Exhibit 82 APPEAL24-1001



CRITICAL AREAS REPORT - REVISED

May 2, 2024



13th Street Gas Station Camas, WA

Prepared for

PAK USA Camas, LLC c/o Taz Khan 3993 NW Currawong Court Camas, WA 98607 (512) 779-4999

Prepared by Ecological Land Services

1157 3rd Avenue, Suite 220A • Longview, WA 98632 (360) 578-1371 • Project Number 3934.01

SIGNATURE PAGE

The information in this report was compiled and prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Julianne Blake Biologist III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
Project History	1
SITE DESCRIPTION	
METHODOLOGY	
VEGETATION	
Wetlands	
Uplands	2
SOILS	
HYDROLOGY	
WETLAND A	
Dітсн 1	3
PRECIPITATION	3
August 2023 Site Visit	3
April 2024 Site Visit	4
CRITICAL AREA INVENTORIES	
NATIONAL WETLANDS INVENTORY	5
CLARK COUNTY CRITICAL AREA INVENTORY	5
WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES STREAM TYPE	5
PRIORITY HABITATS AND SPECIES	5
CRITICAL AREAS SUMMARY	5
OFFSITE WETLAND	5
WETLAND BUFFERS AND FUNCTIONAL ISOLATION	6
PRIORITY HABITATS	6
Oregon White Oak	6
LIMITATIONS	7
REFERENCES	

TABLES (IN TEXT)

Table 1. Precipitation Summary – August 29, 2023	4
Table 2. Precipitation Summary – April 2, 2024	4
Table 3. Wetland Summary	6
Table 4. Oak Summary	7

FIGURES AND PHOTOPLATES

- Figure 1 Vicinity Map
- Figure 2 Existing Conditions
- Figure 3 NRCS Soil Survey
- Figure 4 USFWS National Wetlands Inventory
- Figure 5 Clark County Critical Areas
- Figure 6 WDNR Stream Type Map
- Figure 7 WDFW Priority Habitats and Species
- Figure 8 Wetland Rating Form 150' Offset
- Figure 9 Wetland Rating Form 1KM Offset
- Figure 10 Wetland Rating Form 303(d) and TMDLs

Photoplates 1-2

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Routine Determination Method and Plant Indicator Rating Definitions

Appendix B – Wetland Determination Data Forms

Appendix C – Precipitation Information

Appendix D – Wetland Rating Form for Western Washington

INTRODUCTION

Ecological Land Services, Inc. (ELS) was contracted by PAK USA Camas, LLC (PAK) to complete a critical areas assessment for wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, for the purpose of future development. The site is approximately 0.97 acres and consists of Clark County Tax Parcel 176148000 located at 20101 NE 13th Street within the NW ¼ of Section 29, Township 2 North, and Range 3 East of the Willamette Meridian in Camas, Washington (Figure 1). This report summarizes the findings of the site visit in accordance with *Camas Municipal Code (CMC) Title 16 Environment* (2023).

PROJECT HISTORY

PLS Engineering (PLS) applied for permit approval for site development on behalf of PAK with the City of Camas (City) in December 2023 (DAHP Project Tracking # 2023-12-08397). The *Critical Areas Report for 13th Street Gas Station* completed by ELS in October 2023 identified a single Oregon white oak tree (*Quercus garryana*, FACU) onsite. No other critical areas were identified during the August 29, 2023 site visit. A State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) was issued by the City on February 22, 2024. The Department of Ecology (Ecology) responded to the SEPA DNS on March 6, 2024 requesting an additional site visit be completed during the normal wet portion of the growing season to reevaluate the property for the presence of wetlands. An additional site visit was completed by ELS on April 2, 2024. Although the site visit confirmed no wetlands or additional critical areas were located onsite, surface water was observed offsite to the west that was not present during the initial August 2023 site visit. This revised critical areas report documents the findings of the August 29, 2023 and April 2, 2024 site visits.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The 0.97-acre site consists of Clark County Tax Parcel 176148000 and is accessed by a paved driveway off NE 13th Street. The site is zoned as Business Park (BP) and currently contains a single-family mobile home, shed, carport, and paved driveway. The site is bordered to the north by NE 13th Street, to the east by NW Friberg-Strunk Street, to the south by undeveloped land and commercial development in progress, and to the west by undeveloped land and a single-family residence (Figure 2).

Vegetation onsite consists primarily of regularly mowed grasses, ornamental shrubs, and coniferous and deciduous trees. Topography onsite is generally flat with NE 13th Street and NW Friberg-Strunk Street roughly two feet higher in elevation than the site. One Oregon white oak was identified onsite along the southern property boundary.

METHODOLOGY

The property was evaluated for the presence of wetlands using the Routine Determination Method according to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' 1987 *Wetland Delineation Manual* and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers' Wetland Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987); *Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0)* (Corps 2010). The Routine Determination Method and defining wetland criteria are discussed further in Appendix

A. Wetlands are regulated as "Waters of the United States" by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and as "Waters of the State" by the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), and locally by The City of Camas (City).

ELS biologists evaluated the property on August 29, 2023 and April 2, 2024 to determine the presence or absence of critical areas including streams, wetlands, and priority habitats. One Oregon white oak (oak) was identified onsite during the August 2023 site visit, and no wetlands, streams, or other priority habitats were identified onsite. Vegetation, soil, and hydrology data were collected from one test plot (TP-1) during the August 2023 visit in an area with mapped hydric soils. Although no wetlands were present onsite, one wetland (Wetland A) was observed offsite to the southwest during the April 2024 site visit. As such, data was collected from another test plot (TP-2) in the southwest corner of the site, in the vicinity of Wetland A, to determine if the wetland boundaries extend onsite. Offsite boundaries for Wetland A were estimated by visual observations and aerial photos; therefore, Wetland A boundaries are approximate. The oak dripline and test plot locations were mapped using a hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) unit capable of sub-meter accuracy under ideal conditions.

VEGETATION

General vegetation consists primarily of regularly mowed grass and ornamental trees and shrubs (Photoplates 1 and 2). One Oregon white oak was observed along the southern site boundary. The plant indicator status following the plant scientific name is defined by the *National Wetland Plant List Indicator Rating Definitions* (Corps 2012) and can be found in Appendix A.

