4,328.00	\$		
\Diamond	Continuance of Public Hearing	001-00-345-810-00	\$524.00	\$		
	Critical or Sensitive Areas (fee per type)	001-00-345-810-00	\$775.00	\$		
	, , , ,	(wetlands, steep slopes or potentially unstable soils, streams and watercourses, vegetation removal, wildlife habitat)				
\Diamond	Design Review					
	Minor	001-00-345-810-00	\$433.00	\$		
	Committee	001-00-345-810-00	\$2,375.00	\$		
\Diamond	Development Agreement \$877 first hearing; \$530 ea. add'l hearing/continuance		, ,	\$		
	Engineering Department Review - Fees Collected at Time of Engineering Plan			т		
•		of approved estimated constru	ection costs)			
		e shown for information only)	\$420.00			
		e shown for information only)	\$208.00			
		e shown for information only)	\$1,041.00			
\	<u>Fire Department Review</u>					
	Short Plat or other Development Construction Plan Review & Insp.	115-09-345-830-10	\$284.00	\$		
	Subdivision or PRD Construction Plan Review & Inspection	115-09-345-830-10	\$354.00	\$		
	Commercial Construction Plan Review & Inspection	115-09-345-830-10	\$424.00	\$		
\Diamond	Home Occupation					
	Minor - Notification (No fee)		\$0.00			
	Major	001-00-321-900-00	\$69.00	\$		
\Diamond	LI/BP Development \$4,328 + \$41.00 per 1000 sf of GFA	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
\rightarrow	Minor Modifications to approved development	001-00-345-810-00	\$346.00	\$		
\	Planned Residential Development \$35 per unit + subdivision fee	s 001-00-345-810-00		\$		
\Diamond	Plat, Preliminary					
	Short Plat 4 lots or less: \$1,936 per lot	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
	Short Plat 5 lots or more: \$7,1755 + \$250 per lot	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
	Subdivision \$7,175 + \$250 per lot	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
\Diamond	Plat, Final:					
	Short Plat	001-00-345-810-00	\$200.00	\$		
	Subdivision	001-00-345-810-00	\$2,375.00	\$		
\rightarrow	Plat Modification/Alteration	001-00-345-810-00	\$1,196.00	\$		
٥.	Pre-Application (Type III or IV Permits)					
	No fee for Type I or II					
	General	001-00-345-810-00	\$354.00	\$		
	Subdivision (Type III or IV)	001-00-345-810-00	\$911.00	\$		
\rightarrow	SEPA	001-00-345-890-00	\$810.00	\$		
	Shoreline Permit	001-00-345-890-00	\$1,196.00	\$		
	Sign Permit		, ,	r		
•	General Sign Permit (Exempt if building permit is required)	001.00.322.400.00	\$41.00	\$		
	Master Sign Permit	001.00.322.400.00	\$126.00	\$		
\rightarrow	Site Plan Review	11	7.20,00	*		
•	Residential \$1,151 + \$34 per unit	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
	Non-Residential \$2,876 + \$68 per 1000 sf of GFA	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
	Mixed Residential/Non Residential (see below)	001-00-345-810-00		\$		
	\$4,055 + \$34 per res unit + \$68 per 1000 s			φ		
۸	\$4,000 + \$54 per res or in + \$66 per rooms Temporary Use Permit	001-00-321-990-00	\$80.00	¢		
	· · · ·			\$ \$		
	Variance (Minor)	001-00-345-810-00	\$695.00			
	Variance (Major)	001-00-345-810-00	\$1,295.00	\$		
()	Zone Change (single tract)	001-00-345-810-00	\$3,345.00	\$		

Adopted by RES 1023 AUG 2005; Revised by RES 1113 SEPT 2007; Revised by RES 1163 OCT 2009; Revised by RES 1204 NOV 2010; Revised by RES 15-001 JAN 2015; Revised by RES 15-007 MAY 2015; Revised by RES 15-018 DEC 2015; Revised by RES 16-019 NOV 2016; Revised by RES 17-015 NOV 2017; Revised by RES 18-003 APRIL 2018; Revised by RES 18-013 NOV 2018; Revised by RES 19-018 DEC 2019 Revised by RES 20-014 DEC 2020

