

**Prepared for:** Ginn Group, LLC 502 NE 72<sup>nd</sup> Street Vancouver, WA 98665

Prepared: July 2022

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CERTIFICATE OF ENGINEER1
SECTION A – PROJECT OVERVIEW
SECTION B – MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS
SECTION C – SOILS EVALUATION
SECTION D – SOURCE CONTROL
SECTION E – ON-SITE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BMPS5
SECTION F – RUNOFF TREATMENT ANALYSIS AND DESIGN6
SECTION G - FLOW CONTROL ANALYSIS AND DESIGN7
SECTION H – WETLAND PROTECTION7
SECTION I – OTHER PERMITS7
SECTION J – CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN
SECTION K – SPECIAL REPORTS AND STUDIES8
SECTION L –OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL8

Technical Appendices: Appendix A: Maps

- Vicinity Map
- Soils Map
- Critical Areas Map
- Basin Maps

**Appendix B: Design Calculations & Modeling** 

**Appendix C: Geotechnical Report** 

**Appendix D: Operations and Maintenance Manual** 

Appendix E: Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

**Appendix F: Environmental Documentation** 

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ENGINEER**

#### Monte Verde Technical Information Report

The technical information and data contained in this report was prepared under the direction and supervision of the undersigned, whose seal, as a professional engineer licensed to practice as such, is affixed below.



Scott W. Gilliland, PE

This document was prepared by:

#### Section A – Project Overview

#### Section A.1 – Site Information

This project will comply with current City of Camas Standards for Stormwater and Erosion Control per CMC Title 14. The Final Grading / Erosion Control / Drainage Plans have been prepared by the project civil engineer, PLS Engineering, Inc. The site will be served by public sewer and water provided by the City of Camas. This project phase proposes construction of 35 single-family residential lots with associated structures, access, paved storage areas, sewer, water, and storm drain connections. Access to the site is from NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street.

The physical address of the site is currently 22205 NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street, but the postal office may change that prior to the completion of this project. The parcel serial number is 173184000. The property is located in the southeast and southwest quarter of Section 21, T2N, R3E of the Willamette Meridian. The property is bordered by NE 28th Street on the north side, 5 acre single family lots to the East and West, and Clark County Parks to the South. A narrow access easement to Clark County Parks immediately abuts the property to the East. The cumulative property area contains a total of 374,941.64 square feet (8.61 acres), with 89,290.53 square feet (2.06 acres) of right of way dedication, resulting in 285,290.53 square feet (6.55 acres) of developed area. This technical information report will address the stormwater runoff associated with the construction which will take place.

The topography of the site is moderately sloped from NE to SW, with elevations ranging from 289' to no greater than 232'. Slopes are generally between 3% and 10%, with some isolated steeper slopes at the South end of the site at around 20%. The site slopes down from relative high point in the NE corner towards the SW property corner. The site contains a 1,900 square-foot house, a 1,200 square-foot shop, and a 3,080 square-foot chicken coop. Each of these structures along with the existing impervious surfaces on-site are planned to be removed. There is an existing BPA easement along with some electrical power line towers that support the power lines that cross the site. This easement and associated structures will remain. The remainder of the site is filled with grass, weeds, and a variety of trees. There are potential wetlands mapped on Clark County Maps at the SW corner of the site. Otherwise, there are no known water courses, areas prone to flooding, floodplains, shoreline areas, water bodies, unstable slopes, landslide hazard areas, habitat, critical areas, or historic sites located on the site. Site drainage follows the slope of the land going from the NE corner to the SW corner. Some of the runoff will be infiltrated on site, and site discharge will not exceed historic rates.

After construction, the site will contain approximately 177,879 square feet of landscaped area. The remainder of the site is anticipated to be covered with impervious surfaces. This will include 95,200 square feet of roof area, 15,240 square feet of sidewalk, and 92,340 square feet of concrete and asphalt for driveways and drive aisles. Site stormwater will be routed to underground infiltration trenches and a detention pond that will release the runoff at rates less than existing in accordance with the SWMMWW. Frontage stormwater will be routed to a bioretention facility for treatment and infiltration. Site stormwater runoff treatment for the site's pollution generating impervious surfaces will be accomplished with media filter treatment cartridges located in stormwater structures throughout the site.

#### Section B – Minimum Requirements

#### Section B.2 – Determination of Applicable Minimum Requirements

After site development, disturbed impervious surfaces will cover approximately 202,776 square feet, or 53% of the disturbed project area; projects resulting in more than 5,000 square foot of hard surface area must meet all nine Minimum Requirements of the stormwater manual. The entirety of the developed site will all discharge to infiltration facilities, acting as one TDA, and will all be required to meet Minimum Requirements #6 and #7. The stormwater system is designed to comply with all City requirements for stormwater treatment and quantity control. The treatment regulations require treatment of 91% of the total runoff volume from pollution generating impervious surfaces while the quantity control regulations require that post-development discharges shall match pre-developed durations for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 50% of the 2-year peak flow up to the full 50-year peak flow.

Existing hard surface	23,115 ft <sup>2</sup>
New hard surface	181,639 ft <sup>2</sup>
Replaced hard	$20,804 \text{ ft}^2$
Native vegetation converted to lawn or landscaping	175,900 ft <sup>2</sup>
Native vegetation converted to pasture	$0 \text{ ft}^2$
Total land-disturbing activity	8.74 acre
Pollution-generating hard surface	90,982 ft <sup>2</sup>
Pollution-generating pervious surface	$0  ext{ ft}^2$
Total pollution-generating surfaces	92,338 ft <sup>2</sup>
Total non-pollution-generating surfaces	289,673 ft <sup>2</sup>

#### MR #1) Preparation of Stormwater Site Plans

All Stormwater System designs meet City of Camas Requirements for conveyance, quality control and quantity. See final construction documents for more details.

#### <u>MR #2</u>) <u>Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention</u> A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan is being included with this report and will be onsite for the duration of the project's construction.

<u>MR #3</u>) <u>Source Control of Pollution</u> See Section D

## MR #4)Preservation of Natural Drainage Systems and OutfallsSite runoff flows to the SW corner of the site. The proposed development will capture the<br/>existing runoff and infiltrate some and releasing the rest at rates that are incompliance with<br/>the SWMMWW. This will maintain and preserve the natural drainage systems.

MR #5) Onsite Stormwater Management See Section E

<u>MR #6)</u>	<u>Runoff Treatment</u> See Section F
<u>MR #7)</u>	<u>Flow Control</u> See Section G
<u>MR #8)</u>	Wetland Protection Clark County maps show the potential for a Wetland located in the SW corner of the site. See Appendix F for documentation showing that there are not any wetlands on the site.
<u>MR #9)</u>	Operations and Maintenance See Appendix D

#### Section C – Soils Evaluation

The soils are mapped by the NRCS as Lauren gravelly loam (LgB) in the North and SW corner of the sit, and Lauren loam (LeB) in the middle and South end of the site. The geotechnical soil investigation found surface soils generally consistent with that soil mapping. The geotechnical report has been included in Appendix C and a soil map is included in Appendix A.

True North Geotechnical, Inc. completed a geotechnical review of the site, dated July 2022. Infiltration testing was performed on the site in two locations. One location was in shallow silty soils and resulted in a coefficient of permeability of less than 0.3 in/hr. The other infiltration test was deeper and in an area with less silt and a higher content of gravel and sand. It resulted in a coefficient of permeability of 42.2 in/hr. Based on the boar logs for the site, it appears that the majority of the site contains dense gray-brown sandy gravel (4% fines) at deeper depths ranging from 5' to 9' and extending deeper. In most cases, this would indicate that the entire site can be infiltrated. Unfortunately, we have groundwater issues at the South end of the site, where the groundwater depth is higher than the sandy gravel soils. Consequently, based on the observed groundwater elevations, infiltration is not feasible on the South end of the site.

#### Section D – Source Control

The pollution risks involved with this project mainly include the sediment accumulation involved with construction. The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan is a document that notes our certain Best Management Practice's (BMP's) that will help prevent sediment laden water from leaving the site during construction. The Erosion Control Plan located in the final construction drawings will provide protection measures involved with minimizing the chance that sediment from the site could enter downstream waterways. After construction is complete, this project does not necessitate any special source control measures due to abnormal risks associated with the project. As this is a single family home development, appropriate source control responsibilities will fall primarily on property owner(s). The SWPPP is provided in Appendix E.

#### Section E – On-site Stormwater Management BMPs

Minimum Requirement 5 requires the applicant to employ On-site Stormwater Management BMPs in accordance with the following project thresholds, standards, and lists to infiltrate, disperse, and retain stormwater runoff on-site to the maximum extent feasible without causing flooding or erosion impacts. Based on section I-2.5.1 of the Western Washington Stormwater Manual the development is within the UGA on a parcel that is less than 5 acres therefore Low Impact Development Performance Standards and BMP T5.13; or List #2 will apply (applicant option).

This site has a unique situation. As discussed in section C, the site accommodates infiltration if the infiltration trenches are deep enough. Unfortunately, the ground water is too shallow on the south end of the site. This means that for the Northern and Middle portions of the site infiltration is a viable solution, but on the south end of the site it is not.

To meet Minimum Requirement 5, the applicant proposes a hybrid solution. The North and Mid portions of the site will comply with the LID performance standards and BMP T5.13. The south end of the site will meet List #2 as outlined below. All requirements that will be applied to this project are noted below and shown in the final construction drawings. If certain BMP's are infeasible; infeasibility criteria per the 2019 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington are also noted.

List #2 (for the south end of the site only):

Lawn and Landscape areas:

BMP T5.13 Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth:

This requirement will be met during final design and shown on final construction drawings.

Roofs:

BMPT5.30A or T5.30B Full Dispersion:

There is insufficient area and length to provide a 100' flow path to meet the requirements of full dispersion while still maintaining sufficient spacing to prevent overlap.

BMPT5.14A or BMPT5.14B Rain Gardens and Bioretention:

The soil permeability factor above groundwater is less than 0.3 inches per hour (measured by the Geotech at less than 0.06 inches per hours). Because the site soils don't accommodate infiltration, this is not a feasible BMP as part of Minimum requirement #5.

BMPT5.10C Downspout Dispersion Systems:

There is insufficient space to meet the required dispersion lengths and setbacks from the structures and the property lines.

#### BMP T5.10D Perforated Stub-out Connections

There is insufficient space to meet the required setback of 10' away from the structures and property lines, and infiltration rates are less than 0.3 inches per hour.

Other Hard Surfaces: BMPT5.30A or T5.30B Full Dispersion: There is insufficient area and length to provide a 100' flow path to meet the requirements of full dispersion while still maintaining sufficient spacing to prevent overlap.

BMPT5.15 Permeable Pavement:

Permeable pavement is insufficient due to soil infiltration above the groundwater table being less than 0.3 in/hr.

BMPT5.14A or BMPT5.14B Rain Gardens and Bioretention:

The soil permeability above the groundwater table is less than 0.3 inches per hour. This is not a feasible solution.

BMPT5.12 Sheet Flow Dispersion:

There is insufficient area and length to provide a 100' flow path to meet the requirements of full dispersion while still maintaining sufficient spacing to prevent overlap.

None of the List 2 requirements can be met by the southern portion of this project. Consequently, stormwater on the South end of the project will be treated and detained prior to discharge as described in Sections F and G of this report.

The following BMPs will be implemented to meet the minimum 5 requirements:

- <u>BMP T5.13</u>: Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth for lawn and landscaped areas.
- **<u>BMP T7.20</u>**: Infiltration Trenches for runoff from the Northern portion of the site.

#### Section F – Runoff Treatment Analysis and Design

Treatment for the site will be accomplished via media filter cartridges that will be placed in catch basins and manholes throughout the site. The filter system will treat the pollution generating surface runoff that is conveyed to it. Runoff from the building roofs and other non-pollution generating areas will be piped to bypass the filter cartridges when possible. The cartridge units will be sized to treat all of the runoff that is routed to them.

For this preliminary phase it is assumed that all of the site will be treated. The Treatment Analysis WWHM model contained in Appendix B identifies an off-line WQ flow of 0.661CFS. Per Table 1 below, 18" ZPG cartridges are designed to treat 0.017 CFS each. So, the most 18" ZPG cartridges that would be used for this project will be 39 cartridges distributed throughout the site in catch basins and Manholes. The final design will evaluate sub-basin flows to each catch basin and identify where each of the cartridges are located.

	Perlite 2 GPM/ft <sup>2</sup>			ZPG 1 GPM/ft <sup>2</sup>			PhosphoSorb 1.67 GPM/ft <sup>2</sup>		
StormFilter	Flow (GPM)	Flow (CFS)	Color	Flow (GPM)	Flow (CFS)	Color	Flow (GPM)	Flow (CFS)	Color
LowDrop	10	0.022	Gray (GRY)	5.00	0.011	Blue (BLU)	8.35	0.019	Yellow (YLW)
18"	15	0.033	Black (BLK)	7.50	0.017	Blue (BLU)	12.53	0.028	Red (RED)
27"	22.5	0.05	Gold (GLD)	11.50	0.025	Pink (PNK)	18.79	0.042	White (WHT)

#### **Table 1-Contech Sizing Chart**

#### Section G - Flow Control Analysis and Design

The stormwater quantity control system for this site has been designed based on the current stormwater quantity control requirements of the City of Camas. The quantity control standards require that stormwater discharges shall match developed discharge durations to pre-developed durations for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 50% of the 2-year peak flow up to the full 50-year peak flow.

The infiltration trenches mentioned in Section F were modelled in WWHM and successfully infiltrate most of the stormwater runoff from the site using an infiltration rate of 42.2 in/hr with a factor of safety of 2 resulting in a design infiltration rate of 21.1 in/hr. The infiltration trenches are designed to be located in the sandy gravel layers identified in the geotechnical report. Modeling basins were calculated as a developed site using Soil Group 4 as the underlying soils per the geotechnical recommendation contained in Appendix C. Calculations and values can be seen in Appendix B.

The infiltration trench design for Basin 1 is 100' long by 8' wide by 3' deep. The infiltration trench for Basin 2 is also 100' long by 8' wide by 3' deep. The Basin 1 infiltration trench is designed to overflow into the trench for Basin 2, which will over flow to a detention pond at the SW corner of the site. Basin 3 runoff areas can't be infiltrated and are directly discharged to the detention facility. The WWHM design model in Appendix B shows that the combined facilities exceed the SWMMWW requirements and can be reduced in size. This preliminary TIR is showing that stormwater for the site can meet the requirements of SWMMWW. The sizes of these facilities will be fine tuned in the final design.

