
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING STUDY

13th Street Gas Station NE 13th Street & NW Friberg-Strunk Street Camas, Clark County, Washington Tax Lot No. (176148000)

> Prepared for: Taz Khan 701 Columbia Street #109 Vancouver, WA 98660

> > Prepared By:

Donald J. Bruno, CEG Engineering Geologist



Project No. G26-0723

{August 2023}

Earth Engineering Inc. PO Box 1512, Ridgefield, Washington 98642 (360) 600-6518

Earth Engineering, Inc.

Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants

Taz Khan 701 Columbia Street #109 Vancouver, Washington 98660 August 31st 2023 G26-0723

Subject:

Geotechnical Engineering Study

13th Street Gas Station, C-Store & Car Wash NE 13th Street & NW Friberg-Strunk Street

Camas, Clark County, Washington

(Tax Lot No. 176148000)

Hello Taz,

We are pleased to submit our engineering report for the subject property located in Camas, Washington. This report presents the results of our field exploration, selective laboratory tests, field testing and engineering analyses.

Based on the results of this study, it is our opinion that construction of the proposed commercial structures is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint, provided recommendations presented in this report are included in the project design.

We appreciate the opportunity to have been of service to you and look forward to working with you in the future. Should you have any questions about the content of this report, or if we can be of further assistance, please call.

Respectfully Submitted, Earth Engineering Inc.,

Donald J. Brunb, CEG Engineering Geologist

TABLE OF CONTENTS

{G26-0723}

INTRODUCTION		
General		
Project Description		1
SITE CONDITIONS		1-2
Surface		
Subsurface		
General Regional Geology		2
LABORATORY TESTING		2-3
SEISMIC HAZARD EVALUAT	<u>ION</u>	
	ENDATIONS	
	ENDATIONS.	
Site Preparation & Grading		
Foundations		5_6
Slab on Grade		5-C
Temporary Excavations		
Infiltration Testing		
Site Drainage		
Utility Support & Back Fill		7-8
Pavements		8
Additional Services		9
LIMITATIONS		9
GRAPHICS		
Figure 1	Vicinity Mon	
Figure 2	Vicinity Map Site Plan (Test Pit & Infiltration Locations)	
Figure 3	Typical Footing Drain Detail	
Figure 4	Typical Utility Trench Back Fill Detail	
APPENDICES		
Appendix A	Field Exploration	
Plate A1	Unified Soil Classification- Legend	
Plates A2-A3	Log of Exploratory Test Pits	
	- S or Employment J Lest I Its	

G26-0723 Page 1

INTRODUCTION

General

This report presents the results of the geotechnical engineering study completed by Earth Engineering, Inc. for the proposed gas station, convenience store and drive thru car wash located in Camas, Washington. The general location of the site is shown on the *Vicinity Map*, *Figure 1*. At the time our study was performed, the site and our exploratory locations are approximately as shown on the *Site Plan*, *Figure 2*.

The purpose of this study was to explore subsurface conditions at the site and based on the conditions encountered provide geotechnical recommendations for the proposed construction. In addition this report includes infiltration testing for stormwater design and a seismic hazard evaluation.

Project Description

Based on the information that was provided to us by the project civil engineer (PLS), it is our understanding the site will be developed with a one story convenience store building that will provide four thousand one-hundred (4100) square feet of floor space, a one thousand one-hundred and eighty nine (1189) square foot drive thru car wash, a fuel pumping island, trash enclosure and an asphalt paved access driveway with vehicle parking spaces. Construction will also include the installation of a stormwater system and subsurface utilities.

Due to the relatively flat topography, it is anticipated that earthwork cuts and fills will be less than two feet to achieve the desired design grade. The convenience store and car wash structures will be constructed with a metal or wood frame and slab on grade floors.

Structural design loads were not available at the time this report was written. However, based on our experience with similar projects, we anticipate that wall and column loads will be approximately seven hundred and fifty (750) to one thousand five hundred (1500) pounds per lineal foot (maximum dead plus live loads). Slab on grade loads will most likely range from one hundred (100) to one hundred and fifty (150) pounds per square foot (psf).