WETLANDS

Wetland A is located offsite. As such, wetland vegetation observations were limited to what could be observed from within site boundaries and are therefore generalized. Dominant wetland vegetation consisted of Oregon ash (*Fraxinus latifolia*, FACW) and reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*, FACW), as well as other woody and herbaceous species.

UPLANDS

Dominant upland vegetation consisted of domestic apple trees (*Malus* spp., assumed FAC), Japanese maple (*Acer palmatum*, UPL), rhododendron (*Rhododendron* spp., assumed FAC), Oregon white oak, osoberry (*Oemleria cerasiformis*, FACU), mowed English hawthorn saplings (*Crataegus monogyna*, FAC), swordfern (*Polystichum munitum*, FACU), and fescue grass (*Festuca* spp., assumed FAC). The wetland determination data forms contain vegetation information at TP-1 and TP-2 and can be found in Appendix B.

SOILS

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) designates soils onsite as cove silty clay loam, thin solum, 0 to 3 percent slopes (CwA), and Wind River gravelly loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes (WrB) (Figure 3, NRCS 2024B). Of the two soil types found onsite, cove silty clay loam, thin solum, 0 to 3 percent slopes is designated as hydric.

According to soil profile descriptions developed by NRCS, cove silty clay loam, thin solum, is characterized as a poorly drained soil, forming on floodplains, with a depth to water table of 0 to 12 inches below ground surface (BGS). A typical soil profile includes silty clay loam from 0 to 14 inches, clay from 14-21 inches, and silt loam from 21 to 60 inches BGS. Wind River gravelly loam is categorized as a somewhat excessively drained soil with a typical soil profile of gravelly loam from 0 to 4 inches, coarse sandy loam from 4 to 24 inches, and loamy coarse sand from 24 to 60 inches BGS. Wind River gravelly loam forms on floodplains from alluvium parent material and has an average depth to water table of more than 80 inches.

Evaluated soils were characterized as loamy with a value of 4, and a chroma of 3, with no observations of redoximorphic concentrations and meeting no hydric soil indicators. Mapped hydric soils do not necessarily mean that an area is or is not a wetland—hydrology, hydrophytic wetland vegetation, and hydric soils must all be present to classify an area as a wetland. The test plot data forms are in Appendix B.

Hydrology

WETLAND A (OFFSITE)

Wetland A is an emergent depressional wetland located just offsite to the west and outlets to Ditch 1. As it was outside of the site boundaries, an approximate boundary was mapped using aerial imagery and topography, and hydrology determinations are approximate. Wetland hydrology likely comes from a seasonally high groundwater table, runoff, and precipitation. Wetland A likely has two hydroperiods, seasonally flooded and saturated only. The wetland rating form is in Appendix D. Surface water was not observed at the time of the August 2023 site visit but was observed at the April 2024 visit.

DITCH 1 (OFFSITE)

One ditch is located just offsite to the west, along NE 13th Street, and is the outlet for Wetland A. Ditch 1 flows west for approximately 250 feet, where it flows through a culvert to the north under NE 13th Street, and discharges into an unnamed, Type F (fish-bearing) stream. Ditch 1 receives road runoff and outflow from Wetland A.

PRECIPITATION

Precipitation data was gathered from the NOAA Regional Climate Centers *Clark County, Washington, WETS Station: Battle Ground,* which is located closest to the site.

AUGUST 2023 SITE VISIT

No rainfall occurred the day of the site visit or in the two weeks prior. Rainfall in June, July, and August 2023 was below exceedance levels and approximately 94 percent of August rainfall occurred prior to the site visit. Furthermore, review of the USACE Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) indicates rainfall in the two months preceding the August 29th site visit was drier than normal with a score of 6. A copy of the APT data is provided in Appendix C. Table 1 summarizes the precipitation data.

Precipitation (inches)								
	3 Months Prior				DAREM ¹			
Date of Visit	Prior	30 Days Ending	Observed	30%	70%	Value	Weight	Total
8/29/23	8/15/23 – 8/28/23	8/29/23	0.05	0.25	0.99	1	3	3
0.0	0.0	7/30/23	0.0	0.24	0.82	1	2	2
0.0 0.0	0.0	6/30/23	0.83	1.48	2.80	1	1	1
Rainfall 3 months prior was: drier than normal (sum 6-9), normal (sum 10-14), wetter than normal (sum 15-18). ¹ 6								
Year to Date Average Rainfall ^{2,3} : 50.06								
Year to Date Ac	tual Rainfall ³ : 45	.32						

Table 1. Precipitation Summary – August 29, 2023

¹ Direct Antecedent Rainfall Evaluation Methods (Sumner et al 2009)

² Includes 94% of August Rainfall

³ Based on a water year, October 2022 – August 2023

APRIL 2024 SITE VISIT

No rainfall occurred the day of the site visit and 1.82 inches occurred the two weeks prior. Rainfall in February and March was above exceedance levels while rainfall in April was below exceedance levels. Approximately 7 percent of April rainfall occurred prior to the site visit. Furthermore, review of the APT indicates rainfall in the two months preceding the April 2nd site visit was normal with a score of 12. A copy of the APT data is provided in Appendix C. Table 2 summarizes the precipitation data.

Table 2.	Precipitation	Summary -	– April 2,	2024
----------	---------------	-----------	------------	------

Precipitation (inches)																												
	Two Weeks	3 Mont	hs Prior																								DAREM ¹	
Date of Visit	Prior	30 Days Ending	Observed	30%	70%	Value	Weight	Total																				
4/2/24	3/19/24 - 4/1/24	4/2/24	2.46	3.17	4.86	1	3	3																				
0.0	1 92	3/3/24	5.63	2.71	5.08	3	2	6																				
0.0	1.02	2/2/24	8.93	4.26	7.11	3	1	3																				
Rainfall 3 months prior was: drier than normal (sum 6-9), normal (sum 10-14), wetter than normal (sum 15-18). ¹																												
Year to Date Average Rainfall ^{2,3} : 39.50																												
Year to Date Ac	tual Rainfall ³ : 43	.69																										

¹ Direct Antecedent Rainfall Evaluation Methods (Sumner et al 2009)

² Includes 7% of April Rainfall

³ Based on a water year, October 2023 – April 2024

CRITICAL AREA INVENTORIES

NATIONAL WETLANDS INVENTORY

The National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map does not indicate any wetlands onsite or in the vicinity of the site (Figure 4, USFWS 1988). ELS partially agrees with the NWI mapping as no wetlands were identified onsite, but Wetland A was identified offsite to the west which was not shown by the NWI.