Infiltration does not work if the groundwater is too shallow. Consequently, groundwater depth is a significant issue for this project. The geotechnical investigation discovered ground water in the 2 most southerly test pits. Given the gravel/sand soil conditions at deeper depths, and the fact that groundwater was not discovered in any other test pits, the water table is assumed to be flatter than the existing ground, and increase in depth as the site elevations rise to the North.

#### Section H – Wetland Protection

There are not any wetlands on the site. See appendix F.

#### Section I – Other Permits

The project will be required to obtain preliminary site plan approval, final site plan approval, construction drawing approval, an NPDES construction stormwater permit, and building permit approval prior to construction.

#### Section J – Conveyance Systems Analysis and Design

Conveyance will be provided with the Final TIR.

#### Section K – Special Reports and Studies

A Geotechnical Report for the site was prepared by True North, Inc. and is included in Appendix C. A Critical Areas report is included in Appendix F. No other special studies are anticipated to be needed.

#### Section L –Operations and Maintenance Manual

The stormwater facility located on-site will be maintained by the site owner. An Operations and Maintenance Manual is included as Appendix D of this report.

# SEPARATOR SHEET

## **APPENDIX A**

Maps











# SEPARATOR SHEET

### **APPENDIX B**

## Design Calculations and Modeling

Basin Areas (sqft)						
Basin	Road	SW	Roof	Driveway	Landscape	Total
Basin 1 Site North	37,753.69	8,477.14	44,800.00	12,800.00	61,680.97	165,511.80
Basin 2 Site Mid	15,512.35	3,928.49	23,424.00	7,920.00	65,686.40	116,471.23
Basin 3 Site South	8,995.49	2,831.90	28,000.00	8,000.00	50,843.89	98,671.28
Total	62,261.52	15,237.54	96,224.00	28,720.00	178,211.26	380,654.32

Basin Areas (acre)						
	Road	SW	Roof	Driveway	Landscape	Total
Basin 1 Site North	0.8667	0.1946	1.0285	0.2938	1.4160	3.7996
Basin 2 Site Mid	0.3561	0.0902	0.5377	0.1818	1.5080	2.6738
Basin 3 Site South	0.2065	0.0650	0.6428	0.1837	1.1672	2.2652
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1.4293	0.3498	2.2090	0.6593	4.0912	8.7386

Design Point of Compliance 1				
	sqft	acre		
Total Basin	380,654.32	8.7386		
Road	62,261.52	1.4293		
Sidewalk	15,237.54	0.3498		
Roof	96,224.00	2.2090		
Driveway	28,720.00	0.6593		
Landscape	178,211.26	4.0912		
Impervious Area	202,443.06	4.6475		
Pervious Area	178,211.26	4.0912		
Pollution Generating Impervious Area	90,981.52	2.0886		
Total Site	380,654.32	8.7386		

Extg Point of Compliance 1				
	<u>sqft</u>	acre		
Total Basin	380,654.32	8.7386		
Modeled Existing Basin Area as Forested	380,654.32	8.7386		

WWHM Basin Treatment Flow Analysis (cfs)						
	WQ	2-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Total Site Treatment	0.6610	3.953092	6.020908	7.085902	7.892261	8.704543

# SEPARATOR SHEET





#### Not Redevelopment. See New Development Flow Chart. Figure I-3.2: Flow Chart for Determining Requirements for Redevelopment





#### **Figure I-3.3: Flow Chart for Determining MR #5 Requirements**

#### NO WETLANDS ON SITE AND NOT DISCHARGING TO WETLANDS

#### Figure I-3.5: Flow Chart for Determining Wetland Protection Level Requirements





Figure III-1.1: Runoff Treatment BMP Selection Flow Chart

# SEPARATOR SHEET

### WWHM2012

### **PROJECT REPORT**

This WWHM Model shows that the site meets the SWMMWW discharge requirements.

### **General Model Information**

Project Name:	Prelim Storm Ananysis
Site Name:	Monte Verde
Site Address:	
City:	
Report Date:	7/28/2022
Gage:	Lacamas
Data Start:	1948/10/01
Data End:	2008/09/30
Timestep:	15 Minute
Precip Scale:	1.300
Version Date:	2018/10/10
Version:	4.2.16

#### **POC Thresholds**

Low Flow Threshold for POC1:	50 Percent of the 2 Year
High Flow Threshold for POC1:	50 Year

#### Landuse Basin Data Predeveloped Land Use

#### Extg

Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use SG4, Forest, Mod	acre 8.7386
Pervious Total	8.7386
Impervious Land Use	acre
Impervious Total	0
Basin Total	8.7386
Element Flows To: Surface	Interflow

Groundwater

#### Mitigated Land Use

Basin 1-North Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use SG4, Lawn, Flat SG4, Lawn, Mod	acre 0.708 0.708
Pervious Total	1.416
Impervious Land Use ROADS FLAT ROOF TOPS FLAT DRIVEWAYS MOD SIDEWALKS FLAT	acre 0.8667 1.0285 0.2938 0.1946
Impervious Total	2.3836
Basin Total	3.7996

Element Flows To:		
Surface	Interflow	Groundwater
Gravel Trench Bed 1	Gravel Trench Bed 1	

Basin 2-Mid Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use SG4, Lawn, Flat SG4, Lawn, Mod	acre 0.754 0.754
Pervious Total	1.508
Impervious Land Use ROADS FLAT ROOF TOPS FLAT DRIVEWAYS MOD SIDEWALKS FLAT	acre 0.3561 0.5377 0.1818 0.0902
Impervious Total	1.1658
Basin Total	2.6738
Element Flows To:	Interflow

Surface Interflow Groundwater Gravel Trench Bed 2 Gravel Trench Bed 2

Basin 3-South Bypass:	No	
GroundWater:	No	
Pervious Land Use SG4, Lawn, Flat SG4, Lawn, Mod	acre 0.5836 0.5836	
Pervious Total	1.1672	
Impervious Land Use ROADS FLAT ROOF TOPS FLAT DRIVEWAYS MOD SIDEWALKS FLAT	acre 0.2065 0.6428 0.1837 0.065	
Impervious Total	1.098	
Basin Total	2.2652	
Element Flows To: Surface Trapezoidal Pond 1	Interflow Trapezoidal Pond 1	Groundwater

Routing Elements Predeveloped Routing

#### Mitigated Routing

#### Trapezoidal Pond 1

Bottom Length:	90.00 ft.
Bottom Width:	50.00 ft.
Depth:	4 ft.
Volume at riser head:	0.4079 acre-feet.
Side slope 1:	3 To 1
Side slope 2:	3 To 1
Side slope 3:	3 To 1
Side slope 4:	3 To 1
Discharge Structure	
Riser Height:	3 ft.
Riser Diameter:	18 in.
Notch Type:	Rectangular
Notch Width:	0.330 ft.
Notch Height:	0.500 ft.
Orifice 1 Diameter:	4 in. Elevation:0 ft.
Element Flows To:	
Outlet 1	Outlet 2

#### Pond Hydraulic Table

Stage(feet)	Area(ac.)	Volume(ac-ft.)	Discharge(cfs)	Infilt(cfs)
0.0000	0.103	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.0444	0.104	0.004	0.091	0.000
0.0889	0.105	0.009	0.129	0.000
0.1333	0.105	0.013	0.158	0.000
0.1778	0.106	0.018	0.183	0.000
0.2222	0.107	0.023	0.204	0.000
0.2667	0.108	0.028	0.224	0.000
0.3111	0.109	0.033	0.242	0.000
0.3556	0.110	0.038	0.258	0.000
0.4000	0.111	0.042	0.274	0.000
0.4444	0.112	0.047	0.289	0.000
0.4889	0.112	0.052	0.303	0.000
0.5333	0.113	0.057	0.317	0.000
0.5778	0.114	0.063	0.330	0.000
0.6222	0.115	0.068	0.342	0.000
0.6667	0.116	0.073	0.354	0.000
0.7111	0.117	0.078	0.366	0.000
0.7556	0.118	0.083	0.377	0.000
0.8000	0.119	0.089	0.388	0.000
0.8444	0.120	0.094	0.399	0.000
0.8889	0.121	0.099	0.409	0.000
0.9333	0.122	0.105	0.419	0.000
0.9778	0.123	0.110	0.429	0.000
1.0222	0.123	0.116	0.439	0.000
1.0667	0.124	0.121	0.448	0.000
1.1111	0.125	0.127	0.457	0.000
1.1556	0.126	0.132	0.466	0.000
1.2000	0.127	0.138	0.475	0.000
1.2444	0.128	0.144	0.484	0.000
1.2889	0.129	0.149	0.492	0.000
1.3333	0.130	0.155	0.501	0.000
1.3778	0.131	0.161	0.509	0.000

1.4222	0.132	0.167	0.517	0.000
1.4667	0.133	0.173	0.525	0.000
1.5111	0.134	0.179	0.533	0.000
1.5556	0.135	0.185	0.541	0.000
1.6000	0.136	0.191	0.549	0.000
1.6444	0.137	0.197	0.556	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$
1.6889	0.138	0.203	0.564	
1.7333	0.139	0.209	0.571	
1.7778	0.140	0.215	0.578	
1.8222	0.141	0.221	0.586	
1.8667	0.142	0.228	0.593	
1.9111	0.143	0.234	0.600	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$
1.9556	0.144	0.241	0.607	
2.0000	0.145	0.247	0.614	
2.0444	0.146	0.253	0.620	
2.0889	0.147	0.260	0.627	
2.1333	0.148	0.266	0.634	
2.1778 2.2222 2.2667 2.3111 2.3556 2.4000	0.149 0.150 0.151 0.152 0.153 0.154	0.273 0.280 0.286 0.293 0.300 0.307 0.307	0.640 0.647 0.653 0.660 0.666 0.672	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$
2.4444	0.155	0.314	0.678	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$
2.4889	0.156	0.321	0.685	
2.5333	0.157	0.328	0.697	
2.5778	0.158	0.335	0.720	
2.6222	0.159	0.342	0.750	
2.6667	0.160	0.349	0.783	
2.7111	0.161	0.356	0.821	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$
2.7556	0.162	0.363	0.862	
2.8000	0.163	0.370	0.907	
2.8444	0.164	0.378	0.954	
2.8889	0.165	0.385	1.004	
2.9333	0.167	0.392	1.057	
2.9778	0.168	0.400	1.112	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\end{array}$
3.0222	0.169	0.407	1.196	
3.0667	0.170	0.415	1.422	
3.1111	0.171	0.423	1.742	
3.1556	0.172	0.430	2.129	
3.2000	0.173	0.438	2.569	
3.2444	0.174	0.446	3.047	0.000
3.2889	0.175	0.453	3.550	0.000
3.3333	0.176	0.461	4.063	0.000
3.3778	0.177	0.469	4.572	0.000
3.4222	0.179	0.477	5.063	0.000
3.4667	0.180	0.485	5.523	0.000
3.5111	0.181	0.493	5.939	0.000
3.5556	0.182	0.501	6.304	0.000
3.6000	0.183	0.509	6.613	0.000
3.6444	0.184	0.517	6.866	0.000
3.6889	0.185	0.526	7.071	0.000
3.7333	0.186	0.534	7.241	0.000
3.7778	0.188	0.542	7.482	0.000
3.8222	0.189	0.551	7.663	0.000
3.8667	0.190	0.559	7.839	0.000
3.9111	0.191	0.568	8.011	0.000
3.9556	0.192	0.576	8.179	0.000
4.0000	0.193	0.585	8.343	0.000
--------	-------	-------	-------	-------
4.0444	0.194	0.593	8.504	0.000

## Gravel Trench Bed 1

Bottom Length: Bottom Width: Trench bottom slope 1: Trench Left side slope 0: Trench right side slope 2: Material thickness of first I Pour Space of material for Material thickness of seco Pour Space of material for Material thickness of third	ayer: first layer: nd layer: r second la layer:	yer:	100.00 ft. 8.00 ft. 0 To 1 0 To 1 0 To 1 3 0.33 0 0
Pour Space of material foi	r third layer		0
Infiltration On Infiltration rate: Infiltration safety factor: Total Volume Infiltrated (a Total Volume Through Ris Total Volume Through Fac Percent Infiltrated: Total Precip Applied to Fa Total Evap From Facility: Discharge Structure	c-ft.): ser (ac-ft.): cility (ac-ft.) cility:	):	42.2 0.5 559.48 71.214 630.694 88.71 0 0
Riser Height: Riser Diameter: Orifice 1 Diameter: Element Flows To: Outlet 1 Out Gravel Trench Bed 2	2.5 ft. 18 in. 2 in. tlet 2	Elevatior	n:0 ft.

## Gravel Trench Bed Hydraulic Table

Stage(feet)	Area(ac.)	Volume(ac-ft.)	Discharge(cfs)	Infilt(cfs)
0.0000	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.0333	0.018	0.000	0.019	0.390
0.0667	0.018	0.000	0.028	0.390
0.1000	0.018	0.000	0.034	0.390
0.1333	0.018	0.000	0.039	0.390
0.1667	0.018	0.001	0.044	0.390
0.2000	0.018	0.001	0.048	0.390
0.2333	0.018	0.001	0.052	0.390
0.2667	0.018	0.001	0.056	0.390
0.3000	0.018	0.001	0.059	0.390
0.3333	0.018	0.002	0.062	0.390
0.3667	0.018	0.002	0.065	0.390
0.4000	0.018	0.002	0.068	0.390
0.4333	0.018	0.002	0.071	0.390
0.4667	0.018	0.002	0.074	0.390
0.5000	0.018	0.003	0.076	0.390
0.5333	0.018	0.003	0.079	0.390
0.5667	0.018	0.003	0.081	0.390
0.6000	0.018	0.003	0.084	0.390
0.6333	0.018	0.003	0.086	0.390
0.6667	0.018	0.004	0.088	0.390
0.7000	0.018	0.004	0.090	0.390
0.7333	0.018	0.004	0.093	0.390
0.7667	0.018	0.004	0.095	0.390
0.8000	0.018	0.004	0.097	0.390

0.8333 0.8667 0.9000 0.9333 0.9667 1.0000 1.0333 1.0667 1.1000 1.1333 1.1667 1.2000 1.2333 1.2667 1.3000 1.3333 1.3667 1.4000 1.4333 1.4667	0.018 0.018	0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.008 0	0.099 0.101 0.103 0.104 0.106 0.108 0.110 0.112 0.113 0.115 0.115 0.117 0.118 0.120 0.122 0.123 0.125 0.126 0.128 0.130 0.131	0.390 0.390
1.5000 1.5333 1.5667 1.6000 1.6333 1.6667 1.7000 1.7333 1.7667 1.8000 1.8333 1.8667 1.9000 1.9333 1.9667 2.0000 2.0333 2.0667 2.1000 2.1333 2.1667	0.018 0.	0.009 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.012 0	0.132 0.134 0.135 0.137 0.138 0.140 0.141 0.142 0.144 0.145 0.147 0.148 0.149 0.149 0.149 0.150 0.152 0.153 0.154 0.156 0.159	0.390 0.300 0.300 0.300 0.300 0.300 0
2.2000 2.2333 2.2667 2.3000 2.3333 2.3667 2.4000 2.4333 2.4667 2.5000 2.5333 2.5667 2.6000 2.6333 2.6667 2.7000 2.7333	0.018 0.018	0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.016 0.016 0.016	0.161 0.162 0.163 0.164 0.165 0.167 0.168 0.169 0.170 0.171 0.269 0.447 0.677 0.947 1.251 1.582 1.935	0.390 0.