If any of the above information is incorrect or changes, we should be consulted to review the recommendations contained in this report. In any case, it is recommended that Earth Engineering, Inc. perform a general review of the final design for the proposed construction.

SITE CONDITIONS

Surface

The rectangular shaped property encompasses approximately one acre. An existing single family residence was located at the central west area of the property. The adjacent properties to the south and west are moderately to heavily vegetated with single family homes on acreage. NE 13th Street borders the north side and NW Friberg-Strunk Street.

Earth Engineering, Inc. PO Box 1512, Ridgefield, WA 98642 (360) 600-6518

G26-0723 Page 2

The property is relatively level and slopes gently downward from the north to the south and southeast with an overall elevation change of approximately five feet and a gradient that is less than two percent (2%). The property has been landscaped with a manicured lawn as well as a variety of trees and flowering bushes.

Subsurface & Soil Classification

For this study, the site was explored by excavating two test pits at the approximate locations shown on the *Site Plan*, *Figure 2*. Infiltration testing was performed in both test pits. All soil was classified following the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). A USCS Legend is included as Plate A1. A description of the field exploration methods is included in Appendix A.

In general, in our test pits we encountered very stiff to hard soil consisting of Silt (ML) and lean Clay (CL) to the maximum exploration depth of ten and one half (10.5) feet below the existing ground surface. Please refer to the test pit logs, Plates A-2 thru A-3, for a more detailed description of the conditions encountered.

Groundwater

During the time of our field exploration (August 2023) groundwater was not encountered in any of our test pits. It is important to note that groundwater conditions are not static; fluctuations may be expected in the level and seepage flow depending on the season, amount of, surface water runoff, and other factors. Generally, groundwater levels are higher and the seepage rate is greater in the wetter winter months (typically October through May).

General Regional Geology

General information about geologic conditions and soil in the vicinity of the site was obtained by reviewing the Geologic Map of Washington-Southwest Quadrant, Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, (Geologic Map GM-34, 1987). This map provides general information about geologic units in the Camas, Clark County, Washington area.

Our review of existing geological information indicates that soils in the vicinity of the subject site were formed from alluvial deposits during the Quaternary Period. Outburst flood deposits from glacial Lake Missoula deposited these sedimentary soils. The native material encountered in our test pits consists predominantly of Silt.

LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory tests were conducted on representative soil samples to verify or modify the field soil classification of the units encountered, and to evaluate the general physical properties as well as the engineering characteristics of the soils encountered. The following provides information about the testing procedures performed on representative soil samples and the general condition of subsurface soil conditions encountered:

- Moisture Content (ASTM-D2216-92) tests were performed on representative samples. The native Silt has a moisture content that ranges from thirteen to forty three percent (13-43 %). The native lean clay has a moisture content of thirty eight percent (38%)
- In-Situ Soil Density (ASTM-D4564-93) utilizing the sleeve method was performed on representative samples to determine the wet and dry density of native soil. The in-situ density provides a relative indication of soil support characteristics. The average wet density of the native silt is one hundred and ten (110) pounds per cubic foot (pcf). The average dry density of this soil is ninety six (96) pcf.

Laboratory testing confirms that subsurface soil consists predominantly of Silt and lean Clay. These types of soil are sensitive to changes in moisture content. Moisture sensitive soils are discussed in more detail in the *Site Preparation and Grading* section of this report.

The results of laboratory tests performed on specific samples are provided at the appropriate sample depth on the individual test pit logs. However, it is important to note that some variation of subsurface conditions may exist. Our geotechnical recommendations are based on our interpretation of these test results.

SEISMIC HAZARD EVALUATION

The following provides a seismic hazard evaluation for the proposed commercial building and fuel island. Our evaluation is based on subsurface conditions encountered at the site during the time of our geotechnical study and a review of applicable geologic maps (Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Geologic Map of Washington-Southwest Quadrant, 1987) and the International Building Code (IBC-2018) guidelines.