CLARK COUNTY CRITICAL AREA INVENTORY

The Clark County Critical Area Inventory (CAI) map does not indicate any wetlands onsite or in the location of Wetland A but does indicate potential wetlands presence on the property to the south. Hydric soils are mapped along the eastern site boundary and the southwestern corner, and a habitat area is mapped over the majority of the eastern portion of the site (Figure 5). ELS partially agrees with the CAI as no wetlands were identified onsite and one oak was observed in the vicinity of the mapped habitat area. However, ELS does not agree with the extent of the mapped habitat area as only one oak was identified onsite and no other critical habitats were identified. The potential wetlands mapped to the south of the site are located in the footprint of a commercial development that has received permit approval.

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES STREAM TYPE

The Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Stream Type Map does not depict any streams onsite (Figure 6, WDNR 2024). ELS agrees with the WDNR mapping as no streams were observed onsite.

PRIORITY HABITATS AND SPECIES

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) maps indicate oak woodland and caves or cave-rich areas on the southern boundary of the site and within 300 feet of the site to the southwest (Figure 7, WDFW 2024). ELS confirmed the presence of a single oak along the southern site boundary, but no oak woodlands or caves were observed onsite.

Critical area inventory maps are typically used to gather general information about a region and due to the large scale necessary for regional mapping, are limited in accuracy for localized analyses.

CRITICAL AREAS SUMMARY

OFFSITE WETLAND

Wetland A is a Category III, depressional, forested and emergent wetland totaling approximately 0.57 acres offsite to the west, and outlets to Ditch 1. Offsite boundaries for Wetland A were estimated by visual observations of changes in vegetation, the presence of surface water, and aerial photos; therefore, Wetland A boundaries are approximate. Vegetation in Wetland A is dominated by deciduous trees and herbaceous vegetation. Wetland hydrology likely comes from a seasonally high groundwater table, runoff, and precipitation. Hydroperiods include seasonally flooded and saturated only. According to the *Washington State Wetland Rating System for*

Western Washington: 2014 Update (Rating System), Wetland A is a Category III wetland scoring 7 points for water quality functions, 7 points for hydrologic functions, and 4 points for habitat functions, for a total of 18 points.

WETLAND BUFFERS AND FUNCTIONAL ISOLATION

The designated buffer width for a Category III wetland with a high land use intensity and a habitat score of 4 is 80 feet, as listed in *CMC Table 16.53.040-1* (Table 3).

According to *CMC 16.53.040(B)(4)(b)*, functionally isolated buffer areas are areas that are separated from a wetland and do not protect the wetland from adverse impacts. When impervious surfaces from previous development, such as pre-existing roads, structures, or vertical separation completely functionally isolate the wetland, the wetland buffer shall extend from the wetland boundary to the impervious surfaces. Wetland A's onsite buffer is functionally isolated on the east side by the existing mobile home.

Table 3. Wetland Summary

	Wetland							
Name	Size	Hydrogeomorphic Classification ¹	Cowardin Class ² / Hydroperiod	Habitat Score ³	Category ³	Buffer Width⁴		
A	Approximately 0.57 acres	Depressional	Emergent, Forested/ Saturated Only	4	111	80 ft.		

¹NRCS 2008 ²FGDC 2013 ³Hruby 2014 ⁴CMC Table 16.53.040-1

PRIORITY HABITATS

OREGON WHITE OAK

Oregon white oaks are considered a priority habitat and are regulated by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and locally by the City of Camas. According to WDFW's Management Recommendations for Washington's priority habitats: Oregon white oak woodlands (Larsen and Morgan, 1998), in urban or urbanizing areas west of the Cascades, priority oak habitat is defined as single oaks, stands of pure oak, or oak/conifer associations, one acre or greater in size. WDFW may also consider individual Oregon white oak trees a priority habitat when found to be particularly valuable to wildlife [i.e., contains many cavities, has a large diameter at breast height (DBH), is used by priority species, or has a large canopy]. The site is within the City of Camas. WDFW recommendation is that in urban and urbanizing areas, single trees should be maintained if they are deemed important to species highly associated with Oregon white oak. Oaks and their associated floras comprise distinct woodland ecosystems with various plant communities providing valuable habitat that contributes to wildlife diversity; oak woodlands provide a mix of feeding, resting, and breeding habitat for many wildlife species (Larsen and Morgan 1998).

The WDFW Priority Habitats and Species online mapping tool depicts oak woodlands within the vicinity of the observed oak along the southern site boundary. One oak tree measuring approximately 52 inches DBH was mapped along the southern site boundary during site reconnaissance (Table 4, Figure 2). The oak has several live and dead branches, cavities, and has canopy connectivity with other trees in the vicinity but is not connected with other oaks. The oak location was mapped using a GPS system capable of submeter accuracy in ideal conditions. According to *CMC 16.61.010(3)(a)*, individual Oregon white oak trees with a twenty-inch DBH are considered a priority habitat.

Table 4. Oak Summary

Canopy Area	Diameter at Breast Height	Number of Trunks	Noteworthy Habitat Features
0.08 acres	52 inches	1	 Canopy is interconnected with adjacent trees, but not other oaks Two large cavities

LIMITATIONS

ELS bases this report's determinations on standard scientific methodology and best professional judgment. In our opinion, local, state, and federal regulatory agencies should agree with our determinations. However, the information contained in this report should be considered preliminary and used at your own risk until it has been approved in writing by the appropriate regulatory agencies. ELS is not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations after the date of this report.