Fees reviewed & approved by Planner:

Initial Date

For office use only Total Fees Due: \$ 399.00



September 8, 2022

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL: communitydevelopment@cityofcamas.us Original via hand delivery

Joe Turner, AICP City of Camas Hearings Examiner c/o Lauren Hollenbeck, Senior Planner Community Development | Planning Division 616 NE 4th Avenue Camas, WA 98607

> RE: Camas Station (File No. CUP22-02)

> > Our File No: 12652-30427

Dear Honorable Hearings Examiner:

This office represents CK Designs, LP (the "Applicant") which submitted the above referenced consolidated application for that certain real property located at the northwest corner of the intersection of NW 16th Avenue and NW Brady Road, commonly known as tax parcel #127357-000 (the "Property") requesting: (1) a short plat dividing the 2.16 acre parcel into three (3) commercial properties; (2) site plan review for a 7,350 square foot convenience store, car wash, and 12 pump gas station, a 3,900 square foot drive thru coffee shop/retail building, and a 2,800 square foot retail building, including landscaping, parking, utilities, and other improvements (the "Proposed Development"); (3) design review of the Proposed Development; and, (4) a conditional use permit to allow for the proposed gas station and drivethru coffee shop in the Community Commercial (CC) zone (collectively the "Application"). A hearing was held before the Hearings Examiner on July 26, 2022 (the "Hearing") and the Hearings Examiner issued an approval of the Application on August 25, 2022 (the "Decision"). The Applicant is requesting reconsideration of the following items contained in the Decision, as addressed individually below.

I. **CONDITION OF APPROVAL NO. 37**

The Decision approved the Application subject to various conditions of approval including the

Park Place, Suite 200 250 Church Street SE Salem, Oregon 97301 Post Office Box 470 Salem, Oregon 97308

tel 503.399.1070

fax 503.371.2927

following condition of approval found on page 25 of the Decision:

37. Revised site plans are to be submitted demonstrating that a minimum 60 feet of right-of-way exists along the section of NW 16th Avenue abutting the site or dedicate additional right-of-way as necessary to provide a minimum a total right-of-way width of 60-feet.

The Applicant is requesting reconsideration of this condition of approval because it is constitutes an unlawful taking. Under the Camas Municipal Code ("*CMC*") 17.19.040(B)(1) "half-width street improvements are required to the centerline of the right-of-way and are to be provide the minimum structural street section per the CDSM." The CDSM standards state that the applicable roadway standards for a two (2) lane collector or arterial are:

- (1) 60 foot ROW width;
- (2) 36 feet of paved street width;
- (3) Five (5) foot wide detached sidewalks with four foot six inch (4.5') and five foot (5') planter strips on either side;
- (4) No parking on either side of the street;
- (5) Street lighting;
- (6) Curb radii at drive access locations at a minimum of 35-feet;
- (7) ADA accessible curb ramps on both sides of the drive access location; and
- (8) Off-street parking setbacks, at the ingress aisles, located a minimum of 40-feet from back of sidewalk.

Pursuant to the CMC and applicable standards, the Applicant is only required to provide a half street improvement, including the dedication of adequate right-of-way, measured from the centerline of NW 16th Avenue. As Applicant provided in its application materials and as shown on the Applicant's Site Plan, designated in the record as *Exhibit 6*, the existing right of way along the northern side of the centerline of NW 16th Avenue is 20 feet, meaning that in order to meet Applicant's obligation, it is only required to dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way to meet the required half street improvement outlined above. Applicant's Proposed Development exceeds this requirement, proposing a dedication of 17 feet, which results in a 37 right of way dedication on the Applicant's side of the existing centerline, exceeding its obligations.