In general, supportive soil at the subject site consists of very stiff to hard Silt. The geologic map indicates that no known active faults are located within one-mile of the subject site. Soils encountered at the site are classified as a type "D" soil in accordance with "Seismic Design Categories" (IBC 2018, Section 1803.5.12).

Liquefaction:

Structures are subject to damage from earthquakes due to direct and indirect action. Shaking represents direct action. Indirect action is represented by foundation failures and is typified by liquefaction. Liquefaction occurs when soil loses all shear strength for short periods of time during an earthquake. Ground shaking of sufficient duration results in the loss of grain to grain contact as well as a rapid increase in pore water pressure. This causes the soil to assume physical properties of a fluid.

To have potential for liquefaction a soil must be loose, cohesion-less (generally sands and silts), below the groundwater table, and must be subjected to sufficient magnitude and duration of ground shaking. The effects of liquefaction may be large total settlement and/or large differential settlement for structures with foundations in or above the liquefied soil. Based on the very stiff to hard soil conditions encountered and the absence of a near surface groundwater table, it is not likely that soil liquefaction would occur at the subject site during a seismic event.

Earth Engineering, Inc. PO Box 1512, Ridgefield, WA 98642 (360) 600-6518

G26-0723 Page 4

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

General

Based on the results of our study, it is our opinion the commercial project can be developed as planned provided the geotechnical recommendations contained in this report are incorporated into the final design. The proposed buildings (convenience store & car wash) as well as the fuel island canopy can be supported on conventional shallow spread footings bearing either entirely on competent native soil or compacted structural fill. Supporting the proposed buildings on homogeneous material will significantly decrease the potential for differential settlement across the foundation area.

This report has been prepared for specific application to this project only and in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in this area for the exclusive use of Taz Khan and their representatives. This report, in its entirety, should be included in the project documents for information to the contractor. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Site Preparation and Grading

The site shall be stripped and cleared of all vegetation, organic matter and any other deleterious material. Stripped material should not be mixed with any soils to be used as fill. Stripped soil could potentially be used for topsoil at landscape areas after removing vegetation and screening out organic matter.

Building and Driveway Areas:

After clearing and grading, the exposed sub-grade at building and pavement areas should be compacted to a dense non-yielding condition with suitable compaction equipment. This phase of earthwork compaction shall be performed prior to the placement of structural fill, at the bottom of all foundation excavations, interior and exterior concrete slabs, as well as the driveway-parking areas, before the placement of base rock.

Structural Fill:

Structural fill is defined as any soil placed under buildings or any other load bearing-areas. Structural fill placed under footings and slab on grade should be placed in thin horizontal lifts not exceeding eight inches and compacted to a minimum ninety-five percent (95%) of its maximum dry density (Modified Proctor ASTM D1557). The fill material should be placed within two to three percent of the optimum moisture content.

Fill under pavements should also be placed in lifts approximately eight inches in thickness, and compacted to a minimum of ninety percent (92%) of its maximum dry density (Modified Proctor ASTM D1598), except for the top twelve (12) inches which should be compacted to ninety-five percent (95%) of the maximum dry density.

G26-0723 Page 5

We recommend that structural fill consist of a well graded granular material having a maximum size of two inches and no more than five percent (5%) fines passing the #200 sieve, based on the ¾ inch fraction. It is recommended that any structural fill planned for onsite use, be submitted for approval prior to import.

The placement and compaction of structural fill should be observed by a representative from our office to verify that fill has been placed and compacted in accordance with the approved project plans and specifications.

It should be noted that the depth of excavation to competent soil at foundation footings and floor slab areas could be greater or less than anticipated depending on conditions encountered. Our test pits provide general information about subsurface soil and groundwater conditions.

Wet Weather Construction & Moisture Sensitive Soils:

Field observations and laboratory testing indicates that soil encountered at the site consists of moisture sensitive Silt and lean Clay. As such in an exposed condition moisture sensitive soil can become disturbed during normal construction activity, especially when in a wet or saturated condition. Once disturbed, in a wet condition, these soils will be unsuitable for support of foundations, floor slabs and pavements.