REFERENCES

- City of Camas. 2023. *Camas Municipal Code (CMC) Title 16 Environment.* Camas, Washington. July 31, 2023.
- Ecological Land Services, Inc. (ELS). 2023. *Critical Areas Report for 13th Street Gas Station*. Camas, Washington. October 2, 2023.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual,* Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC). 2013. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- Larsen, Eric M. and Morgan, John T. 1998. *Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Habitats Oregon White Oak Woodlands.* Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). January 1998.
- Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2008. *Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification System: An Overview and Modification to Better Meet the Needs of the Natural Resources Conservation Service.* United States Department of Agriculture Technical Note, #190-8-76
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2024A. *Soil Survey of Clark County, Washington*. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm. Accessed April 2024.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2024B. *Washington State Hydric Soils List*. http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx. Accessed April 2024.
- NOAA Regional Climate Centers AgACIS website WETS Station: Battle Ground, WA Station. https://agacis.rcc-acis.org/?fips=53011. Accessed April 2024.
- Sumner, Jaclyn P., Vepraskas, Michael J., and Kolka, Randall K. 2009. *Methods to Evaluate Normal Rainfall for Short-term Wetland Hydrology Assessment*. Wetlands, Vol. 29, No.3 September 2009. Pp. 1049-1062. The Society of Wetland Scientists.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. *Final Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0),* ed. J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-13. Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. *National Wetland Plant List Indicator Rating Definitions,* ed. R.W. Lichvar, N.C. Melvin, M.L. Butterwick, and W.N. Kirchner. ERDC/CRREL TN-12-1. Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1988. *National Wetlands Inventory (NWI).* http://wetlandsfws.er.usgs.gov/wtlnds/launch.html. Accessed April 2024.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2024. Antecedent Precipitation Tool (Version 1.0). Jason Deters. Accessed April 2024.
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2024. *Priority Habitats and Species (PHS)* on the Web. https://geodataservices.wdfw.wa.gov/hp/phs/. Accessed April 2024.

Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 2024. Forest Practices Application Mapping Tool. https://fpamt.dnr.wa.gov/2d-view#activity?-13635352,-13631683,5720608,5722297. Accessed April 2024. FIGURES AND PHOTOPLATES





5/2/2024 11:39 AM c:\Users\Jennifer\Box\ELS\WA\Clark\Camas\3934-pak usa camas, IIc\3934.01-13th st feasibility\3934.01-figures cad only\3934.01_DL.dwg Jennifer







NOTE(S): 1. Map provided on-line by Clark County at web address: https://gis.clark.wa.gov/mapsonline/index.cfm?site=Environmental













Photo 1 was taken facing east and shows general conditions onsite.



Photo 2 was taken facing west and shows general conditions onsite. The oak is visible on the left.



Photo 3 shows the onsite Oregon white oak.



1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 08.29.2023 DWN: JB PRJ. MGR: JB PROJ.#: 3934.01

Photoplate 1 13th Street Gas Station Camas, WA Section 29, Township 2N, Range 3E, W.M.



Photo 4 was taken facing north at TP-1 and shows general conditions onsite.



Photo 6 was taken facing west at TP-1.



Photo 5 was taken facing west.



Photo 7 was taken facing east at TP-1 and shows general conditions onsite.



1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 08.29.2023 DWN: JB PRJ. MGR: JB PROJ.#: 3934.01

Photoplate 2 13th Street Gas Station Camas, WA Section 29, Township 2N, Range 3E, W.M.

APPENDIX A

ROUTINE DETERMINATION METHOD AND PLANT INDICATOR RATING DEFINITIONS

ROUTINE DETERMINATION METHOD

The Routine Determination Method is defined according to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers' Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987); Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0) (Corps 2010). The Routine Determination Method examines three parameters – vegetation, soils, and hydrology – to determine if wetlands exist in a given area. Hydrology is critical in determining what is a wetland, but if often difficult to assess because hydrologic conditions can change periodically (hourly, daily, or seasonally). Consequently, it is necessary to determine if hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils are present, which would indicate that water is present for a long enough duration to support a wetland plant community. By definition, wetlands are those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

VEGETATION INDICATOR STATUS

The indicator status, following the scientific names of plant species, indicates the likelihood of the species to be found in wetlands according to the *National Wetland Plant List Indicator Rating Definitions* (Corps 2012). Listed from most likely to least likely to be found in wetlands, the indicator status categories are:

- **OBL** (obligate wetland) occur almost always under natural conditions in wetlands.
- FACW (facultative wetland) usually occur in wetlands, but occasionally found in nonwetlands.
- **FAC** (facultative) equally likely to occur in wetlands or non-wetlands.
- FACU (facultative upland) usually occur in non-wetlands, but occasionally found in wetlands.
- UPL (obligate upland) occur almost always under natural conditions in non-wetlands.
- **NI** (no indicator) insufficient data to assign to an indicator category.

APPENDIX B

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

Project/Site: 13 th Street Gas Station	City/County: Camas/Clark	Sampling D	ate: 08/29/2023
Applicant/Owner: PAK USA Camas, LLC	State: WA	Sampling P	oint: TP-1
Investigator(s: J. Blake	Section, Township, Range: S29, T2N, F	R3E	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplains Loca	I relief: (concave, convex, none): Convex		Slope (%): 0-3%
Subregion (LRR): LRRA MLRA2 Lat: 45.6318544	Long: -122.4669931	Datum:	NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Cove silty clay loam, thin solum, 0 to 3 percent slop	NWI classification: None	e	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	? Yes	1	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" presen	t? Yes 🛛 No	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answers in Remain	ˈks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing same	oling point locations, transects, im	portant fea	tures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	the Compled Area		
Hydric Soils Present? Yes 🗌 No 🛛	s the Sampled Area	L - 🔽	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🗌 No 🛛	vithin a wetiand? Yes I	NOK	
Remarks: TP-1 is located in the southwest corner of the site in an area v	with mapped hydric soils. TP-1 does not me	et all three w	etland indicators and
is therefore in uplands. Hydrologic conditions were not typical for this time	e of year, as antecedent rainfall was determ	ined to be dri	er than normal for the
two months prior to the site visit.			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test Worksheet	
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ft radius)	% Cover	Species?	Status		
1. Malus spp.*	20%	yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species	5 (A)
2. Fraxinus latifolia	20%	yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	()
3. Robinia pseudoacacia	20%	yes	FACU	1	
4. Crataegus monogyna	15%	yes	FAC	Total Number of Dominant	6 (B)
50% = <u>38</u> 20% = <u>15</u>	75%	=Total Cover		Species Across All Strata:	、 /
		-			
Sonling/Shrub Stratum (Diat aiza)				That Are OBLE FACW or FAC	02 (A/D)
	0/			Provolonce Index workshoet	<u>oj</u> (A/D)
2		·			Multiply by
2.		·			
3.		·			x 1- x 2-
4	<u> </u>	·			x 2=
5. <u></u>	<u> </u>	-Total Cavar			x 3=
50% = 20% =	70				x 4=
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u> ft radius)	000/		540		x 5=
1. <u>Festuca spp</u>	93%	yes	FAC		(A) (B)
2. Iris spp.*	5%	no	FACW	Prevalence Index = B/	/A=
3. Rubus ursinus	2%	no	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicate	ors:
4	%	·		☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophy	tic Vegetation
5.	%				0
6.	%			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0	1
7	%			4 - Morphological Adaptation	is ¹ (Provide
8	%			supporting data in Remarks	or on a separate
9	%	<u> </u>		sheet)	
10	%	<u>.</u>		5 - Wetland Non-Vascular P	lants ¹
11	%	<u></u>			
50% = <u>50</u> 20% = <u>20</u>	100%	=Total Cover		Problematic Hydrophytic Veg	getation ¹ (Explain)
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15</u> ft radius)					
1. Rubus armeniacus	5%	yes	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetla	nd hydrology
2	%			must be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.
50% = 3 20% = 1	5%	=Total Cover			
		-		Hydrophytic	
				Vegetation	
% Para Cround in Harb Stratum 0%				Present?	Yes⊠ No⊡
Remarks: <i>Festuca</i> spp.* and <i>Malus</i> spp.* indicator st	atuses assur	ned FAC. <i>Iris</i> sp	p.* indicato	or status assumed FACW.	