2.7667	0.018	0.016	2.304	0.390
2.8000	0.018	0.017	2.682	0.390
2.8333	0.018	0.017	3.065	0.390
2.8667	0.018	0.017	3.445	0.390
2.9000	0.018	0.017	3.817	0.390
2.9333	0.018	0.017	4.174	0.390
2.9667	0.018	0.018	4.513	0.390
3.0000	0.018	0.018	4.827	0.390

## Gravel Trench Bed 2

Bottom Length: Bottom Width: Trench bottom slope 1: Trench Left side slope Trench right side slope Material thickness of firs Pour Space of material Material thickness of se Pour Space of material Material thickness of thi	0: 2: st layer: for first layer: cond layer: for second lay rd layer:	yer:	100.00 ft. 8.00 ft. 0 To 1 0 To 1 0 To 1 3 0.33 0 0 0
Pour Space of material	for third layer	:	0
Infiltration On			10.0
Infiltration rate: Infiltration safety factor:	<i>.</i>		42.2 0.5
Total Volume Infiltrated	(ac-ft.):		407.34
Total Volume Through F	Kiser (ac-ft.):		63.856
Porcent Infiltrated:	-aciiity (ac-ii.)		471.197 9675
Total Precip Applied to I	Eacility:		00.45 A
Total Evan From Facility	/ acinty.		0
Discharge Structure	y -		0
Riser Height:	2.5 ft.		
Riser Diameter:	18 in.		
Orifice 1 Diameter:	2 in.	Elevation:	:0 ft.
Element Flows To: Outlet 1 C Trapezoidal Pond 1	Outlet 2		

## Gravel Trench Bed Hydraulic Table

Stage(feet)	Area(ac.)	Volume(ac-ft.)	Discharge(cfs)	Infilt(cfs)
0.0000	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.0333	0.018	0.000	0.019	0.390
0.0667	0.018	0.000	0.028	0.390
0.1000	0.018	0.000	0.034	0.390
0.1333	0.018	0.000	0.039	0.390
0.1667	0.018	0.001	0.044	0.390
0.2000	0.018	0.001	0.048	0.390
0.2333	0.018	0.001	0.052	0.390
0.2667	0.018	0.001	0.056	0.390
0.3000	0.018	0.001	0.059	0.390
0.3333	0.018	0.002	0.062	0.390
0.3667	0.018	0.002	0.065	0.390
0.4000	0.018	0.002	0.068	0.390
0.4333	0.018	0.002	0.071	0.390
0.4667	0.018	0.002	0.074	0.390
0.5000	0.018	0.003	0.076	0.390
0.5333	0.018	0.003	0.079	0.390
0.5667	0.018	0.003	0.081	0.390
0.6000	0.018	0.003	0.084	0.390
0.6333	0.018	0.003	0.086	0.390
0.6667	0.018	0.004	0.088	0.390
0.7000	0.018	0.004	0.090	0.390
0.7333	0.018	0.004	0.093	0.390
0.7667	0.018	0.004	0.095	0.390
0.8000	0.018	0.004	0.097	0.390

0.8333 0.8667 0.9000 0.9333 0.9667 1.0000 1.0333 1.0667 1.1000 1.1333 1.1667 1.2000 1.2333 1.2667 1.3000 1.3333 1.3667 1.4000 1.4333 1.4667	0.018 0.018	0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.008 0	0.099 0.101 0.103 0.104 0.106 0.108 0.110 0.112 0.113 0.115 0.115 0.117 0.118 0.120 0.122 0.123 0.125 0.126 0.128 0.130 0.131	0.390 0.390
1.5000 1.5333 1.5667 1.6000 1.6333 1.6667 1.7000 1.7333 1.7667 1.8000 1.8333 1.8667 1.9000 1.9333 1.9667 2.0000 2.0333 2.0667 2.1000 2.1333 2.1667	0.018 0.	0.009 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.012 0	0.132 0.134 0.135 0.137 0.138 0.140 0.141 0.142 0.144 0.145 0.147 0.148 0.149 0.149 0.149 0.150 0.152 0.153 0.154 0.156 0.159	0.390 0.300 0.300 0.300 0.300 0.300 0
2.2000 2.2333 2.2667 2.3000 2.3333 2.3667 2.4000 2.4333 2.4667 2.5000 2.5333 2.5667 2.6000 2.6333 2.6667 2.7000 2.7333	0.018 0.018	0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.014 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.016 0.016 0.016	0.161 0.162 0.163 0.164 0.165 0.167 0.168 0.169 0.170 0.171 0.269 0.447 0.677 0.947 1.251 1.582 1.935	0.390 0.

2.7667	0.018	0.016	2.304	0.390
2.8000	0.018	0.017	2.682	0.390
2.8333	0.018	0.017	3.065	0.390
2.8667	0.018	0.017	3.445	0.390
2.9000	0.018	0.017	3.817	0.390
2.9333	0.018	0.017	4.174	0.390
2.9667	0.018	0.018	4.513	0.390
3.0000	0.018	0.018	4.827	0.390

# Analysis Results POC 1



+ Predeveloped x Mitigated

Predeveloped Landuse	Totals for POC #1
Total Pervious Area:	8.7386
Total Impervious Area:	0

Mitigated Landuse Totals for POC #1Total Pervious Area:4.0912Total Impervious Area:4.6474

Flow Frequency Method: Log Pearson Type III 17B

Flow Frequency Return Periods for Predeveloped. POC #1Return PeriodFlow(cfs)2 year2.4581235 year3.78561110 year4.50203725 year5.22848850 year5.659129

6.012664

Flow Frequency Return Periods for Mitigated. POC #1

Return Period	Flow(cfs)
2 year	0.689244
5 year	1.232363
10 year	1.74583
25 year	2.62148
50 year	3.476668
100 year	4.542692

#### **Annual Peaks**

100 year

Annual Peaks for Predeveloped and Mitigated. POC #1 Year Predeveloped Mitigated

rear	Predeveloped	wiitigate
1949	1.848	0.581
1950	2.383	0.610
1951	3.230	0.519
1952	1.940	0.782
1953	2.642	0.531
1954	4.043	0.577
1955	2.031	0.463
1956	3.726	2.986
1957	3.294	0.644
1958	2.445	2.196

1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	$\begin{array}{c} 1.479\\ 1.358\\ 3.399\\ 2.377\\ 2.660\\ 2.468\\ 2.116\\ 2.960\\ 2.675\\ 3.201\\ 3.064\\ 8.476\\ 1.353\\ 2.161\\ 2.248\\ 3.403\\ 1.935\\ 2.922\\ 0.087\\ 4.256\\ 2.776\end{array}$	0.379 0.546 0.670 0.624 0.591 0.554 0.649 0.651 0.552 0.825 2.758 5.763 0.449 0.549 0.674 2.887 0.545 0.667 0.250 1.228 1.474
1980 1981 1982 1983	3.811 2.521 4.610	1.069 0.935 0.835
1984	1.488	0.454
1985	1.072	0.580
1986	1.328	0.587
1987	2.344	0.589
1988	1.120	0.443
1989	1.211	0.527
1990	1.031	0.417
1991	2.722	0.604
1992	2.815	0.539
1993 1994 1995 1996	3.342 2.412 1.992 4.190	0.672
1997	5.107	2.923
1998	4.127	0.669
1999	2.878	0.689
2000	1.647	0.313
2001	0.909	0.282
2002	3.970	0.663
2003	3.023	0.744
2004	0.923	0.412
2005	1.229	0.513
2006	2.330	0.620
2007	1.269	0.997
2008	1.754	0.974

## **Ranked Annual Peaks**

Ranked Annual Peaks for Predeveloped and Mitigated. POC #1 Rank Predeveloped Mitigated

ate
29
24
56
25

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 11 2 3 4 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	4.1898 4.1265 4.0428 3.9703 3.8114 3.7262 3.4034 3.3989 3.3419 3.2944 3.2006 3.0637 3.0231 2.9603 2.9221 2.8780 2.8153 2.7758 2.7219 2.6751 2.6597 2.6425 2.5211 2.4679 2.4450 2.4121 2.3834 2.3766 2.3441 2.3296 2.2481 2.3766 2.3441 2.3296 2.2481 2.1612 2.1612 2.0305 1.9916 1.9397 1.9355 1.8480 1.7540 1.6466 1.6075 1.4877	2.8871 2.7581 2.1961 1.4744 1.2284 1.1756 1.0693 0.9972 0.9743 0.9346 0.9082 0.8347 0.8246 0.7436 0.6894 0.6743 0.6697 0.6691 0.6697 0.6691 0.6672 0.6635 0.6635 0.6506 0.6488 0.6241 0.6200 0.6105 0.6241 0.6200 0.6105 0.6044 0.5913 0.5889 0.5801 0.5766 0.5542 0.5542 0.5492 0.5492 0.5306 0.5306 0.5306
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	1.9355 1.8480 1.7540 1.6466 1.6075 1.4877 1.4786 1.3582 1.3529 1.3278 1.2693 1.2291 1.2106 1.1196 1.0719 1.0308 0.9234 0.9087 0.0872	0.3492 0.5463 0.5447 0.5391 0.5306 0.5272 0.5129 0.4902 0.4626 0.4545 0.4494 0.4435 0.4167 0.4122 0.3790 0.3133 0.2817 0.2499

Exhibit 7 SUB22-05

# Duration Flows The Facility PASSED

Flow(cfs)	Predev	Mit	Percentage	Pass/Fail
1.2291	894	66	7	Pass
1.2738	823	63	7	Pass
1.3186	756	57	7	Pass
1.3633	687	54	7	Pass
1.4081	626	51	8	Pass
1.4528	576	50	8	Pass
1.4976	536	48	8	Pass
1.5423	492	46	9	Pass
1.5870	455	45	9	Pass
1.6318	431	44	10	Pass
1.6765	392	39	9	Pass
1.7213	364	38	10	Pass
1.7660	346	37	10	Pass
1.8108	324	36	11	Pass
1.8555	305	34	11	Pass
1.9003	287	33	11	Pass
1.9450	271	32	11	Pass
1.9898	253	32	12	Pass
2.0345	237	30	12	Pass
2.0793	226	29	12	Pass
2.1240	211	28	13	Pass
2.1688	193	27	13	Pass
2.2135	182	23	12	Pass
2.2583	165	22	13	Pass
2.3030	152	22	14	Pass
2.3478	145	22	15	Pass
2.3925	131	22	16	Pass
2.4373	120	21	1/	Pass
2.4820	107	20	18	Pass
2.5268	100	18	18	Pass
2.5715	96	17	17	Pass
2.0103	91	17	18	Pass
2.6610	83	17	20	Pass
2.7058	75	10	20	Pass
2.7505	71	13	10	Pass
2.7952	63	10	14	Pass
2.0400	0Z 50	9	14	Pass Door
2.0047	59	9	10	Pass
2.9293	52	7	12	Pass Dass
2.9742	JZ 40	6	10	Dass
3 0637	43	5	12	Dass
3 1085	44 /3	5	11	Pass
3 1532	40	5	12	Pass
3 1980	30	5	12	Pass
3 2427	32	5	15	Pass
3 2875	30	5	16	Pass
3.3322	28	5	17	Pass
3.3770	26	5	19	Pass
3.4217	21	5	23	Pass
3.4665	19	5	26	Pass
3.5112	19	5	26	Pass
3.5560	19	5	26	Pass

3.6007	18	5	27	Pass
3.6455	16	5	31	Pass
3.6902	15	4	26	Pass
3.7350	14	4	28	Pass
3.7797	14	4	28	Pass
3.8245	12	3	25	Pass
3.8692	12	3	25	Pass
3.9140	12	3	25	Pass
3.9587	12	3	25	Pass
4.0034	11	3	27	Pass
4.0482	10	3	30	Pass
4.0929	10	3	30	Pass
4.1377	9	3	33	Pass
4.1824	9	3	33	Pass
4.2272	8	3	37	Pass
4.2719	7	3	42	Pass
4.3167	6	3	50	Pass
4.3614	6	3	50	Pass
4.4062	6	3	50	Pass
4.4509	6	3	50	Pass
4.4957	6	3	50	Pass
4.5404	6	3	50	Pass
4.5852	6	3	50	Pass
4.6299	5	3	60	Pass
4.6747	5	2	40	Pass
4.7194	5	2	40	Pass
4.7642	5	2	40	Pass
4.8089	5	2	40	Pass
4.8537	5	2	40	Pass
4.8984	5	2	40	Pass
4.9432	5	2	40	Pass
4.9879	5	2	40	Pass
5.0327	5	2	40	Pass
5.0774	5	2	40	Pass
5.1222	4	2	50	Pass
5.1669	4	2	50	Pass
5.2116	4	2	50	Pass
5.2564	4	2	50	Pass
5.3011	4	2	50	Pass
5.3459	4	2	50	Pass
5.3906	4	2	50	Pass
5.4354	4	1	25	Pass
5.4801	4	1	25	Pass
5.5249	4	1	25	Pass
5.5696	4	1	25	Pass
5.6144	4	1	25	Pass
5.6591	4	1	25	Pass

## Water Quality

Water QualityWater Quality BMP Flow and Volume for POC #1On-line facility volume:0.9279 acre-feetOn-line facility target flow:1.223 cfs.Adjusted for 15 min:1.223 cfs.Off-line facility target flow:0.661 cfs.Adjusted for 15 min:0.661 cfs.