Therefore, where soil is exposed and will support new construction, care must be taken not to disturb their condition. If disturbed soil conditions develop, the affected soil must be removed and replaced with structural fill. The depth of removal will be dependent on the depth of disturbance developed during construction. Covering the excavated area with plastic and refraining from excavation activities during rainfall will minimize the disturbance and decrease the potential degradation of supportive soils.

Earthwork grading and foundation construction will be difficult during the wet winter and spring seasons. Based on this condition we suggest that grading and foundation construction be completed during the drier summer and fall seasons.

Foundations

Based on the encountered subsurface soil conditions, preliminary building design criteria, and assuming compliance with the preceding *Site Preparation and Grading* section, the proposed building may be supported on conventional shallow spread footings bearing entirely on six inches of compacted granular structural fill.

Individual spread footings or continuous wall footings providing support for the proposed commercial building and pump island canopy may be designed for a maximum allowable bearing value of two -thousand (2000) pounds per square foot (psf).

Footings for a one level structure should be at least twelve (12) inches in width. Footings for a two-level structure should be a minimum of fifteen (15) inches in width. In either case, all footings should extend to a depth of at least eighteen (18) inches below the lowest adjacent finished sub grade for lateral support and frost heave considerations.

Earth Engineering, Inc. PO Box 1512, Ridgefield, WA 98642 (360) 600-6518

G26-0723 Page 6

These basic allowable bearing values are for dead plus live loads and may be increased one-third for combined dead, live, wind, and seismic forces. It is estimated that total and differential footing settlements for the relatively light building will be approximately one-half and one-quarter inches, respectively.

Lateral loads can be resisted by friction between the foundation and the supporting sub grade or by passive earth pressure acting on the buried portions of the foundation. For the latter, the foundations must be poured "neat" against the existing soil or back filled with a compacted fill meeting the requirements of structural fill.

• Passive Pressure = 300 pcf equivalent fluid weight

• Coefficient of Friction = 0.40

We recommend that all footing excavations be observed by a representative of Earth Engineering, Inc. prior to placing forms or rebar, to verify that sub grade support conditions are as anticipated in this report, and/or provide modifications in the design as required.

Slab on Grade

The sub-grade for all concrete floor slab areas should be compacted to a dense non-yielding condition prior to the placement of base rock. It is important to note that the existing sub-grade soil may become too wet to re-compact due to weather conditions. If supportive soils become saturated it may be necessary to remove the unsuitable material and replace it with imported granular structural fill.

Interior floor slabs should be provided with a minimum of six inches of compacted granular structural fill after compacting the sub-grade. In areas where moisture is undesirable, a vapor barrier such as a 8-mil plastic membrane should be placed beneath the slab.

Temporary Excavations

The following information is provided solely as a service to our client. Under no circumstances should this information be interpreted to mean that Earth Engineering Inc. is assuming responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's activities; such responsibility is not being implied and should not be inferred.

In no case should excavation slopes be greater than the limits specified in local, state and federal safety regulations. Based on the information obtained from our field exploration and laboratory testing, the site soils expected to be encountered in excavations, very stiff to hard Silt would be classified as a Type "A" soil by OSHA guidelines.

Therefore, temporary excavations and cuts greater than four feet in height, should be sloped at an inclination no steeper than 3/4H:1V (horizontal:vertical) for type "A" soils. If slopes of this inclination, or flatter, cannot be constructed or if excavations greater than ten feet in depth are required, temporary shoring will be necessary.

G26-0723 Page 7

Infiltration Testing

During August of 2023, infiltration testing was performed at two locations at four and one half and six feet below the existing ground surface. The approximate location of the infiltration tests are shown on the *Site Plan, Figure 2*.

Infiltration testing was conducted in general accordance with standard engineering practices The Encased Falling Head Test consists of driving a fifteen (15) inch long, six-inch diameter pipe six inches into the exposed ground surface at the bottom of the test pit. The pipe is filled with water as the soil around the bottom and below the pipe is saturated for several hours. The pipe is filled again, and the amount of time required for the water to fall, per inch, for six inches, is recorded. This step is performed a minimum of three times. The test results are averaged and calculated in inches per hour.