^	^	
<u> </u>	6 1	
-	J	_

SOIL								Sampling Point: <u>TP-1</u>
Profile D	escription: (Descr	ibe to the depth	needed to docu	ment the ind	icator or con	firm the ab	sence of indicators.)	
Donth	Motrix			Roday Foot				
(inches)	Color (moist)	0/2	Color (moist)	Keutik reali		loc^2	Toyturo	Remarks
0-6	10YR 4/3	100%		<u> </u>			Loam	Remarks
		%		%	,			
		%		%	· · · · · ·			
		%		%				
		%		%				
		%		%				
		<u> </u>		<u>%</u>				
		-Dopletion PM-	Poducod Matrix	<u>%</u>	or Coated Sa	nd Graina	² l contion: DI -Doro	Lipipa M-Matrix
Hydric Se	oil Indicators: (An	plicable to all I F	Rs unless othe	rwise noted		liu Grains. Ir	dicators for Problematic	
☐ Histos	al (A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)	•)		2 cm Muck (A10)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Ma	trix (S6)		Ō	Red Parent Material (TF2	2)
☐ Black	Histic (A3)		☐ Loamv Mucl	v Mineral (F1) (except ML	RA 1) 🗍	Verv Shallow Dark Surface	, ce (TF12)
Hvdro	aen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Glev	ed Matrix (F2))	, <u>–</u>	Other (Explain in Remark	s)
	ed Below Dark Sur	face (A11)	Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)				1
	Dark Surface (A12)			Surface (F6)		³ In	dicators of hydrophytic ve	detation and
	Mucky Minerals (S	(1)		rk Surface (F	7)		Wetland hydrology must	be present,
□ Candy □ Sandy	Gleved Matrix (S4))		essions (F8)	.,		unless disturbed or proble	ematic
Bostricti	oleyed Matrix (04	/ 				<u> </u>		
Restrictiv	ve Layer (il preser	it).						
Type: <u>Ha</u>	<u>rdpan</u>							
Depth (ind	ches): <u>6</u>					Hydrid	c Soil Present?	Yes∐ No⊠
Remarks:								
	067							
	.001							
Wetland	Hydrology Indicat	ors:						
Primary Ir	ndicators (min. of or	ne required; chec	k all that apply)				Secondary Indicato	ors (2 or more required)
□ Surfac	e Water (A1)		☐ Water-Stain	ed Leaves (B	9) (except ML	RA 1. 2. 4A	. 🗌 Water-Stained I	_eaves (B9) (MLRA 1. 2.
High V	Vater Table (A2)		and 4B)		- , (,,	4A, and 4B)))
☐ Satura	tion (A3)		Salt Crust (E	311)			Drainage Patter	ms (B10)
□ Water	Marks (B1)			rtebrates (B1)	3)		Drv-Season Wa	iter Table (C2)
Sedim	ent Deposits (B2)		Hydrogen Si	ulfide Odor (C	:1)		Saturation Visib	le on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	enosits (B3)			izospheres al	ona Livina Rov	ts(C3)		sition (D2)
	Mat or crust (B4)			Reduced Iror	C(CA)	513 (00)	Shallow Aquitar	d (D3)
	oposite (P5)			Reduction in	Tilled Seile (C(2)		at (D5)
				Reduction in))		
	e Soll Cracks (B6)			tressed Plant	s (D1) (LRR A	()		inas (D6) (LRR A)
	ation Visible on Aeri	al Imagery (B7)	Other (Expla	ain in Remarks	s)		Frost-Heave Hu	immocks (D7)
☐ Sparse	ely Vegetated Conc	ave Surface (B8)						
Field Obs	servations:							
Surface V	vater Present?			oth (Inches):	<u> </u>	Matler	d Uudrology Drocost?	
Vater Ta	Die Present?			oth (Inches):	<u> </u>	wetian	a Hydrology Present?	
Saturation	Conillon (fringo)	res		om (inches):				
Describe	Capillary Intige) Recorded Data (Sti	ream dauge mon	itoring well aeria	l photos prev	vious inspectio	ns) if availa	ahle [.]	
Decense		ouin guugo, mon	intering went, dene	i priotoo, prot		noj, il availe		
Remarks:	Hydrologic condition	ons were not typic	cal for this time o	f year, as ante	ecedent rainfal	l was deteri	mined to be drier than nor	mal for the two months
prior to th	e site visit.							