## LID Report

LID Technique	Used for Treatment ?	Total Volume Needs Treatment (ac-ft)	Volume Through Facility (ac-ft)	Infiltration Volume (ac-ft)	Cumulative Volume Infiltration Credit	Percent Volume Infiltrated	Water Quality	Percent Water Quality Treated	Comment
Trapezoidal Pond 1 POC		394.02				0.00			
Gravel Trench Bed 2		428.79				86.45			
Gravel Trench Bed 1		573.93				88.71			
Total Volume Infiltrated		1396.74	0.00	0.00		62.99	0.00	0%	No Treat. Credit
Compliance with LID Standard 8% of 2-yr to 50% of 2-yr									Duration Analysis Result = Passed
2-yr									Passe

## Model Default Modifications

Total of 0 changes have been made.

## **PERLND Changes**

No PERLND changes have been made.

## IMPLND Changes

No IMPLND changes have been made.

## Appendix Predeveloped Schematic

	帰	Extg 8.74ac			

## Mitigated Schematic



#### Predeveloped UCI File

RUN

GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation END 2008 09 30 3 0 START 1948 10 01 RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->\*\*\* \* \* \* <-ID-> WDM 26 Prelim Storm Ananysis.wdm MESSU 25 PrePrelim Storm Ananysis.MES PrePrelim Storm Ananysis.L61 27 PrePrelim Storm Ananysis.L62 28 POCPrelim Storm Ananysis1.dat 30 END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP 29 INDELT 00:15 PERLND 501 COPY DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<-----Title---->\*\*\*TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND 1 Extq 1 2 30 MAX 9 END DISPLY-INFO1 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES # - # NPT NMN \*\*\* 1 1 501 1 1 1 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD \*\*\* END OPCODE PARM K \*\*\* # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* # - # in out \* \* \* 1 1 1 1 27 0 29 SG4, Forest, Mod END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section PWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC \*\*\* 29 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 29 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 9 END PRINT-INFO

PWAT-PARM1 <PLS > PWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* 

 # - # CSNO RTOP UZFG VCS VUZ VNN VIFW VIRC VLE INFC HWT \*\*\*

 29
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 END PWAT-PARM1 PWAT-PARM2 
 <PLS >
 PWATER input info: Part 2
 \*\*\*

 # - # \*\*\*FOREST
 LZSN
 INFILT
 LSUR
 SLSUR
 KVARY
 AGWRC

 29
 0
 6
 0.04
 400
 0.1
 0
 0.96
 - - - - 0 6 END PWAT-PARM2 PWAT-PARM3 PWAT-PARM3<PLS >PWATER input info: Part 3\*\*\*# - # \*\*\*PETMAXPETMININFEXPINFILD2900320 BASETP AGWETP 0 0 0 END PWAT-PARM3 PWAT-PARM4 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 4 \* \* \* 
 # - #
 CEPSC
 UZSN
 NSUR
 INTFW
 IRC
 LZETP \*\*\*

 29
 0.2
 0.4
 0.35
 2
 0.4
 0.7
 END PWAT-PARM4 PWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation ran from 1990 to end of 1992 (pat 1-11-95) RUN 21 \*\*\* # \*\*\* CEPS SURS UZS IFWS LZS AGWS 0 0 0 0 2.5 1 GWVS 29 0 END PWAT-STATE1 END PERLND IMPLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----> Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* # - # User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* \* \* \* in out END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section IWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL \*\*\* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO <ILS > \*\*\*\*\*\*\* Print-flags \*\*\*\*\*\*\* PIVL PYR # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END PRINT-INFO IWAT-PARM1 <PLS > IWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* # - # CSNO RTOP VRS VNN RTLI \*\*\* END IWAT-PARM1 IWAT-PARM2 <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 2 \*\*\*
# - # \*\*\* LSUR SLSUR NSUR RETSC END IWAT-PARM2 IWAT-PARM3 <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 3 \* \* \* # - # \*\*\*PETMAX PETMIN END IWAT-PARM3 IWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation # - # \*\*\* RETS SURS END IWAT-STATE1

SCHEMATIC <--Area--> <-Target-> MBLK \*\*\* <-factor-> <Name> # Tbl# \*\*\* <-Source-> <Name> Extg\*\*\* 8.7386 COPY 501 12 8.7386 COPY 501 13 PERLND 29 PERLND 29 \*\*\*\*\*Routing\*\*\*\*\* END SCHEMATIC NETWORK <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 DISPLY 1 INPUT TIMSER 1 <Name> # # \*\*\* <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # \*\*\* END NETWORK RCHRES GEN-INFO \* \* \* RCHRES Name Nexits Unit Systems Printer # - #<----- User T-series Engl Metr LKFG \* \* \* \* \* \* in out END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section RCHRES\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # HYFG ADFG CNFG HTFG SDFG GQFG OXFG NUFG PKFG PHFG \*\*\* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # HYDR ADCA CONS HEAT SED GQL OXRX NUTR PLNK PHCB PIVL PYR \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END PRINT-INFO HYDR-PARM1 \* \* \* RCHRES Flags for each HYDR Section END HYDR-PARM1 HYDR-PARM2 # - # FTABNO LEN DELTH STCOR KS \* \* \* DB50 <----><----><----><----> \* \* \* END HYDR-PARM2 HYDR-INIT RCHRES Initial conditions for each HYDR section <----> <---><---><---><---> END HYDR-INIT END RCHRES SPEC-ACTIONS END SPEC-ACTIONS FTABLES END FTABLES EXT SOURCES <-Volume-> <Member> SsysSgap<--Mult->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # tem strg<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 PERLND 1 999 EXTNL PREC WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 IMPLND 1 999 EXTNL PREC <Name> # # \*\*\* WDM

END IMPLND

WDM 1	EVAP	ENGL	0.8	PERLND 1	999 EXTNL	PETINP
WDM 1	EVAP	ENGL	0.8	IMPLND 1	999 EXTNL	PETINP
END EXT SC	URCES					
EXT TARGET	'S					
<-Volume->	<-Grp>	<-Member-	> <mult>Tran</mult>	<-Volume->	<member> T</member>	sys Tgap Amd ***
<name> #</name>	:	<name> #</name>	#<-factor->strg	<name> #</name>	<name></name>	tem strg strg***
COPY 501	OUTPUT	MEAN 1	1 48.4	WDM 501	FLOW E	NGL REPL
END EXT TA	RGETS					
MASS-LINK						
<volume></volume>	<-Grp>	<-Member-	> <mult></mult>	<target></target>	<-Grp>	<-Member->***
<name> MASS-LIN</name>	IK	<name> # 12</name>	#<-factor->	<name></name>		<name> # #***</name>
PERLND	PWATER	SURO	0.083333	COPY	INPUT	MEAN
END MASS	-LINK	12				
MASS-LIN	IK	13				
PERLND	PWATER	IFWO	0.083333	COPY	INPUT	MEAN
END MASS	-LINK	13				

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

#### Mitigated UCI File

RUN

GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation END 2008 09 30 3 0 START 1948 10 01 RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->\*\*\* \* \* \* <-ID-> WDM 26 Prelim Storm Ananysis.wdm MESSU 25 MitPrelim Storm Ananysis.MES 27 MitPrelim Storm Ananysis.L61 28 MitPrelim Storm Ananysis.L62 30 POCPrelim Storm Ananysis1.dat END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP INDELT 00:15 34 PERLND 35 PERLND 1 IMPLND IMPLND 4 б IMPLND IMPLND 8 RCHRES 1 RCHRES 2 3 RCHRES COPY 1 501 COPY DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<-----Title----->\*\*\*TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND Trapezoidal Pond 1 MAX 1 1 2 30 9 END DISPLY-INF01 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES # - # NPT NMN \*\*\* 1 1 1 501 1 1 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD \*\*\* END OPCODE PARM K \*\*\* # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name---->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* # - # \* \* \* in out SG4, Lawn, Flat SG4, Lawn, Mod 34 1 1 1 1 27 0 35 1 1 1 1 27 0 END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section PWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY 

# - : 34 35 END ACT:	ATMP 0 0 IVITY	SNOW 0 0	PWAT 1 1	SED 0 0	PST 0 0	PWG 0 0	PQAL 0 0	MSTL 0 0	PEST 0 0	NITR 0 0	PHOS 0 0	TRAC 0 0	* * *	
PRINT-II	NFO	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	** Dr	int_f		* * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * *	DTVI	סעת
# - : 34 35 END PRII	# ATMP 0 0 NT-INF	SNOW 0 0	PWAT 4 4	SED 0 0	PST 0 0	PWG 0	PQAL 0 0	MSTL 0 0	PEST 0 0	NITR 0 0	PHOS 0 0	TRAC 0 0	1 1	9 9
PWAT-PAI <pls # - 34 35 END PWA</pls 	RM1 > PWA' # CSNO 0 0 T-PARM	TER va RTOP 0 0	ariabl UZFG 0 0	e mon <sup>.</sup> VCS 0 0	thly VUZ 0 0	param VNN 0 0	neter VIFW 0 0	value VIRC 0 0	e flag VLE 0 0	gs * INFC 0 0	** HWT 0 0	* * *		
PWAT-PA <pls # - : 34 35 END PWA</pls 	RM2 > # ***F T-PARM	PWATE OREST 0 2	CR inp	ut in: LZSN 6 6	fo: F IN	Part 2 IFILT 0.02 0.02	!	LSUR 400 400	* * *	SLSUR 0.05 0.1	K	CVARY 0 0	A( ) (	GWRC 0.96 0.96
PWAT-PA <pls # - 34 35 END PWA PWAT-PA</pls 	RM3 > # ***P: T-PARM	PWATE ETMAX 0 0 3	ER inp PE	ut in: TMIN 0 0	fo: F IN	Part 3 IFEXP 3 3	II	vFILD 2 2	* * * D]	EEPFR 0 0	BA	ASETP 0 0	AGV	WETP 0 0
<pre></pre>	T-PARM	PWATEF CEPSC 0.1 0.1 4	{ inpu	t info UZSN 0.2 0.2	o: Pa	nrt 4 NSUR 0.25 0.25	-	INTFW 2 2		IRC 0.4 0.4	I	ZETP 0.25 0.25	* * *	
PWAT-ST <pls # - : 34 35 END PWA'</pls 	ATE1 > *** # *** T-STAT	Initia an fro CEPS 0 0 E1	al con om 199	dition 0 to 0 SURS 0 0	ns at end c	star of 199 UZS 0 0	t of 2 (pa	simu at 1-3 IFWS 0 0	lation 11-95	n ) RUN LZS 2.5 2.5	21 **	AGWS 1 1	C	GWVS 0 0
END PERLN	D													
IMPLND GEN-INF( <pls # - : 1 4 6 8 END GEN</pls 	O ROAD ROAD ROOF DRIV SIDE -INFO	Nan S/FLA1 TOPS/ EWAYS/ WALKS/	ne Y YFLAT YMOD YFLAT	>	Uni User 1 1 1 1	t-sys. t-se in 1 1 1	etems out 1 1 1	Pr: Engl 27 27 27 27	inter Metr 0 0 0 0	* * * * * * * * *				
ACTIVIT <pls # - 1 4 6 8</pls 	Y > **** # ATMP 0 0 0	****** SNOW 0 0 0 0	**** A IWAT 1 1 1	ctive SLD 0 0 0 0	Sect IWG 0 0 0	ions IQAL 0 0 0 0	* * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * *		

END ACTIVITY

PRINT-INFO <ILS > \*\*\*\*\*\*\* Print-flags \*\*\*\*\*\*\* PIVL PYR # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1 9 1 0 0 4 0 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 0 9 1 6 0 0 4 0 0 0 1 9 0 4 0 0 9 0 0 1 8 END PRINT-INFO IWAT-PARM1 <PLS > IWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* # - # CSNO RTOP VRS VNN RTLI \* \* \* 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 END IWAT-PARM1 IWAT-PARM2 \* \* \* <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 2 # - # \*\*\* LSUR RETSC SLSUR NSUR 0.1 0.1 1 400 0.01 0.1 4 400 0.01 0.1 б 400 0.05 0.1 0.08 0.01 0.1 0.1 8 400 END IWAT-PARM2 IWAT-PARM3 IWATER input info: Part 3 \* \* \* <PLS > # - # \*\*\*PETMAX PETMIN 0 1 0 4 0 0 6 0 0 0 8 0 END IWAT-PARM3 IWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation # - # \*\*\* RETS SURS 0 1 0 0 0 4 6 0 0 8 0 0 END IWAT-STATE1 END IMPLND SCHEMATIC <-Target-> \* \* \* MBLK <-Source-> <--Area--> \* \* \* <-factor-> <Name> # <Name> # Tbl# Basin 1-North\*\*\* 2 perlnd 34 0.708 RCHRES 1 PERLND 34 0.708 RCHRES 1 3 2 PERLND 35 0.708 RCHRES 1 PERLND 35 1 0.708 RCHRES 3 1 1 5 IMPLND 0.8667 RCHRES IMPLND 4 1.0285 RCHRES 1 5 5 IMPLND 6 0.2938 RCHRES 1 1 8 RCHRES 5 IMPLND 0.1946 Basin 2-Mid\*\*\* perlnd 34 0.754 2 2 RCHRES PERLND 34 0.754 RCHRES 2 3 0.754 2 2 PERLND 35 RCHRES 3 2 PERLND 35 0.754 RCHRES 1 5 IMPLND 0.3561 RCHRES 2 IMPLND 4 0.5377 RCHRES 2 5 2 IMPLND б 0.1818 RCHRES 5 8 0.0902 RCHRES 2 5 IMPLND Basin 3-South\*\*\* PERLND 34 0.5836 2 RCHRES 3