Testing yielded infiltration rates that ranged from 0.1 to 0.25 inches per hour. Based on these infiltration rates and the very stiff to hard soil conditions encountered, it is our opinion on-site soil is not conducive to infiltration. Alternative methods will need to be employed for stormwater control.

Site Drainage

The site should be graded so that surface water is directed off the site. Water should not be allowed to stand in any area where buildings or slabs are to be constructed. Loose surfaces should be sealed at the end of each workday by compacting the surface to reduce the potential for moisture infiltration into the soils. Final site grades should allow for drainage away from the building foundation. The ground should be sloped at a gradient of three percent for a distance of at least ten feet away from the buildings.

We recommend that a footing drain be installed around the perimeter of the building just below the invert of the footing with a gradient sufficient to initiate flow. Under no circumstances should the roof down spouts be connected to the footing drain system. We suggest that clean outs be installed at several accessible locations to allow for the periodic maintenance of the footing drain system. Details for the footing drain have been included on *Figure 3*, *Typical Footing Drain Detail*.

Utility Support and Back Fill

Based on the conditions encountered, the soil to be exposed by utility trenches should provide adequate support for utilities. Utility trench backfill is a concern in reducing the potential for settlement along utility alignments, particularly in pavement areas. It is also important that each section of utility line be adequately supported in the bedding material. The back fill material should be hand tamped to ensure support is provided around the pipe haunches.

Fill should be carefully placed and hand tamped to about twelve inches above the crown of the pipe before any compaction equipment is used. The remainder of the trench back fill should be placed in lifts having a loose thickness of ten inches.

G26-0723 Page 8

A typical trench backfill section and compaction requirements for load supporting and non-load supporting areas is presented on *Figure 4*, *Utility Trench Backfill Detail*. Trench back fill may consist of imported granular fill provided the material is approved, placed and compacted near the optimum moisture content.

Imported granular material or on-site soil to be used as backfill should be submitted to our laboratory at least one week prior to construction so that we can provide a laboratory proctor for field density testing. If native soil is planned for use as backfill, additional testing may be required to determine the suitability of the material.

Pavements

The durability of pavements is related in part to the condition of the underlying sub grade. To provide a properly prepared sub grade for pavements, we recommend the sub grade be treated and prepared as described in the *Site Preparation and Grading* section of this report.

It is possible that some localized areas of soft, wet or unstable sub grade may still exist after this process. Before placement of any base rock, the sub grade should be compacted with suitable compaction equipment. Yielding areas that are identified should be excavated to firm material and replaced with compacted one and one quarter inch-minus clean-crushed rock. The following pavement sections are recommended for the proposed pavement areas:

- Entrance Driveway & Truck Turnaround Four inches of Asphalt Concrete (AC) over ten inches of compacted Crushed Rock Base (CRB), over a geo-grid consisting of Tensar BX 1200 or equivalent.
- Parking Stalls for Automobiles Three inches of Asphalt Concrete (AC) over eight inches of compacted Crushed Rock Base (CRB) material.

The geo-grid should be placed directly on the sub grade surface of the driveway prior to placement of base rock. Appropriate geo-textiles have been designed to increase the strength of the sub grade and extend pavement life.

Asphaltic Cement (AC) and Crushed Rock Base (CRB) materials should conform to WSDOT specifications. All base rock should be compacted to at least ninety-five percent (95 %) of the ASTM D1557-91 laboratory test standard.

We recommend that a minimum of eight inches of compacted CRB be placed below all exterior slabs. Exterior concrete slabs that are subject to vehicle traffic loads should be at five inches in thickness. It is also suggested that nominal reinforcement such as "6x6-10/10" welded wire mesh be installed, near midpoint, in new exterior concrete slabs and paying.

Additional Services & Earthwork Monitoring

Earth Engineering, Inc. will be available to provide consultation services related to review of the final design to verify that the recommendations within our purview have been properly interpreted and implemented in the approved construction plans and specifications. A representative from our office will be available to attend a pre-construction meeting to discuss and/or clarify all geotechnical issues related to the proposed project.