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

Project/Site: 13 th Street Gas Station	City/County: Camas/Clark	Sampling Date: 04/02/2024
Applicant/Owner: PAK USA Camas, LLC	State: WA	Sampling Point: TP-2
Investigator(s: AJ Rendleman	Section, Township, Range: S29, T2N, R	3E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplains	ocal relief: (concave, convex, none): Convex	Slope (%): 0-3%
Subregion (LRR): LRRA MLRA2 Lat: 45.63192	25 Long: -122.466933	Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Cove silty clay loam, thin solum, 0 to 3 percent s	slopes NWI classification: None)
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes⊠ No⊡ (If no, explain Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" present	? Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answers in Remar	ks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sa	mpling point locations, transects, imp	portant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	In the Complete Area	
Hydric Soils Present? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🗌 No 🛛		10
Remarks: TP-2 is located in the southwest corner of the site in an are	ea with mapped hydric soils, just southwest of	IP-1. TP-2 does not meet all three
wetland indicators and is therefore in uplands. Hydrologic conditions v	vere typical for this time of year, as antecedent	rainfall was determined to be
normal.	•	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test Worksheet		
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ft radius)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	0 (4)	
	65%	yes	FACU	That Are OBL EACW or EAC	<u> </u>	
2. Fraxinus latifolia	20%	yes	FACW			
3.	<u>%</u>			Total Number of Dominant		
4.	<u>%</u>			Species Across All Strata	<u> </u>	
$50\% = \frac{43}{20\%} = \frac{17}{17}$	85%	= I otal Cover				
				Percent of Dominant Species		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	66 (A/B)	
1.	%			Prevalence Index worksheet		
2.	%		-	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
3.	%			OBL species	x 1=	
4.	%		-	FACW species	x 2=	
5.	%			FAC species	x 3=	
50% = 20% =	%	=Total Cover		FACU species	x 4=	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius)		-		UPL species	x 5=	
1. Festuca spp*	100%	ves	FAC	Column Totals:	(A) (B)	
2.	%			Prevalence Index = B	/A=	
3.	%	·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicate	ors:	
4	%	·		1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophy	tic Vegetation	
5.	%	·		\boxtimes 2 – Dominance Test is >50%		
6.	%	·		\square 3 - Prevalence Index is <3.0	1	
7.	%	·		4 - Morphological Adaptation	ns ¹ (Provide	
8.	%	·		supporting data in Remarks	or on a separate	
9	%	·		sheet)	I	
10	%			5 - Wetland Non-Vascular P	lants ¹	
11	<u>%</u>					
$\frac{50\%}{50\%} = 50,20\% = 20$	100%	=Total Cover		Problematic Hydrophytic Ver	detation ¹ (Explain)	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)	10070				gotation (Explain)	
1	%			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetla	nd hydrology	
2	<u> </u>			must be present unless disturbed	or problematic	
	<u> </u>	=Total Cover				
50% = 20% =		-		Hydrophytic		
				Vegetation		
				Present?	Yes⊠ No∏	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0%</u>						
Remarks:				•		

^	^	
<u> </u>	6 1	
-	J	_

SOIL								Sampling Point: <u>TP-2</u>
Profile D	escription: (Desc	ribe to the depth	n needed to docu	ment the indi	cator or cor	nfirm the	e absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Redox Featu				
(inches)	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Туре'	Loc ²		Remarks
0-10	10YR 3/3	100%		<u> </u>			Silty clay loam	
10-16	10YR 4/2	80%	101R 4/6	20%	<u> </u>	IVI	Silty clay loam	
				<u> </u>				
·								
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		<u> </u>		· <u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
	C=Concentration		-Reduced Matrix		or Coated Sc	and Grai	ins ² l ocation: Pl =Pore	Lipipa M-Matrix
Hydric S		Depletion, Rim		rwise noted			Indicators for Problemati	
			Sandy Redo	x (S5)			$\square 2 \text{ cm} \text{Muck} (A10)$	
	Eninedon (A2)		Stripped Ma	rix (S6)			Red Parent Material (TE:	2)
	Histic (A3)			Wineral (E1)	(oxcopt MI	DA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surfa	-/ ce (TE12)
	$\frac{1}{1000} \left(\frac{1}{100} \right)$			d Motrix (E2)		-NA I)		
		5 (A 4 A)						(5)
	ted Below Dark Su	rrace (A11)		atrix (F3)				
	Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark	Surface (F6)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic ve	egetation and
Sand	/ Mucky Minerals (S1)	☐ Depleted Da	rk Surface (F7	7)		vietiand hydrology must	be present,
Sand	/ Gleyed Matrix (S4	4)	🗌 Redox Depr	essions (F8)			unless disturbed or probl	emalic
Restricti	ve Layer (if prese	nt):						
	2	,						
Type:								
Depth (in	ches):					H	ydric Soil Present?	Yes⊠ No⊡
Remarks	:							
HYDRO	LOGY							
Wetland	Hydrology Indica	tors:						
Drimony	ndicators (min. of c	no required: cho	ok all that apply)					(2
Filliary		nie required, che	ck all that apply)				Secondary Indicate	ors (2 or more required)
Surfa	ce Water (A1)		U Water-Stain	ed Leaves (B9) (except MI	LRA 1, 2	2, 4A, 🗌 Water-Stained	Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,
🗌 High V	Nater Table (A2)		and 4B)				4A, and 4B)
Satura	ation (A3)		🗌 Salt Crust (E	311)			🗌 Drainage Patte	rns (B10)
🗌 Water	Marks (B1)		Aquatic Inve	rtebrates (B13	3)		Dry-Season Wa	ater Table (C2)
 □ Sedin	nent Deposits (B2)		Hvdrogen Si	ulfide Odor (C [.]	1)		☐ Saturation Visit	ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift [eposits (B3)			izospheres alc	ona Livina Ro	oots (C3	a) Geomorphic Pr	position (D2)
	Mat or crust (B4)			Reduced Iron	(C4)			rd(D3)
	lenosite (B5)			Reduction in T	(U4) Filled Soile (C	26)		nd (D5)
	epusits (DD)					A)		
	Ce Soll Cracks (Bo)	· · · · · (D7)			$(D1)(\mathbf{LKK})$	A)		
i 🗋 inuna	ation visible on Ae	rial imagery (B7)		iin in Remarks)		E Frost-Heave H	ummocks (D7)
Spars	ely Vegetated Con	cave Surface (B8	5)					
Field Ob	servations:							
Surface	Nater Present?	Yes 📋		oth (Inches):				
Water Ia	ible Present?	Yes 🖂		oth (Inches): <u>1</u>	3	We	etland Hydrology Present?	
Saturatio	n Present?	Yes 🖂		oth (Inches): <u>1</u>	5			Yes 📋 No 🖄
(Includes	Capillary fringe)		nitoring wall oprig	Inhotoo nrovi	ious incresti	ana) if a		
Describe	Recorded Data (S	ueam gauge, mo	moning well, aerla	ii priotos, prev	ious inspection	uns), it a		
.			. C	<u></u>	4			
Remarks	Although the wate	r table and satura	ation were present	in the test pit,	they were p	resent to	oo deep in the soil profile to m	eet wetland hydrologic
indicators	s. Hydrologic condi	uons were typical	i for this time of ye	ar, as anteced	ient raintail w	vas dete	ennined to be normal.	