PERLND PERLND PERLND IMPLND IMPLND IMPLND IMPLND	34       35       35       1       4       6       8							5836 5836 5836 2065 6428 1837 .065	3	F F F F F	RCH RCH RCH RCH RCH RCH RCH	RES RES RES RES RES RES RES	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		3 2 3 5 5 5 5 5					
****** PERLND PERLND IMPLND IMPLND PERLND PERLND RCHRES RCHRES RCHRES END SC	Rout 34 35 1 4 6 8 34 35 1 35 1 35 1 35 1 35 1 35 1 35 34 35 34 35 34 35 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	ing**; TIC	* * * *					5836 5836 2065 6428 1837 .065 5836 5836 1 1	-	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	COP COP COP COP COP COP COP COP COP	Y Y Y Y Y RES RES Y	1 1 1 1 1 2 3 1 501		$12 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 13 \\ 7 \\ 17 \\ 16$					
NETWOR <-Volu <name> COPY</name>	.K .me-> # 501	<-Gri	> < [> T M	-Meml Name EAN	oer- > # 1	->< #<-f 1	-Mul acto 48.	t> or-> 4	•Tra •str	in « `g « I	<-Ta <na DIS</na 	arge <sup>.</sup> me> PLY	t vo # 1	ols> #	<-( INI	Grp> PUT	<-Me <nat TIM</nat 	embe ne> SER	er-> # # 1	* * * * * *
<-Volu <name> END NE</name>	me-> # TWOR	<-Grj K	0> < <]	-Meml Name:	oer- > #	->< #<-f	-Mul	t> or->	•Tra •str	in « `g «	<-Ta <nai< td=""><td>arge<sup>.</sup> me&gt;</td><td>t vo #</td><td>ols&gt; #</td><td>&lt;-(</td><td>Grp&gt;</td><td>&lt;-Me <nar< td=""><td>embe ne&gt;</td><td>er-&gt; # #</td><td>* * * * * *</td></nar<></td></nai<>	arge <sup>.</sup> me>	t vo #	ols> #	<-(	Grp>	<-Me <nar< td=""><td>embe ne&gt;</td><td>er-&gt; # #</td><td>* * * * * *</td></nar<>	embe ne>	er-> # #	* * * * * *
RCHRES GEN- RC # 1 2 3 END ***	INFO HRES - # GEN- Sect	< Grave Grave Trape INFO ion R	Nat  l Tru zoid	me ench ench al Po S***	Be- Be- ond-	Ne >< -009 -010 -005	exit	s > Us 2 1	Uni ser 1 1 1	t S T-s	Sys ser 1 1 1	tems ies out 1 1	] Eng	Prin gl M 28 28 28	lter letr 0 0 0	LKFC	G 1 1 1			* * * * * * * * *
ACTI <p 1 2 3 END</p 	VITY LS > - # ACTI	**** HYFG 1 1 1 VITY	* * * * ADF	* * * * * G CNI 0 0 0	* Ac FG F 0 0 0	ctive ITFG 0 0 0	e Se SDF	ctic G GÇ O O	ons )FG 0 0 0	* * * OXI	* * * G 0 0 0	* * * * * NUFG 0 0 0	* * * * PKI	* * * * FG F 0 0 0	9HFG 0 0 0	* * * * *	* * * *	* *		
PRIN <p # 1 2 3 END</p 	T-IN LS > - # PRIN	FO **** HYDR 4 4 T-INF(	* * * * ADC.	* * * * * A COI 0 0 0	**** 1S H 0 0 0	** Pr HEAT 0 0 0	SE	-fla D G 0 0	igs SQL 0 0 0	***	* * * 0 0 0	* * * * NUTR 0 0 0	*** PLI	* * * * NK F 0 0 0	9HCB 0 0 0	PIVI PIVI	L P L P 1 1 1	YR YR 9 9 9	* * * ;	* * * * * *
HYDR RC #	-PAR HRES - #	M1 Flag VC FG I	gs f Al A FG F	or ea 2 A3 G FG	ach OI po	HYDF DFVF( Dssik	R Se G fo: ple	ctic r ea exi	on ach .t	* * *	* 0: * p	DGTF	G fo	or e ex	ach it		FUN	CT sibi	for le e	*** each exit
1 2 3 END	HYDR	0 0 0 -PARM	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0		4 5 4 5 4 0	5 0 5 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			0 0 0	0 0				2 2 2	2 2 2	2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2

HYDR-PARM	12						
# - #	FTABNO	LEN	DELTH	STCOR	KS	DB50	* * *
<><	:>< 1	><	>·	·<>	-><>><-	<>	* * *
2	2	0.02	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	
3	3	0.02	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	
END HYDR-	PARM2						
HYDR-INI'I RCHRES	Initial c	onditions	for each 1	AVDR sectio	n		* * *
# - #	*** VOL	Initia	l value	of COLIND	Initial	value	of OUTDGT
*	** ac-ft	for eac	h possible	e exit	for each	possible	e exit
<><	<>	<><	><>	<>	*** <><-	><><	<>
⊥ 2	0	4.0	5.0 0.0		0.0		
3	0	4.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
END HYDR-	INIT						
END RCHRES							
SPEC-ACTION	IS						
END SPEC-AC	TIONS						
FTABLES	_						
FTABLE	3						
91 4 Depth	Area	Volume	Outflow1	Velocity	Travel Time	* * *	
(ft)	(acres)	(acre-ft)	(cfs)	(ft/sec)	(Minutes)	* * *	
0.00000	0.103306	0.00000	0.00000				
0.044444	0.104164	0.004610	0.091535				
0.000009	0.105020 0 105892	0.009259	0.129450				
0.177778	0.106760	0.018672	0.183070				
0.222222	0.107632	0.023436	0.204679				
0.266667	0.108507	0.028239	0.224214				
0.311111	0.109385 0 110267	0.033081 0.037962	0.242179				
0.400000	0.111152	0.042883	0.274605				
0.444444	0.112040	0.047843	0.289459				
0.488889	0.112931	0.052842	0.303587				
0.533333 0.577778	0.113826 0 114723	0.057881	0.31/08/ 0.330034				
0.622222	0.115625	0.068079	0.342493				
0.666667	0.116529	0.073238	0.354514				
0.711111	0.117437	0.078437	0.366140				
0.755556	0.118348	0.083676	0.377409				
0.844444	0.120179	0.094278	0.398992				
0.888889	0.121100	0.099639	0.409357				
0.933333	0.122024	0.105042	0.419466				
0.977778	0.122951	0.110486	0.429337				
1.066667	0.123882 0.124815	0.121498	0.448428				
1.111111	0.125752	0.127066	0.457675				
1.155556	0.126693	0.132676	0.466739				
1.200000	0.127636	0.138328 0.144021	0.475630				
1.288889	0.120503 0.129533	0.149757	0.492931				
1.333333	0.130487	0.155536	0.501358				
1.377778	0.131443	0.161356	0.509646				
1.422222	0.132403	U.167219 0 172125	0.517800				
1.511111	0.134333	0.179074	0.533737				
1.555556	0.135303	0.185066	0.541529				
1.600000	0.136275	0.191101	0.549210				
1.644444 1.688880	U.137252 0 138231	0.197180	0.556786				
1.733333	0.139214	0.209467	0.571636				
1.777778	0.140200	0.215676	0.578919				
1.822222	0.141189	0.221929	0.586110				
1.866667 1 911111	U.142182 0 143179	0.228226	0.593215				
	0.1101/0	0.77200	0.000200				

1.955556 2.00000 2.044444 2.088889 2.133333 2.177778 2.222222 2.266667 2.311111 2.355556 2.400000 2.444444 2.48889 2.533333 2.577778 2.622222 2.666667 2.71111 2.75556 2.800000 2.84444 2.88889 2.93333 2.977778 3.022222 3.066667 3.111111 3.155556 3.200000 3.244444 3.288889 3.33333 3.377778 3.422222 3.466667 3.511111 3.555556 3.600000 3.644444 3.68889 3.33333 3.777778 3.422222 3.466667 3.511111 3.555556 3.600000 3.644444 3.68889 3.73333 3.777778 3.822222 3.866667 3.511111 3.555556 3.600000 3.644444 3.68889 3.73333 3.777778 3.822222 3.866667 3.511111 3.555556 3.600000 3.644444 3.68889 3.73333 3.777778 3.822222 3.866667 3.91111 3.955556 4.000000 END FTABLE 92 5	0.144177 0.145179 0.146185 0.147194 0.148206 0.149221 0.150240 0.151262 0.152287 0.153315 0.154347 0.155382 0.156420 0.157462 0.157462 0.158507 0.159555 0.160606 0.161661 0.162718 0.163780 0.164844 0.163780 0.1648457 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.166983 0.1677871 0.172386 0.177871 0.175667 0.176768 0.177871 0.176768 0.177871 0.178978 0.180088 0.182318 0.182318 0.182318 0.182318 0.182515 0.190226 0.193664 E 3 1	0.240953 0.247383 0.253858 0.260378 0.266942 0.273552 0.280206 0.286906 0.293652 0.300443 0.307280 0.314163 0.321092 0.328067 0.328067 0.342157 0.349271 0.3636433 0.3636433 0.370897 0.378199 0.378199 0.378550 0.392947 0.400392 0.407886 0.415427 0.423016 0.430653 0.446074 0.453857 0.461688 0.469569 0.477499 0.461688 0.469569 0.477499 0.485479 0.501585 0.509713 0.517891 0.526119 0.526119 0.526333 0.576543 0.585125	0.607175 0.614036 0.620821 0.627533 0.634173 0.640745 0.647251 0.653691 0.660069 0.666385 0.672643 0.672643 0.678842 0.684986 0.697762 0.720947 0.750049 0.783798 0.821504 0.907105 0.954426 1.004479 1.057101 1.112155 1.196074 1.422563 1.742162 2.129808 2.569685 3.047905 3.550658 4.063756 4.572787 5.063593 5.522962 5.939493 6.304588 6.613556 6.8668255 7.071238 7.241442 7.4822851 7.663321 7.839630 8.011571 8.79456 8.343565			
Depth (ft) 0.000000 0.033333 0.066667 0.100000 0.133333 0.166667 0.200000 0.233333 0.266667 0.300000 0.333333 0.366667 0.400000 0.433333 0.466667 0.500000	Area (acres) 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365	Volume (acre-ft) 0.000000 0.000202 0.000404 0.000606 0.000808 0.001010 0.001212 0.001414 0.001616 0.001818 0.002020 0.002222 0.002424 0.002626 0.002828 0.003030	Outflow1 (cfs) 0.000000 0.019818 0.028027 0.034326 0.039636 0.044314 0.048544 0.052433 0.056054 0.059454 0.062670 0.065729 0.068651 0.071455 0.074152 0.076754	Outflow2 (cfs) 0.000000 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time*** (Minutes)***

0.533333 0.018365 0.003232 0.079272 0.390741 0.566667 0.018365 0.003434 0.081711 0.390741

0.600000	0.018365	0.003636	0.084080	0.390741
0.633333	0.018365	0.003838	0.086384	0.390741
0.666667	0.018365	0.004040	0.088628	0.390741
0.700000	0.018365	0.004242	0.090817	0.390741
0.733333	0.018365	0.004444	0.092954	0.390741
0.766667	0.018365	0.004646	0.095043	0.390741
0.800000	0.018365	0.004848	0.097088	0.390741
0.833333	0.018365	0.005051	0.099090	0.390741
0.866667	0.018365	0.005253	0.101052	0.390741
0.900000	0.018365	0.005455	0.102977	0.390741
0 933333	0 018365	0 005657	0 104867	0 390741
0.966667	0 018365	0.005859	0 106723	0.390741
1 000000	0.018365	0.005055	0.108547	0.390741
1 033333	0.018365	0.000001	0.110342	0.390741
1 066667	0.010305	0.000205	0.110107	0.300741
1 1000007	0.010305	0.000405	0.1122107	0.390741
1 122222	0.010305	0.0000007	0.115557	0.300741
1 166667	0.010305	0.000009	0.117244	0.390741
1.100007	0.010305	0.007071	0.11/244	0.390741
1 222222	0.010305	0.007273	0.110900	0.390741
1.233333	0.010365	0.00/4/5	0.120548	0.390741
1.266667	0.018365	0.00/6//	0.122166	0.390/41
1.300000	0.018365	0.00/8/9	0.123/63	0.390/41
1.3333333	0.018365	0.008081	0.125340	0.390741
1.366667	0.018365	0.008283	0.126897	0.390741
1.400000	0.018365	0.008485	0.128435	0.390741
1.433333	0.018365	0.008687	0.129955	0.390741
1.466667	0.018365	0.008889	0.131457	0.390741
1.500000	0.018365	0.009091	0.132943	0.390741
1.533333	0.018365	0.009293	0.134412	0.390741
1.566667	0.018365	0.009495	0.135865	0.390741
1.600000	0.018365	0.009697	0.137303	0.390741
1.633333	0.018365	0.009899	0.138725	0.390741
1.666667	0.018365	0.010101	0.140134	0.390741
1.700000	0.018365	0.010303	0.141528	0.390741
1.733333	0.018365	0.010505	0.142909	0.390741
1.766667	0.018365	0.010707	0.144277	0.390741
1.800000	0.018365	0.010909	0.145631	0.390741
1.833333	0.018365	0.011111	0.146974	0.390741
1.866667	0.018365	0.011313	0.148304	0.390741
1.900000	0.018365	0.011515	0.149622	0.390741
1.933333	0.018365	0.011717	0.150929	0.390741
1,966667	0.018365	0.011919	0.152224	0.390741
2.000000	0.018365	0.012121	0.153509	0.390741
2.033333	0.018365	0.012323	0.154783	0.390741
2 066667	0 018365	0 012525	0 156046	0 390741
2.100000	0.018365	0.012727	0.157300	0.390741
2 133333	0 018365	0 012929	0 158543	0 390741
2 166667	0 018365	0 013131	0 159777	0 390741
2 200000	0 018365	0 013333	0 161002	0 390741
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 018365	0 013535	0 162217	0 390741
2.255555	0 018365	0.013737	0 163423	0.390741
2 300000	0.018365	0.013939	0.164620	0.390741
2.200000	0.010305	0.01333	0.165809	0.300741
2.333333	0.010305	0.014141	0.166090	0.390741
2.300007	0.010305	0.014545	0.160969	0.390741
2.400000	0.010305	0.014545	0.100101	0.390741
2.433333	0.018365	0.014/4/	0.169324	0.390741
2.466667	0.018365	0.014949	0.170480	0.390/41
2.500000	0.018365	0.015152	0.1/1628	0.390741
2.533333	0.018365	0.015354	0.269621	0.390/41
2.566667	0.018365	0.015556	0.447597	0.390741
2.600000	0.018365	U.U15758	0.0//205	0.390741
2.633333	0.018365	U.U15960	0.947610	0.390741
2.666667	0.018365	0.016162	1.251526	0.390741
2.700000	0.018365	0.016364	1.582825	0.390741
2./33333	0.018365	0.016566	1.935709	0.390741
2.766667	0.018365	0.016768	2.304373	0.390741
2.800000	0.018365	0.016970	2.682896	0.390741
2.833333	0.018365	0.017172	3.065232	0.390741
2.866667	0.018365	0.017374	3.445289	0.390741
2.900000	0.018365	0.017576	3.817050	0.390741

