

Carey Certo

From: Yogi Chopra <choprayogi@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2026 8:23 PM
To: Community Development Email
Subject: Public Comment and Request for Information: SEPA DNS for SUB26-1011 (Uhacz Subdivision)

WARNING: This message originated outside the City of Camas Mail system. **DO NOT CLICK** on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and are expecting the content. If you recognize the sender as a city employee and you see this message this email is a phishing email. If you are unsure, click the Phish Alert button to redirect the email for ITD review.

Dear City of Camas SEPA Official,

I am writing as a local homeowner in the immediate vicinity of the proposed Uhacz Subdivision (Case No: SUB26-1011, Tax Parcel 178413000).

I recently received the notice regarding the Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) issued on June 18, 2026.

As an invested member of this community, I have significant concerns regarding the potential long-term infrastructure and environmental impacts of introducing a 32-lot single-family home development to this specific area. The two-page notice provided does not contain the underlying data required for residents to understand how the lead agency arrived at this conclusion.

To ensure transparency and address community concerns regarding potential developer bias, I am formally submitting the following requests for information prior to the July 2, 2026 comment deadline:

1. ****Environmental Checklist and Technical Studies:**** Please provide the completed SEPA environmental checklist referenced in the determination, along with all associated technical studies. Specifically, I request access to the traffic impact analysis, stormwater/hydrogeologic evaluations, and critical areas reports utilized for this review.
2. ****Testing Methodology:**** What specific empirical testing, field inspections, or site-specific data modeling were conducted to verify that a 32-lot density increase will not cause significant adverse environmental or infrastructural impacts?
3. ****Historical Precedent and Empirical Data:**** What empirical outcomes or post-construction audits from past, similarly-sized subdivisions in Camas are being relied upon to guarantee that standard municipal code is sufficient to mitigate these localized impacts?
4. ****Impact Mitigation Safeguards:**** If standard Camas Municipal Code is the sole mechanism for addressing these impacts, how does the City plan to monitor and enforce compliance during the grading

and construction phases to protect existing properties from runoff, localized flooding, or structural disruptions?

Please consider this correspondence both a formal request for public records regarding this case file and an official public comment expressing concern over the current lack of detailed oversight shared with the public.

I look forward to your timely response and the receipt of the requested documentation so that I may review it thoroughly before the close of the comment period.

Sincerely,
-Yogi