APPENDIX C

PRECIPITATION INFORMATION



Jun 2023

Jul 2023

Aug 2023

Coordinates	45.632018, -122.467297	
Observation Date	2023-08-29	
Elevation (ft)	253.44	
Drought Index (PDSI)	Severe drought	
WebWIMP H ₂ O Balance	Dry Season	

Mar 2023

30 Days Ending	30 th %ile (in)	70 th %ile (in)	Observed (in)	Wetness Condition	Condition Value	Month Weight	Product
2023-08-29	0.253543	0.986221	0.051181	Dry	1	3	3
2023-07-30	0.24252	0.814961	0.0	Dry	1	2	2
2023-06-30	1.475197	2.795276	0.830709	Dry	1	1	1
Result							Drier than Normal - 6

Oct 2023

Sep 2023



ERDC

Feb 2023

Figures and tables made by the Antecedent Precipitation Tool Version 2.0

Apr 2023

May 2023

Developed by: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center

Weather Station Name	Coordinates	Elevation (ft)	Distance (mi)	Elevation Δ	Weighted Δ	Days Normal	Days Antecedent
BATTLE GROUND	45.7717, -122.5286	284.121	10.094	30.681	4.852	11318	69
BATTLE GROUND 0.8 N	45.794, -122.5443	316.929	1.716	32.808	0.828	0	1
BATTLE GROUND 1.2 NE	45.7958, -122.5275	361.877	1.666	77.756	0.879	0	20
VANCOUVER 4 NNE	45.6775, -122.6514	220.144	8.8	63.977	4.523	4	0
MERWIN DAM	45.955, -122.5625	224.081	12.769	60.04	6.513	31	0

— Daily Total ----- 30-Day Rolling Total 30-Year Normal Range

Nov 202	/ [3 2	Dec 023	Jan 2024
ndition Value	Month Weight		Product
1	3		3

Antecedent Precipitation vs Normal Range based on NOAA's Daily Global Historical Climatology Network AL24-1001



Duny local

Jun	Jul	Aug
2024	2024	2024

ondition Value	Month Weight	Product
1	3	3
3	2	6
3	1	3
		Normal Conditions - 12

evation Δ	Weighted Δ	Days Normal	Days Antecedent
232.443	4.098	10000	90
118.111	0.758	4	0
0.985	1.892	1348	0

APPENDIX D

WETLAND RATING FORM FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): Wetland A Date of site visit: August 29,2023 Trained by Ecology?<u>X</u>Yes No

Rated by: Julianne Blake

Date of training: June 2022

HGM Class used for rating: Depressional Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the required figures (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map: Google Earth

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY III (based on functions <u>X</u> or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

X Category III – Total score = 16 - 19

Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Hydrologic			Habitat				
Circle the appropriate ratings										
Site Potential	Н	Μ	\bigcirc	Н	Μ	\bigcirc	Н	М	(\mathbf{L})	
Landscape Potential	Æ	Μ	Ľ	Æ	Μ	Ľ	Н	Μ	Θ	
Value	H	Μ	L	H	Μ	L	Н	\mathbb{M}	L	тот
Score Based on Ratings		7			7			4		18

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important) 9 = H, H, H

8 = H, H, M 7 = H, H, L 7 = H, M, M 6 = H, M, L 6 = M, M, M 5 = H, L, L 5 = M, M, L 4 = M, L, L 3 = L, L, L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY	
Estuarine	Ι	II
Wetland of High Conservation Value		Ι
Bog		Ι
Mature Forest		Ι
Old Growth Forest		Ι
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II
Interdunal	ΙII	III IV
None of the above	Not A	Applicable

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	8
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	8
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	8
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	8
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	9
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	9
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	10
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	10

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	Н 1.1, Н 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO – go to 2

YES – the wetland class is Tidal Fringe – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO – Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)

YES – Freshwater Tidal Fringe If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe, it is an Estuarine wetland and is not scored. This method cannot be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat, and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.



YES – The wetland class is Flats

If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?

The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size,

___At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).



YES – The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - The wetland is on a slope (slope can be very gradual),

The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheet flow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

The water leaves the wetland without being impounded.



YES – The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 - ____The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,

_The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

NO – go to 6

YES – The wetland class is Riverine

NOTE. The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.

NO – go to 7

VES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:	
Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). points = 3 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. points = 2	2
Wetland has an unconstructed, or slightly constructed, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 1 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1	
D 1.2. <u>The soil 2 in. below the surface (or duff layer)</u> is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes): Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 95% of area points = 5 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > ½ of area points = 3 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants ≥ 1/10 of area points = 1 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants <1/td> 1/10 of area Points = 0 Points = 0	3
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation: This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual. Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland Area seasonally ponded is ≥ ¼ total area of wetland Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland	0
Total for D 1 Add the points in the boxes above	5
Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the	first page
D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? SourceYes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	3
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 3 or 4 = H 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the	first page
D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? (Answer YES if there is a TMDL in development or in effect for the basin in which the unit is found.) Yes = 2 No = 0	2
Total for D 3Add the points in the boxes above	4