2.933333 2.966667 3.000000 3.033333	0.018365 0.018365 0.018365 0.018365	0.017778 0.017980 0.018182 0.018794	4.174735 4.512988 4.827101 5.113248	0.390741 0.390741 0.390741 0.390741		
END FIABL FTABLE	2					
92 5	7	Maluma	0	0	Malaritu	
(ft)	(acres)	(acre-ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/sec)	(Minutes)***
0.000000	0.018365	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	( -,,	(
0.033333	0.018365	0.000202	0.019818	0.390741		
0.100000	0.018365	0.000404	0.028027	0.390741 0.390741		
0.133333	0.018365	0.000808	0.039636	0.390741		
0.166667	0.018365 0.018365	0.001010	0.044314 0 048544	0.390741		
0.233333	0.018365	0.001212	0.052433	0.390741		
0.266667	0.018365	0.001616	0.056054	0.390741		
0.300000	0.018365 0.018365	0.001818	0.059454 0.062670	0.390741		
0.366667	0.018365	0.002222	0.065729	0.390741		
0.400000	0.018365	0.002424	0.068651	0.390741		
0.433333	0.018365 0.018365	0.002828	0.071455 0.074152	0.390741 0.390741		
0.500000	0.018365	0.003030	0.076754	0.390741		
0.533333	0.018365	0.003232	0.079272 0.081711	0.390741		
0.600000	0.018365	0.003636	0.084080	0.390741		
0.633333	0.018365	0.003838	0.086384	0.390741		
0.666667	0.018365 0.018365	0.004040 0.004242	0.088628 0.090817	0.390741 0.390741		
0.733333	0.018365	0.004444	0.092954	0.390741		
0.766667	0.018365	0.004646	0.095043	0.390741		
0.833333	0.018365	0.005051	0.099090	0.390741		
0.866667	0.018365	0.005253	0.101052	0.390741		
0.900000	0.018365 0.018365	0.005455 0 005657	0.102977	0.390741		
0.966667	0.018365	0.005859	0.106723	0.390741		
1.000000	0.018365	0.006061	0.108547	0.390741		
1.066667	0.018365	0.006465	0.112107	0.390741		
1.100000	0.018365	0.006667	0.113845	0.390741		
1.133333 1 166667	0.018365 0.018365	0.006869 0 007071	0.115557 0 117244	0.390741		
1.200000	0.018365	0.007273	0.118908	0.390741		
1.233333	0.018365	0.007475	0.120548	0.390741		
1.300000	0.018365	0.007879	0.123763	0.390741		
1.333333	0.018365	0.008081	0.125340	0.390741		
1.366667	0.018365 0.018365	0.008283	0.126897 0.128435	0.390741		
1.433333	0.018365	0.008687	0.129955	0.390741		
1.466667	0.018365	0.008889	0.131457	0.390741		
1.533333	0.018365 0.018365	0.009091	0.132943 0.134412	0.390741 0.390741		
1.566667	0.018365	0.009495	0.135865	0.390741		
1.600000	0.018365	0.009697	0.137303 0.138725	0.390741		
1.666667	0.018365	0.010101	0.140134	0.390741		
1.700000	0.018365	0.010303	0.141528	0.390741		
1.766667	0.018365 0.018365	0.010505 0.010707	0.142909 0.144277	0.390741		
1.800000	0.018365	0.010909	0.145631	0.390741		
1.833333	0.018365	$0.011111 \\ 0.011212$	0.146974	0.390741		
1.900000	0.018365	0.011515	0.149622	0.390741		
1.933333	0.018365	0.011717	0.150929	0.390741		
1.966667	0.018365 0.018365	0.011919 0.012121	0.152224	0.390741 0.390741		

2.033333       0.018365       0.012323       0.154         2.066667       0.018365       0.012525       0.156         2.100000       0.018365       0.012727       0.157         2.133333       0.018365       0.012929       0.158         2.166667       0.018365       0.013131       0.159         2.200000       0.018365       0.013333       0.161         2.233333       0.018365       0.013737       0.163         2.266667       0.018365       0.013737       0.163         2.300000       0.018365       0.014141       0.165         2.366667       0.018365       0.014343       0.166         2.400000       0.018365       0.014747       0.169         2.466667       0.018365       0.014747       0.169         2.466667       0.018365       0.015556       0.447         2.500000       0.018365       0.015556       0.447         2.600000       0.018365       0.01556       0.447         2.666667       0.018365       0.01556       0.447         2.666667       0.018365       0.01556       0.447         2.666667       0.018365       0.016364       1.582         2.	783 $0.390741$ $046$ $0.390741$ $543$ $0.390741$ $543$ $0.390741$ $002$ $0.390741$ $217$ $0.390741$ $423$ $0.390741$ $423$ $0.390741$ $809$ $0.390741$ $809$ $0.390741$ $809$ $0.390741$ $810$ $0.390741$ $824$ $0.390741$ $826$ $0.390741$ $621$ $0.390741$ $622$ $0.390741$ $623$ $0.390741$ $624$ $0.390741$ $825$ $0.390741$ $825$ $0.390741$ $825$ $0.390741$ $825$ $0.390741$ $822$ $0.390741$ $823$ $0.390741$ $824$ $0.390741$ $825$ $0.390741$ $826$ $0.390741$ $826$ $0.390741$ $827$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $829$ $0.390741$ $829$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $828$ $0.390741$ $829$ $0.390741$ $829$ $0.390741$ $830741$ $0.390741$ $830741$ $0.390741$ $830741$ $0.390741$ $830$
EXT SOURCES <-Volume-> <member> SsysSgap<mult: <name> # <name> # tem strg&lt;-factor-: WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 WDM 1 EVAP ENGL 0.8 WDM 1 EVAP ENGL 0.8 WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 WDM 1 EVAP ENGL 0.8</name></name></mult: </member>	<pre>&gt;Tran &lt;-Target vols&gt; &lt;-Grp&gt; &lt;-Member-&gt; *** &gt;strg <name> # #</name></pre>
END EXT SOURCES EXT TARGETS <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-> <mult: <name> # <name> # #&lt;-factor-: RCHRES 3 HYDR RO 1 1 1 RCHRES 3 HYDR STAGE 1 1 1 COPY 1 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 END EXT TARGETS</name></name></mult: 	<pre>&gt;Tran &lt;-Volume-&gt; <member> Tsys Tgap Amd *** &gt;strg <name> # <name> tem strg strg*** WDM 1000 FLOW ENGL REPL WDM 1001 STAG ENGL REPL WDM 701 FLOW ENGL REPL WDM 801 FLOW ENGL REPL</name></name></member></pre>
MASS-LINK <volume> &lt;-Grp&gt; &lt;-Member-&gt;<mult: <name> <name> # #&lt;-factor-: MASS-LINK 2 PERLND PWATER SURO 0.083333 END MASS-LINK 2</name></name></mult: </volume>	<pre>&gt; <target> &lt;-Grp&gt; &lt;-Member-&gt;*** &gt; <name> <name> # #*** RCHRES INFLOW IVOL</name></name></target></pre>
MASS-LINK 3 PERLND PWATER IFWO 0.083333 END MASS-LINK 3	RCHRES INFLOW IVOL
MASS-LINK 5 IMPLND IWATER SURO 0.083333 END MASS-LINK 5	RCHRES INFLOW IVOL

R	MASS-LINK CHRES END MASS-	C OFLOW -LINK	7 OVOL 7	1		RCHRES	INFLOW	IVOL
Ρł	MASS-LINF ERLND END MASS-	C PWATER -LINK	12 SURO 12		0.083333	СОРҮ	INPUT	MEAN
ΡI	MASS-LINF ERLND END MASS-	C PWATER -LINK	13 IFWO 13		0.083333	COPY	INPUT	MEAN
IN	MASS-LINF MPLND END MASS-	IWATER -LINK	15 SURO 15		0.083333	COPY	INPUT	MEAN
R	MASS-LINF CHRES END MASS-	ROFLOW -LINK	16 16			COPY	INPUT	MEAN
R	MASS-LINF CHRES END MASS-	C OFLOW LINK	17 OVOL 17	1		СОРҮ	INPUT	MEAN

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

Predeveloped HSPF Message File

Exhibit 7 SUB22-05

#### Mitigated HSPF Message File

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 1953/12/ 9 13:45

2

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 792.01 818.67 851.70

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 1953/12/ 9 13:45

2

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

A	В	С	RDEP1	RDEP2	COUNT	
0.0000E+00	1600.0	-3.5831	E+03 2	2.2392	2.2392	2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 1968/ 8/19 16: 0

2

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 792.01 818.67 872.25

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 1968/ 8/19 16: 0

2

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

A 0.0000E+00	B 1600.0	C RDEE -4.816E+03	21 RDEP2 3.0098	COUNT 3.0098	2	
ERROR/WARN	ING ID: 3	341 6				 
DATE/TIME:	1969/ 9/1	7 21:30				
RCHRES:	2					

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 792.01 818.67 958.98

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 1969/ 9/17 21:30

2

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

 A
 B
 C
 RDEP1
 RDEP2
 COUNT

 0.0000E+00
 1600.0
 -1.002E+04
 6.2632
 6.2632E+00
 2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 16:15

2

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 1020.8

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

2

DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 16:15

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

 A
 B
 C
 RDEP1
 RDEP2
 COUNT

 0.0000E+00
 1600.0
 -1.373E+04
 8.5835
 8.5835E+00
 2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 16:30

2

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 832.70

Prelim Storm Ananysis

7/28/2022 3:13:51 PM

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5
DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 16:30
RCHRES: 2
Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:
A         B         C         RDEP1         RDEP2         COUNT           0.0000E+00         1600.0         -2.442E+03         1.5266         1.5266E+00         2
ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6
DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 16:45
RCHRES: 2
The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:
NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 878.12
ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5
DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 16:45
RCHRES: 2
Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:
A         B         C         RDEP1         RDEP2         COUNT           0.0000E+00         1600.0         -5.168E+03         3.2301         3.2301         2
ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6
DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 17: 0
RCHRES: 2
The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:
NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 792.01 818.67 827.66
ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5
DATE/TIME: 1970/ 1/22 17: 0
RCHRES: 2
Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0).

7/28/2022 3:13:51 PM
Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT Δ С 0.0000E+00 1600.0 -2.140E+031.3372 1.3372E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 1979/ 1/10 5: 0 2 RCHRES: The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V2 VOL V1 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 838.57 ERROR/WARNING ID: 5 341 DATE/TIME: 1979/ 1/10 5: 0 RCHRES: 2 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: RDEP2 COUNT А B С RDEP1 0.0000E+00 1600.0 -2.795E+03 1.7468 1.7468E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 1992/12/10 6: 0 RCHRES: 2 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 832.67 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 1992/12/10 6: 0 RCHRES: 2 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: R C RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT 0.0000E+00 1600.0 -2.440E+03 1.5252 1.5252E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 1997/ 5/31 5: 0

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 881.95

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

2

DATE/TIME: 1997/ 5/31 5: 0

2

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

 A
 B
 C
 RDEP1
 RDEP2
 COUNT

 0.0000E+00
 1600.0
 -5.398E+03
 3.3739
 3.3739E+00
 2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 1997/10/29 7:30

2

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 959.26

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 1997/10/29 7:30

2

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

 A
 B
 C
 RDEP1
 RDEP2
 COUNT

 0.0000E+00
 1600.0
 -1.004E+04
 6.2737
 6.2737E+00
 2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 2002/ 2/23 1:45

2

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

VOL NROWS V2 V1 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 832.44 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2002/ 2/23 1:45 RCHRES: 2 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: С RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT В 0.0000E+00 1600.0 -2.426E+03 1.5166 1.5166E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 2008/ 5/24 21:15 2 RCHRES: The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V2 VOL V1 884.15 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2008/ 5/24 21:15 RCHRES: 2 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: С RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT В 0.0000E+00 1600.0 -5.530E+03 3.4564 3.4564E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 2008/ 5/24 22: 0 RCHRES: 2 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V2 VOL V1 92 7.9201E+02 818.67 992.51 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2008/ 5/24 22: 0

RCHRES:

Α

Α

Prelim Storm Ananysis

2

Exhibit 7 SUB22-05

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

A	В	С	RDEP1	RDEP2	COUNT	
0.0000E+00	1600.0	-1.203	E+04 7	1.5209	7.5209	2

#### Disclaimer

#### Legal Notice

This program and accompanying documentation are provided 'as-is' without warranty of any kind. The entire risk regarding the performance and results of this program is assumed by End User. Clear Creek Solutions Inc. and the governmental licensee or sublicensees disclaim all warranties, either expressed or implied, including but not limited to implied warranties of program and accompanying documentation. In no event shall Clear Creek Solutions Inc. be liable for any damages whatsoever (including without limitation to damages for loss of business profits, loss of business information, business interruption, and the like) arising out of the use of, or inability to use this program even if Clear Creek Solutions Inc. or their authorized representatives have been advised of the possibility of such damages. Software Copyright © by : Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 2005-2022; All Rights Reserved.

Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 6200 Capitol Blvd. Ste F Olympia, WA. 98501 Toll Free 1(866)943-0304 Local (360)943-0304

www.clearcreeksolutions.com

# SEPARATOR SHEET

# WWHM2012

# **PROJECT REPORT**

This WWHM Model identifies the WQ flow rate for sizing cartridges. The failed flow duration is unrelated and can be ignored.