While the City is authorized to require the Applicant to dedicate adequate right-of-way to meet its obligation under the CMC, the City is not authorized to require the Applicant to dedicate additional right of way when there is not a nexus between the nature and extent of the Of the Proposed Development and its purported impact. The City has failed to provide the requisite "individualized determination that the dedication is related both in nature and extent to the proposed development's impact." Sparks v. Douglas County, 127 Wash. 2d 901, 910-913; 904 P.2d 738, 743-744 (1995) citing Dolan v. City of Tigard, 512 U.S. 374 (1994). While the Applicant agrees that there is a nexus between the City's interest in promoting road safety and providing for the long term development of the transportation in the surrounding area, as in Sparks the Applicant's position is that the additional three (3') feet of ROW dedication is disproportionate to the expected impacts and would not comply with the United States Supreme Court's rulings in Nollan v. California Coastal Commission, 483 S Ct 825 (1987) or in Koontz v. St. Johns River Water Management District, 133 S Ct 2586 (2013). As approved, condition of approval No. 37 constitutes an unconstitutional taking, in violation of the Article I, Section 16 of the Washington State

Constitution and the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

The Applicant respectfully requests that the Hearings Officer reconsider the application of condition of approval No. 37 and re-issue the Decision without the identified condition, or in the alternative, issue the Decision with the below revised language:

37. Applicant will dedicate right-of-way as necessary to provide at a minimum a total right-of-way half-width of 30-feet as measured from the centerline of NW 16th Avenue along the section of NW 16th Avenue abutting the site.

II. EAST BOUND TURN LANE ON NW 16TH AVENUE

The Applicant also requests the removal of references in the Decision to the addition of an east bound turn lane on NW 16th Avenue. The Applicant believes that these references were included in error. Attached to this request as *Exhibit "A,"* which is incorporated herein by this reference, is an aerial overview of the intersection of NW 16th Avenue and NW Brady Road. As shown, there is already an existing east bound turn lane on NW 16th Avenue. Neither the Applicant's materials nor the staff report identify the need for the addition of an east bound turn lane in this location.

The Applicant requests that the Hearings Examiner reissue the Decision removing the following language from Section (6)(a)(vii) on page 13:

The applicant will add an eastbound left turn lane on NW 16th Avenue at NW Brady Road, which may alleviate some existing congestion by providing a separate queuing area for vehicles waiting to turn left onto NW Brady Road, allowing eastbound traffic to continue uninterrupted.

Applicant suggests replacing this language with the following revised language:

There is an existing eastbound left turn lane on NW 16th Avenue at NW Brady Road, which provides a separate queuing area for vehicles waiting to turn left onto NW Brady Road, allowing eastbound traffic to continue uninterrupted, which helps address congestion in the area during peak traffic times.

Additionally, the Applicant requests a revision to the following condition of approval found on page 25 of the Decision:

43. Signing and striping plans are to be submitted for review and approval that address the required restriping and applicable signing on NW 16th Avenue to accommodate the new turning movements for the proposed development.

Applicant proposes the following revised condition No. 43, removing the reference to the eastbound turn lane:

43. Signing and striping plans are to be submitted for review and approval that address any required restriping and applicable signing for the proposed development.

The Applicant respectfully request that the Hearings Examiner re-issue the Decision with the above

requested revisions.

III. **CONCLUSION**

The Applicant respectfully requests that the Hearings Examiner re-issue the Decision, removing Condition No. 37 and removing all references in the Decision to the Applicant's addition of an eastbound left turn lane.

Sincerely,

MARGARET Y. GANDER-VO

Margaret of grandedo

margaret@sglaw.com

Voice Message #374

MYG: hst

Enclosures

HOWARD J. BODE, MANAGER

CK DESIGNS, LP hbode@mac.com 760-518-8568

EXHIBIT A

AERIAL OF INTERSECTION AT

NW BRADY ROAD AND NW 16TH AVENUE