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion? D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream/ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 2 2 Wetland is a flat depression (question 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0 D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet points = 7Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 5 0 Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 3The wetland is a "headwater" wetland points = 3 Wetland is flat but has small depressions on the surface that trap water points = 1 Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in) points = 0D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the area of the wetland unit itself. The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 53 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5 Total for D 4 Add the points in the boxes above 5 Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site? D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 01 D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 01 D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at 1 >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0Total for D 5 Add the points in the boxes above 3 Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 3 = H 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society? D 6.1. Is the unit in a landscape that has flooding problems? Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow downgradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds): Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately downgradient of unit. points = 2 2 Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther downgradient. points = 1 • Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. points = 1 The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the • water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. *Explain why* points = 0There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland. points = 0 • D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? 0 Yes = 2 No = 0Total for D 6 Add the points in the boxes above 2 Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac if the unit is at least 2.5 ac, or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4 Aquatic bed 3 structures: points = 2 Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1 X Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0 If the unit has a Forested class, check if: The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/groundcover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon	1
H 1.2. Hydroperiods Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland if the unit is < 2.5 ac, or ¼ ac if the unit is at least 2.5 ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).	1
H 1.3. Richness of plant species Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² . Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canada thistle If you counted: > 19 species 5 - 19 species <pre></pre>	2
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. None = 0 points All three diagrams in this row are High = 3 points H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams in this row are High = 3 points Decide from the diagrams in this row H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams in this row H 1.4. Interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams Interspectrum the classes or three classes and open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. None = 0 points Low = 1 point Moderate = 2 points Moderate = 2 points All three diagrams In this row H 1.1. Interspectrum the classes of the cl	1

Г

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
X Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in. diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in.) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extend at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over open water or a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	1
slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	1
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians)	
Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (see H 1.1 above for the	
list of strata and H 1.5 in the manual for the list of aggressive plant species)	
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	6

Rating of Site Potential If score is: __15-18 = H ___7-14 = M __X 0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of th	ne site?	
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat polygons accessible from the wetland.		
Calculate: % relatively undisturbed habitat <u>0.0</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity la	and uses)/2] <u>1.5</u> = <u>1.5</u> %	
Total accessible habitat is:		
> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon	points = 3	0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon	points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon	points = 1	
< 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.2. Total habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.		
Calculate: % relatively undisturbed habitat <u>9.0</u> + [(% moderate and low intensity lan	d uses)/2] <u>20.3</u> = <u>29.3 %</u>	
Total habitat > 50% of Polygon	points = 3	1
Total habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches	points = 2	1
Total habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches	points = 1	
Total habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon:		
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use	points = (- 2)	-2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity	points = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the	points in the boxes above	-1
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = MX < 1 = L	Record the rating on th	e first page

	e jiist puge
H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?	
 H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated. Site meets ANY of the following criteria: 	
 It has 3 or more Priority Habitats within 100 m (see next page) It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists) It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW Priority Species It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources data It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan Site has 1 or 2 Priority Habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m 	1
Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is:2 = HX 1 = M0 = L Record the rating on the second the rating on the second the s	the first page

WDFW Priority Habitats

See complete descriptions of Priority Habitats listed by WDFW, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008 (current year, as revised). <u>Priority Habitat and Species List</u>.¹³³ This list was updated for consistency with guidance from WDFW.

This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the Priority Habitat. All vegetated wetlands are by definition a Priority Habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed by this rating system.

Count how many of the following Priority Habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit:

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife. This habitat automatically counts if mapped on the PHS online map within 100m of the wetland. If not mapped, a determination can be made in the field.
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- Cliffs: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- Fresh Deepwater: Lands permanently flooded with freshwater, including environments where surface water is permanent and often deep, so that water, rather than air, is the principal medium within which the dominant organisms live. Substrate does not support emergent vegetation. Do not select if Instream habitat is also present, or if the entire Deepwater feature is included in the wetland unit being rated (such as a pond with a vegetated fringe).
- ---- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Instream: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources. Do not select if Fresh Deepwater habitat is also present.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in. (81 cm) diameter at breast height (dbh) or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in. (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.

 ¹³³ http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf
 Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update
 Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

- X Oregon White Oak: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important. For single oaks or oak stands <0.4 ha in urban areas, <u>WDFW's</u> <u>Management Recommendations for Oregon White Oak</u>¹³⁴ provides more detail for determining if they are Priority Habitats
- **Riparian:** The area adjacent to freshwater aquatic systems with flowing or standing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in. (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in. (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.
- Talus: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie.

 ¹³⁴ https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00030/wdfw00030.pdf
 Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update
 Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands	
Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?	
— The dominant water regime is tidal,	
 With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes – Go to SC 1.1 No= Not an estuarine wetland 	
SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area	
Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 1.2	Cat. I
SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are Spartina, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.	Cat. I
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.	Cat. II
 The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II 	
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	
SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare & high-quality ecosystem polygons	Cat. I
SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common	
ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the	
presence of these elements. Yes – Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination. ¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV	
SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria?	
Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	
SC 3.0. Bogs	
Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key	
below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
or more of the first 32 in. of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2	
SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in. deep	
over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Not a bog	
SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30%	
cover of plant species listed in Table 4?Yes = Category I bogNo - Go to SC 3.4	
NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by	
the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.	Cat. I
SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar,	
western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 20% of the sover under the species (or combination of species (or comb	
Yes = Category I bog No = Not a bog	b
	7

¹³⁶ https://www.dnr.wa.gov/Publications/amp_nh_sighting_form.pdf

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update

Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

¹³⁵ https://www.dnr.wa.gov/NHPdata

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands	
Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as Priority Habitats? If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
 Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in. (81 cm) or more. 	
 Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm). 	
Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section	Cat. I
SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons	
Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?	
— The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks	
— The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom)	
— The lagoon retains some of its surface water at low tide during spring tides	
Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon	Cat. I
SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the rollowing three conditions?	Cutif
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species in H 1.5 in the manual).	
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.	Cat. II
— The wetland is larger than $1/_{10}$ ac (4350 ft ²)	
Yes = Category I No = Category II	
SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands	
Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If</i> you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.	
 Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 	
— Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105	Cat I
 Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 and Ocean Shores Blvd SW, including lands west of E. Oceans Shores Blvd SW. 	
Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = Not an interdunal wetland for rating	Cat II
SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)?	Cat. II
SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3	Cat. III
SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? Yes = Category III No = Category IV	Cat. IV
Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	Not Applicable