## **General Model Information**

Project Name:	TreatmentAnalysis
Site Name:	
Site Address:	
City:	
Report Date:	7/28/2022
Gage:	Lacamas
Data Start:	1948/10/01
Data End:	2008/09/30
Timestep:	15 Minute
Precip Scale:	1.300
Version Date:	2018/10/10
Version:	4.2.16

#### POC Thresholds

Low Flow Threshold for POC1:	50 Percent of the 2 Year
High Flow Threshold for POC1:	50 Year

#### Landuse Basin Data Predeveloped Land Use

#### Extg

Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use SG4, Forest, Mod	acre 8.7386
Pervious Total	8.7386
Impervious Land Use	acre
Impervious Total	0
Basin Total	8.7386
Element Flows To: Surface	Interflow

Groundwater

#### Mitigated Land Use

#### Treatment Area

Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use SG4, Lawn, Flat SG4, Lawn, Mod	acre 2.0912 2
Pervious Total	4.0912
Impervious Land Use ROADS FLAT ROOF TOPS FLAT DRIVEWAYS MOD SIDEWALKS FLAT	acre 1.4293 2.209 0.6593 0.3498
Impervious Total	4.6474
Basin Total	8.7386

Element Flows To:		
Surface	Interflow	Groundwater

Exhibit 7 SUB22-05

Routing Elements Predeveloped Routing Mitigated Routing

# Analysis Results POC 1



+ Predeveloped x Mitigated

Predeveloped Landuse	Totals for POC #1
Total Pervious Area:	8.7386
Total Impervious Area:	0

Mitigated Landuse Totals for POC #1 Total Pervious Area: 4.0912 Total Impervious Area: 4.6474

Flow Frequency Method: Log Pearson Type III 17B

Flow Frequency Return Periods for Predeveloped. POC #1Return PeriodFlow(cfs)2 year2.4581235 year3.78561110 year4.50203725 year5.228488

50 year	5.659129
100 year	6.012664

Flow Frequency Return Periods for Mitigated. POC #1

Return Period	Flow(cfs)
2 year	3.953092
5 year	5.190564
10 year	6.020908
25 year	7.085902
50 year	7.892261
100 year	8.710693

#### **Annual Peaks**

Annual Peaks for Predeveloped and Mitigated. POC #1 Year Predeveloped Mitigated

Predeveloped	wiitigate
1.848	4.077
2.383	3.606
3.230	3.998
1.940	4.067
2.642	3.751
4.043	5.402
2.031	2.758
3.726	4.356
3.294	4.584
2.445	5.146
	1.848 2.383 3.230 1.940 2.642 4.043 2.031 3.726 3.294 2.445

1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975	$\begin{array}{c} 1.479 \\ 1.358 \\ 3.399 \\ 2.377 \\ 2.660 \\ 2.468 \\ 2.116 \\ 2.960 \\ 2.675 \\ 3.201 \\ 3.064 \\ 8.476 \\ 1.353 \\ 2.161 \\ 2.248 \\ 3.403 \\ 1.935 \\ 2.922 \end{array}$	3.043 3.031 4.076 3.582 4.248 3.057 3.397 3.954 4.063 7.549 6.230 9.174 2.746 3.838 3.394 3.992 2.600 3.488
1976	2.922	3.488
1977	0.087	1.648
1978	4.256	5.123
1979	2.776	5.570
1980	1.607	3.033
1981	3.811	4.724
1982	2.521	4.445
1983	4.610	5.437
1984	1.488	2.640
1985	1.072	3.326
1986	1.328	4.787
1987	2.344	3.185
1988	1.120	3.696
1989	1.211	3.773
1990	1.031	3.545
1991	2.722	4.346
1992	2.815	4.182
1993	3.342	5.165
1994	2.412	3.154
1995	1.992	4.184
1990	4.190	5.912
1997	5.107	7.321
1998	4.127	7.656
1999	2.878	3.473
2000	1.647	2.601
2001	0.909	2.236
2002	3.970	5.127
2003	3.023	3.883
2004	0.923	2.806
2005	1.229	3.652
2006	2.330	3.696
2007	1.269	3.620
2008	1.754	6.873

#### **Ranked Annual Peaks**

Ranked Annual Peaks for Predeveloped and Mitigated. POC #1 Rank Predeveloped Mitigated

tigate
745
560
5495
3209

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 16 7 18 9 20 1 22 3 24 25 26 27 8 29 30 31 32 33 4 35 6 37 38 9 40 1 42 3 44 45	4.1898 4.1265 4.0428 3.9703 3.8114 3.7262 3.4034 3.3989 3.2944 3.2944 3.2006 3.0637 3.0231 2.9603 2.9221 2.8780 2.8780 2.8153 2.7758 2.7219 2.6751 2.6597 2.6425 2.5211 2.4679 2.4450 2.4121 2.3834 2.3766 2.3441 2.3296 2.2481 2.3766 2.3441 2.3296 2.2481 2.1612 2.0305 1.9397 1.9355 1.8480 1.7540 1.6466	6.8728 6.2301 5.9122 5.5696 5.4367 5.4020 5.1652 5.1232 4.7870 4.7236 4.5844 4.3458 4.3458 4.3458 4.3458 4.3458 4.3458 4.3458 4.3458 4.0774 4.0763 4.0667 4.0631 3.9979 3.9921 3.9542 3.8829 3.8382 3.7731 3.7508 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6957 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6958 3.6959 3.944
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	2.0305 1.9916 1.9397 1.9355 1.8480 1.7540 1.6466 1.6075 1.4877 1.4786 1.3582 1.3529 1.3278 1.2693 1.2291 1.2106 1.1196 1.0719 1.0308 0.9234 0.9087 0.0872	3.6062 3.5823 3.5449 3.4884 3.4727 3.3969 3.3944 3.3263 3.1854 3.0574 3.0434 3.0334 3.0308 2.8065 2.7584 2.7458 2.6402 2.6012 2.6005 2.2364 1.6477

Exhibit 7 SUB22-05

#### **Duration Flows**

Flow(cfs)	Predev	Mit	Percentage	Pass/Fail
1.2291	894	4877	545	Fail
1.2738	823	4454	541	Fail
1.3186	756	4073	538	Fail
1.3633	687	3743	544	Fail
1.4081	626	3421	546	Fail
1.4528	576	3154	547	Fail
1.4976	536	2884	538	Fail
1.5423	492	2647	538	Fail
1.5870	455	2430	534	Fail
1.6318	431	2249	521	Fail
1.6765	392	2062	526	Fall
1.7213	364	18/4	514	Fall
1.7660	340	1738	502	Fall
1.0100	324	1022	500 401	Fall
1.0000	303 207	1000	491	Fall
1.9005	207	1090	400	Fall
1.9450	271	1203	473	Fall
2 03/5	233	1112	470	Fail
2.0040	226	1041	460	Fail
2 1240	211	977	463	Fail
2 1688	193	912	472	Fail
2.2135	182	859	471	Fail
2.2583	165	805	487	Fail
2.3030	152	745	490	Fail
2.3478	145	688	474	Fail
2.3925	131	651	496	Fail
2.4373	120	617	514	Fail
2.4820	107	579	541	Fail
2.5268	100	540	540	Fail
2.5715	96	508	529	Fail
2.6163	91	478	525	Fail
2.6610	83	444	534	Fall
2.7058	75	421	501	Fall
2.7000	71	399 275	542	Fall
2.7952	62	3/3	543	Fall
2.0400	50	321	577	Fail
2 9295	56	306	546	Fail
2 9742	52	291	559	Fail
3.0190	49	269	548	Fail
3.0637	44	254	577	Fail
3.1085	43	237	551	Fail
3.1532	41	223	543	Fail
3.1980	39	210	538	Fail
3.2427	32	202	631	Fail
3.2875	30	196	653	Fail
3.3322	28	179	639	Fail
3.3770	26	170	653	Fail
3.4217	21	162	//1	Fail
3.4665	19	160	842	Fall
3.3112	19	101	194 726	Fall
3.0000	19 18	100	720	rali Fail
5.0007	10	123	110	ı alı

3.6455 3.6902 3.7350 3.7797 3.8245 3.8692 3.9140 3.9587 4.0034 4.0482 4.0929 4.1377 4.1824 4.2272 4.2719 4.3167 4.3614 4.4062 4.4509 4.4509	16 15 14 12 12 12 12 12 10 9 9 8 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	118 113 105 99 94 89 86 78 73 70 62 60 57 53 48 48 48 45 42 40 39 27	$737 \\753 \\750 \\707 \\783 \\741 \\716 \\650 \\663 \\700 \\620 \\666 \\633 \\662 \\685 \\800 \\750 \\700 \\666 \\650 \\650 \\646 \\650 \\650 \\650 \\650 \\650 \\650 \\650 \\65$	Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail
4.5852	6	34	566	Fail
4.6299	5	34	680 640	Fail
4.0747 4 7194	ວ 5	১∠ 31	640 620	Fail
4.7642	5	30	600	Fail
4.8089	5	29	580	Fail
4.8537	5	29	580	Fail
4.8984	5	28	560	Fail
4.9432	5	28	560	Fail
4.9879	5	27	540	Fail
5.0327	5 5	20	520	Fail
5.1222	4	26	650	Fail
5.1669	4	22	550	Fail
5.2116	4	22	550	Fail
5.2564	4	22	550	Fail
5.3011	4	20	500	Fail
5.3459	4	19	475	Fail
5.3906	4	19	475	Fail
5.4354	4	18	400	Fall
5 5249	4	16	400	Fail
5.5696	4	16	400	Fail
5.6144	4	14	350	Fail
5.6591	4	13	325	Fail

The development has an increase in flow durations from 1/2 Predeveloped 2 year flow to the 2 year flow or more than a 10% increase from the 2 year to the 50 year flow.

year flow. The development has an increase in flow durations for more than 50% of the flows for the range of the duration analysis.

#### Water Quality

Water QualityWater Quality BMP Flow and Volume for POC #1On-line facility volume:0.9279 acre-feetOn-line facility target flow:1.223 cfs.Adjusted for 15 min:1.223 cfs.Off-line facility target flow:0.661 cfs.Adjusted for 15 min:0.661 cfs.

#### LID Report

LID Technique	Used for Treatment ?	Total Volume Needs Treatment (ac-ft)	Volume Through Facility (ac-ft)	Infiltration Volume (ac-ft)	Cumulative Volume Infiltration Credit	Percent Volume Infiltrated	Water Quality	Percent Water Quality Treated	Comment
Total Volume Infiltrated		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0%	No Treat. Credit
Compliance with LID Standard 8% of 2-yr to 50% of 2-yr									Duration Analysis Result = Failed

### Model Default Modifications

Total of 0 changes have been made.

#### **PERLND Changes**

No PERLND changes have been made.

#### IMPLND Changes

No IMPLND changes have been made.

### Appendix Predeveloped Schematic

Extg 8.74ad	2	

#### Mitigated Schematic

Treatm Area 8 74ac	ient		

#### Predeveloped UCI File

RUN

GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation END 3 0 START 1948 10 01 2008 09 30 RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->\*\*\* \* \* \* <-ID-> 26 WDM TreatmentAnalysis.wdm MESSU 25 PreTreatmentAnalysis.MES 27 PreTreatmentAnalysis.L61 PreTreatmentAnalysis.L62 28 POCTreatmentAnalysis1.dat 30 END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP 29 INDELT 00:15 PERLND 501 COPY DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<-----Title---->\*\*\*TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND 1 Extq 1 2 30 MAX 9 END DISPLY-INFO1 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES # - # NPT NMN \*\*\* 1 1 )1 1 1 501 1 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD \*\*\* END OPCODE PARM K \*\*\* # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* # - # in out \* \* \* 1 1 1 1 27 0 29 SG4, Forest, Mod END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section PWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC \*\*\* 29 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 29 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 9 END PRINT-INFO

PWAT-PARM1 <PLS > PWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* 

 # - # CSNO RTOP UZFG VCS VUZ VNN VIFW VIRC VLE INFC HWT \*\*\*

 29
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 END PWAT-PARM1 PWAT-PARM2 
 <PLS >
 PWATER input info: Part 2
 \*\*\*

 # - # \*\*\*FOREST
 LZSN
 INFILT
 LSUR
 SLSUR
 KVARY
 AGWRC

 29
 0
 6
 0.04
 400
 0.1
 0
 0.96
 END PWAT-PARM2 PWAT-PARM3 BASETP AGWETP 0 0 0 END PWAT-PARM3 PWAT-PARM4 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 4 \* \* \* 
 # - #
 CEPSC
 UZSN
 NSUR
 INTFW
 IRC
 LZETP \*\*\*

 29
 0.2
 0.4
 0.35
 2
 0.4
 0.7
 END PWAT-PARM4 PWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation ran from 1990 to end of 1992 (pat 1-11-95) RUN 21 \*\*\* # \*\*\* CEPS SURS UZS IFWS LZS AGWS 0 0 0 0 2.5 1 GWVS 29 0 END PWAT-STATE1 END PERLND IMPLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----> Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* # - # User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* \* \* \* in out END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section IWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL \*\*\* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO <ILS > \*\*\*\*\*\*\* Print-flags \*\*\*\*\*\*\* PIVL PYR # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END PRINT-INFO IWAT-PARM1 <PLS > IWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* # - # CSNO RTOP VRS VNN RTLI \*\*\* END IWAT-PARM1 IWAT-PARM2 <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 2 \*\*\*
# - # \*\*\* LSUR SLSUR NSUR RETSC END IWAT-PARM2 IWAT-PARM3 <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 3 \* \* \* # - # \*\*\*PETMAX PETMIN END IWAT-PARM3 IWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation # - # \*\*\* RETS SURS END IWAT-STATE1

SCHEMATIC <--Area--> <-Target-> MBLK \*\*\* <-factor-> <Name> # Tbl# \*\*\* <-Source-> <Name> Extg\*\*\* 8.7386 COPY 501 12 8.7386 COPY 501 13 PERLND 29 PERLND 29 \*\*\*\*\*Routing\*\*\*\*\* END SCHEMATIC NETWORK <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 DISPLY 1 INPUT TIMSER 1 <Name> # # \*\*\* <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # \*\*\* END NETWORK RCHRES GEN-INFO \* \* \* RCHRES Name Nexits Unit Systems Printer # - #<----- User T-series Engl Metr LKFG \* \* \* \* \* \* in out END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section RCHRES\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # HYFG ADFG CNFG HTFG SDFG GQFG OXFG NUFG PKFG PHFG \*\*\* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # HYDR ADCA CONS HEAT SED GQL OXRX NUTR PLNK PHCB PIVL PYR \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END PRINT-INFO HYDR-PARM1 \* \* \* RCHRES Flags for each HYDR Section END HYDR-PARM1 HYDR-PARM2 # - # FTABNO LEN DELTH STCOR KS DB50 \* \* \* <----><----><----><----> \* \* \* END HYDR-PARM2 HYDR-INIT RCHRES Initial conditions for each HYDR section <----> <---><---><---><---><---> END HYDR-INIT END RCHRES SPEC-ACTIONS END SPEC-ACTIONS FTABLES END FTABLES EXT SOURCES <-Volume-> <Member> SsysSgap<--Mult->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # tem strg<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 PERLND 1 999 EXTNL PREC WDM 2 PREC ENGL 1.3 IMPLND 1 999 EXTNL PREC <Name> # # \*\*\*