In addition, it is suggested that our office be retained to provide geotechnical services during construction to observe compliance with the design concepts and project specifications and to allow design changes in the event subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated. Our construction services would include monitoring and documenting the following:


- Verify that site has been adequately stripped of organic materials.
- Observe the condition of exposed bearing soils at the building areas.
- Laboratory proctor tests for structural fill materials.
- · Observe compaction and provide density testing of structural fill.
- Observe compaction and provide density testing of utility trench backfill.
- Provide footing inspection at the building & canopy footings to verify soil bearing capacity.
- Verify the installation of all building and site drainage elements.
- Provide density testing of the asphalt driveway and parking areas.

LIMITATIONS

Our recommendations and conclusions are based on the site materials observed, selective laboratory testing, engineering analyses, the design information provided to Earth Engineering, Inc. and our experience as well as engineering judgment. The conclusions and recommendations are professional opinions derived in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in this area. No warranty is expressed or implied.

The recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the test pits. Soil and groundwater conditions may vary from those encountered. The nature and extent of variations may not become evident until construction. If variations do appear, Earth Engineering, Inc. should be requested to reevaluate the recommendations contained in this report and to modify or verify them in writing prior to proceeding with the proposed construction.

VICINITY MAP








CLIENT:	TAZ KHAN	DRAWN:	EG
PROJECT:	H STREET GAS STATION	DATE:	09/2023
	T & NW FRIBERG-STRUNK ST	FIGURE:	1
The state of the s	CAMAS, WA	PRO. #:	G26-0723

SITE PLAN




LEGEND

I-1
 Approximate Infiltration Test Location





CLIENT:	TAZ KHAN	DRAWN:	EG
PROJECT:	TH CTREET CAS STATION	DATE:	09/2023
2000	TH STREET GAS STATION ST & NW FRIBERG -STRUNK ST	FIGURE:	2
	CAMAS, WA	PRO. #:	G26-0723




- 1. FILTER SAND FINE AGGREGATE FOR PORTLAND CEMENT; SECTION 9=03.1(2)
- 2. PERFORATED OR SLOTTED RIGID PVC PIPE WITH A POSITIVE DRAINAGE GRADIENT
- 3. FILTER FABRIC OPTIONAL IF FILTER SAND USED

TYPICAL FOOTING DRAIN DETAIL

Not to Scale




٦	CLIENT:	13TH STREET GAS STATION	DRAWN:	DB
	PROJECT:	COMMERCIAL BUILDING	DATE:	07/2023
	NE 13T	H ST. & NW FRIBERG-STRUCK ST.	FIGURE:	3
		VANCOUVER, WA	PRO. #: 0	926-0723



LEGEND



Asphalt or Concrete Pavement




Roadway Base Material or Base Rock



Backfill: Compacted on-site soil or imported select fill material as described in the site preparation of the general Earthwork Section of the attached report text.



Minimum percentage of maximum Laboratory Dry Density as determined by ASTM Test method D1557 (Modified Proctor), unless otherwise specified in the attached report text.



Bedding Material: Material type depends on type of pipe and laying conditions. Bedding should conform to the manufacturer's recommendations for the type of pipe selected.

UTILITY TRENCH BACKFILL DETAIL

Not to Scale



CLIENT:	13TH ST. GAS STATION	DRAWN: DB
PROJECT:	COMMERCIAL BUILDING	DATE: 07/2023
NE 13TH ST. & NW FRIBERG-STRUNK		FIGURE: 4
	CAMAS, WA	PRO. #: G26-0723

APPENDIX A

(FIELD EXPLORATION)

FIELD EXPLORATION

Our field exploration was performed on August 4th 2023. Subsurface conditions at the site were explored by excavating two test pits. The test pits were excavated to a maximum depth of ten and one half (10.5) feet below the existing ground surface. The test pits were excavated using a trackhoe.

The test pits were located by pacing from property features. The locations are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. Field exploration was monitored by an Earth Engineering, Inc. representative, who classified the soils that we encountered and maintained a log of each test pit, obtained representative samples, and observed pertinent site features. Representative soil samples were placed in closed containers and returned to the laboratory for further examination and testing.

All samples were identified using the Standard Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (ASTM D2487-93) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), which is presented on Plate A-1. The test pit log and boring logs are presented in Appendix A. The final log represents our interpretations of the field logs and the results of the laboratory tests on field samples.


UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM LEGEND

)	MAJOR DIVISI	ONS	GRAPH SYMBOL	LETTER SYMBOL	TYPICAL DESCRIPTION		
Gravel and			Gravel and	Gravel and Clean Gravels		GW gw	Well-Graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures Little or no Fines
Coarse	Gravelly Soils More Than	3		GP gp	Poorly-Graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures, Little or no Fines		
Grained Soils	50% Coarse Fraction Retained on	Gravels with Fines (appreciable amount		GM gm	Silty Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Silt Mixtures		
	No 4 Sieve	of fines)		GC gc	Clayey Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Clay Mixtures		
	Sand and	Clean Sand		SW SW	Well-graded Sands, Gravelly Sands Little or no Fines		
More Than 50% Material Larger Than	Sandy Soils More Than	(little or no fines)		SP sp	Poorly-Graded Sands, Gravelly Sands Little or no Fines		
No 200 Sieve Size	Fraction	50% Coarse Fraction Passing No 4 Sieve Sands with Fines (appreciable amount of fines)		SM sm	Silty Sands, Sand-Silt Mixtures		
	101211111111111111111111111111111111111			SC sc	Clayey Sands, Sand-Clay Mixtures		
	0.14-			ML ml	Inorganic Silts and Very Fine Sands, Rock Flour, Silty-Clayey Fine Sands; Clayey Silts w/ slight Plasticity		
Fine Grained Soils	Silts and Clays	Liquid Limit Less than 50		CL CI	Inorganic Clays of Low to Medium Plasticity, Gravelly Clays, Sandy Clays, Silty Clays, Lean		
Conc	J.Syc			OL OI	Organic Silts and Organic Silty Clays of Low Plasticity		
More Than	Cilto			MH mh	Inorganic Silts, Micaceous or Diatomaceous Fine Sand or Silty Soils		
50% Material Silts Smaller Than and No 200 Clays Sieve Size	and	Crooter than 60		CH ch	Inorganic Clays of High Plasticity, Fat Clays		
	3.323	2.370		OH oh	Organic Clays of Medium to High Plasticity, Organic Silts		
- E	Highly Organic S	Soils		PT pt	Peat, Humus, Swamp Soils with High Organic Contents		

Topsoil	Humus and Duff Layer	
Fill	Highly Variable Constituents	

11	Earth Engineering Inc.
GEOT	ECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

CLIENT:	13TH STREET GAS STATION	DRAWN:	DB
PROJECT:	COMMERCIAL BUILDING	DATE:	07/2023
NE13TI	ST. & NW FRIBERG-STRUNK ST.	PLATE:	A1
	CAMAS, WA	PRO. #: G	26-0723




Bottom of test pit at 10.5 feet below existing ground surface.

No groundwater encountered.

111	Earth Engineering, Inc.
GEO	TECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

CLIENT:	TAZ KHAN	DRAWN:	DB
PROJECT:	3TH ST GAS STATION	DATE:	09/2023
and the construction of the	ST & NW FRIBERG-STRUNK ST CAMAS, WA	PLATE:	A-2
	OAIVIAS, WA	PRO. #:	G26-0723



Bottom of test pit at 10.0 feet below existing ground surface.

No groundwater encountered.

M	Earth Engineering, Inc.
	TECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

CLIENT:	TAZ KHAN	DRAWN:	DB
PROJECT: 13TH ST GAS STATION		DATE:	09/2023
	ST & NW FRIBERG-STRUNK ST CAMAS, WA	PLATE:	A-3
	CANIAC, WA	PRO. #:	G26-0723