END IMPLND

WDM 2	1 EVAP	ENGL	0.8	perlnd 1	999 EXTNL	PETINP
WDM	l evap	ENGL	0.8	IMPLND 1	999 EXTNL	PETINP
END EXT SO	OURCES					
EXT TARGET	ГS					
<-Volume-:	> <-Grp>	<-Member-	> <mult>Tran</mult>	<-Volume->	<member> T</member>	'sys Tgap Amd ***
<name></name>	ŧ	<name> #</name>	#<-factor->strg	<name> #</name>	<name></name>	tem strg strg***
COPY 503	1 OUTPUT	MEAN 1	1 48.4	WDM 501	FLOW E	NGL REPL
END EXT TA	ARGETS					
MASS-LINK						
<volume></volume>	<-Grp>	<-Member-	> <mult></mult>	<target></target>	<-Grp>	<-Member->***
<name> MASS-LII</name>	NK	<name> # 12</name>	#<-factor->	<name></name>		<name> # #***</name>
PERLND	PWATER	SURO	0.083333	COPY	INPUT	MEAN
END MASS	S-LINK	12				
MASS-LII	NK	13				
PERLND	PWATER	IFWO	0.083333	COPY	INPUT	MEAN
END MASS	S-LINK	13				

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

#### Mitigated UCI File

RUN GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation START 1948 10 01 END 2008 09 30 RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL 3 0 RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->\*\*\* \* \* \* <-ID-> WDM 26 TreatmentAnalysis.wdm MESSU 25 MitTreatmentAnalysis.MES MitTreatmentAnalysis.L61 27 28 MitTreatmentAnalysis.L62 30 POCTreatmentAnalysis1.dat END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP INDELT 00:15 34 PERLND 35 PERLND IMPLND 1 IMPLND 4 IMPLND б 8 IMPLND 501 COPY DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<-----Title---->\*\*\*TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND 1 Treatment Area 1 2 30 MAX 9 END DISPLY-INF01 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES # - # NPT NMN \*\*\* 1 1 501 1 1 1 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD \*\*\* END OPCODE PARM K \*\*\* # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name---->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* # - # \* \* \* in out 34 SG4, Lawn, Flat 1 1 1 1 27 0 1 1 27 35 SG4, Lawn, Mod 1 1 0 END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section PWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW PWATSEDPSTPWGPQALMSTLPESTNITRPHOSTRAC\*\*\*3400100000000 34 35 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 END ACTIVITY

PRI	NT-I	INF	"O +++	* * * •	* * * *		· + + +	Dee		£1.		* * * *		* * * 1	L T T I	· • •	* * * *	* * *	* * *				
< #	PLS	> #	ATM	P SI	NOW	PWAI	SE	Pr: ID	INC- PS:	-II5 Г I	ags 2WG	POAI	_ MS	STL	PES	ST	NITR	PH	os	TRAC	PIV. **	L .	21R ****
34			(	0	0	4	ł	0	(	0	0	~ (	)	0		0	0		0	0		1	9
35 דואיד	יסס	דיזא ד	) ווא ד _ י	) FO	0	4	Ł	0	(	0	0	(	)	0		0	0		0	0		1	9
BIND																							
PWA	T-PA	ARM	11 DW3	ለጥፑ፣	⊃ <b>17</b> 2	rist	vlo m	uon'	+hl.	z na	ur an	notor	~ 77	- ] 116	_ f1	ne l	a *	* *					
#	г <u>п</u> р	#	CSN	D R	ΓΟΡ	UZFO	S VC	2S	VU2	y pe Z \	/NN	VIFV	. va I V:	IRC	VI	Lag LE	INFC	H	WT	* * *			
34			(	0	0	C	)	0	(	0	0	(	)	0		0	0		0				
35 END	PWA	אד-	-PARI	0 V11	0	Ĺ	)	0	(	0	0	(	)	0		0	0		0				
	1 111																						
PWA	T-PA DI.S	ARM >	12	זס	זידעו	rp ir	tur	in	fo:	Dar	~t 7	<b>)</b>		k	* * *								
#		#	***]	FORI	EST		LZS	SN		INFI	LLT	-	$\Gamma$	SUR		S	LSUR		K	VARY		AG	WRC
34					0			6		0.	.02		4	400			0.05			0		0	.96
35 END	PWA	AT-	PARI	м2	0			б		0.	.02		4	400			0.1			0		0	.96
PWA <	T-PA PLS	ARM >	13	PI	WATT	R ir	tua	in	fo:	Рат	rt 3	3		k	* * *								
#	-	#	***]	PETI	MAX	E	ETMI	IN		INFE	EXP	]	INF:	ILD		DE	EPFR		BA	SETP	i	AGW	ETP
34					0			0			3			2			0			0			0
END	PW	AT-	PARI	M3	0			0			2			2			0			0			0
PWA	T-PA	ARM	14					~															
< #	PLS	> #		PWA CEI	ATEF PSC	t inp	ut 1 UZS	.ni N	0: 1	Part NS	54 SUR		τN	שידיז			TRC		т	ZETP	***		
34				(	0.1		0.	2		0.	. 25			2			0.4		-	0.25			
35		א ידי א	וסגם	( лл	0.1		0.	2		0.	. 25			2			0.4			0.25			
END	PWF	-11-	PARI	14																			
PWA	T-ST	ΓΑΊ	E1	<b>T</b>										· ٦									
<	PLS	>		ın: ran	itia fro	a⊥ cc om 19	90 t		ns a end	at s of	star 199	nt 01 2 (m	at.	1-1 1	lati 11-9	10n 95)	RUN	21	* *	*			
#	-	#	* * *	CI	EPS		SUF	RS		J	JZS	- (1	I	FWS		,	LZS			AGWS		G	WVS
34					0			0			0			0			2.5			1			0
END	PW	AT-	STA	re1	0			0			0			0			2.9			T			0
		T																					
END P	ERLI	ND																					
IMPLN	D																						
GEN	-INH DI.S	30 50			-Nar	no		. ``	ΤTr	ni+-	- 91/0	at omo	2	Dri	inte	٦r	* * *						
#		#	•		mai	lic		1	Usei	r t	:-se	eries	, 5 Ei	ngl	Met	cr	* * *						
1			<b>DO A I</b>			-			-	1	in	out	2	07		0	* * *						
⊥ 4			ROOI	US/I F T(	DPS	/FLAJ			-	1 1	1 1	-	<u> </u>	27 27		0							
б			DRI	VEW	AYS	MOD			-	1	1	1	L	27		0							
8 דואר	CFI	т_т	SID	EWAI	LKS	/FLA]	•		-	1	1	]	<u> </u>	27		0							
***	Sec	cti	on i	IWA	rer,	* * *																	
م م		rν																					
ACI <	PLS		* * * *	* * * :	* * * *	* * * *	Acti	ve	Sec	ctic	ons	* * * *	***	* * * *	* * * 1	* * *	* * * *	* * *	* * *	* * * *			
#	-	#	ATM	P SI	WOR	IWAT	SI SI	D	IWC	ΞIÇ	)AL	* *	* *										
1			(	0	0	1	-	0	( (	U D	0												
6			í	0	0	1	-	0	(	0	0												
8		n <del>,</del> , ,	) 7 T TT 7 7	0	0	1	-	0	(	0	0												
END	AC.	ттΛ	ТТХ																				
PRI	NT-I	INF	0	L .L .I.		<b>.</b>	<b>C</b> 7		J I.	L .L	L .L .I.	<b>D T T T T</b>	_										
< #	ILS -	> #	х * * * Д ТТМП	* * * D . CI	** I ₩∩₩	rınt? דשעד	sli-: דפי י	'D	*** TW(	х ж * И С, ТС	тх* )дт.	PIA1	. * * :	₽YR ****	***								
1		т	(	0	0	4		0	(	0	0	1	L	9									

4 6 8 END PRIN	0 0 0 T-INFO	0 0 0	4 0 4 0 4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	9 9 9			
IWAT-PAR <pls> # - # 1 4 6 8 END IWAT</pls>	M1 IWATEJ CSNO R' 0 0 0 -PARM1	R varia TOP VR 0 0 0 0	ble mor S VNN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nthly p RTLI 0 0 0 0	oarame **	ter val *	ue flag:	3 ***		
IWAT-PAR <pls> # - # 1 4 6 8 END IWAT</pls>	M2 *** L; - -PARM2	WATER i SUR 400 400 400 400	nput ir SLSUR 0.01 0.01 0.05 0.01	nto: Pa N	art 2 JSUR 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	RETS 0. 0. 0.0 0.	*** C 1 1 8 1			
IWAT-PAR <pls> # - # 1 4 6 8 END IWAT</pls>	M3 ***PETI -PARM3	WATER i MAX 0 0 0 0 0	nput ir PETMIN 0 0 0 0	nfo: Pa	art 3		***			
IWAT-STA <pls> # - # 1 4 6 8 END IWAT</pls>	TE1 *** In: *** R -STATE1	itial c ETS 0 0 0 0 0	onditic SURS 0 0 0 0	ons at	start	of sim	ulation			
END IMPLND										
SCHEMATIC <-Source-> <name> #</name>	7222***		< <-f	Area actor-	->	<-Targ <name></name>	et-> 1 # 5	IBLK * Cbl# *	* *	
PERLND 34 PERLND 34 PERLND 35 PERLND 35 IMPLND 1 IMPLND 4 IMPLND 6 IMPLND 8	ALCA			2.091 2.091 1.429 2.20 0.659 0.349	2 2 2 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 8	COPY COPY COPY COPY COPY COPY COPY	501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501	12 13 12 13 15 15 15 15		
*****Rout	ing****	* *								
NETWORK <-Volume-> <name> # COPY 501</name>	<-Grp> OUTPUT	<-Memb <name> MEAN</name>	er->< # #<-f 1 1	Mult actor- 48.4	->Tran ->strg	<-Targ <name> DISPLY</name>	et vols: #	> <-Grp> # INPUT	<-Member-> <name> # # TIMSER 1</name>	* * *
<-Volume-> <name> # END NETWOR</name>	<-Grp>	<-Memb <name></name>	er->< # #<-f	Mult actor-	->Tran ->strg	<-Targ <name></name>	et vols: # i	> <-Grp> ‡	<-Member-> <name> # #</name>	* * * * * *

RCHRES GEN-INFO RCHRES Name Nexits Unit Systems Printer \* \* \* # - #<-----> User T-series Engl Metr LKFG \* \* \* \* \* \* in out END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section RCHRES\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # HYFG ADFG CNFG HTFG SDFG GOFG OXFG NUFG PKFG PHFG \*\*\* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # HYDR ADCA CONS HEAT SED GOL OXRX NUTR PLNK PHCB PIVL PYR \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END PRINT-INFO HYDR-PARM1 \* \* \* RCHRES Flags for each HYDR Section END HYDR-PARM1 HYDR-PARM2 # – # FTABNO LEN DELTH STCOR KS DB50 \* \* \* <----><----><----><----> \* \* \* END HYDR-PARM2 HYDR-INIT RCHRES Initial conditions for each HYDR section \* \* \* \*\*\* ac-ft for each possible exit for each possible exit <----> <---> \*\*\* <---><---> END HYDR-INIT END RCHRES SPEC-ACTIONS END SPEC-ACTIONS FTABLES END FTABLES EXT SOURCES <-Volume-> <Member> SsysSgap<--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name># <Name> # tem strg<-factor->strg<Name># #<Name> # #<Name> # #\*\*\*WDM2PRECENGL1.3PERLND1999EXTNLPRECWDM2PRECENGL1.3IMPLND1999EXTNLPRECWDM1EVAPENGL0.8PERLND1999EXTNLPETINPWDM1EVAPENGL0.8IMPLND1999EXTNLPETINP END EXT SOURCES EXT TARGETS <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Volume-> <Member> Tsys Tgap Amd \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # <Name> tem strg strg\*\*\*
COPY 1 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 WDM 701 FLOW ENGL REPL
COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 WDM 801 FLOW ENGL REPL END EXT TARGETS MASS-LINK <-Grp> <-Member->\*\*\* <Name> # #\*\*\* PERLND PWATER SURO 0.083333 INPUT MEAN COPY END MASS-LINK 12 MASS-LINK 13 PERLND PWATER IFWO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 13

MASS-LINK 15 IMPLND IWATER SURO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 15

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

Predeveloped HSPF Message File

Mitigated HSPF Message File

#### Disclaimer

#### Legal Notice

This program and accompanying documentation are provided 'as-is' without warranty of any kind. The entire risk regarding the performance and results of this program is assumed by End User. Clear Creek Solutions Inc. and the governmental licensee or sublicensees disclaim all warranties, either expressed or implied, including but not limited to implied warranties of program and accompanying documentation. In no event shall Clear Creek Solutions Inc. be liable for any damages whatsoever (including without limitation to damages for loss of business profits, loss of business information, business interruption, and the like) arising out of the use of, or inability to use this program even if Clear Creek Solutions Inc. or their authorized representatives have been advised of the possibility of such damages. Software Copyright © by : Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 2005-2022; All Rights Reserved.

Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 6200 Capitol Blvd. Ste F Olympia, WA. 98501 Toll Free 1(866)943-0304 Local (360)943-0304

www.clearcreeksolutions.com

# SEPARATOR SHEET


#### April 2017

#### GENERAL USE LEVEL DESIGNATION FOR BASIC (TSS) TREATMENT

For

#### CONTECH Engineered Solutions Stormwater Management <u>StormFilter<sup>®</sup></u> With ZPG Media at 1 gpm/sq ft media surface area

#### **Ecology's Decision:**

Based on the CONTECH Engineered Solutions' (CONTECH) application submissions, Ecology hereby issues a General Use Level Designation (GULD) for the Stormwater Management StormFilter<sup>®</sup> (StormFilter):

- 1. As a basic stormwater treatment practice for total suspended solids (TSS) removal,
  - Using ZPG<sup>TM</sup> media (zeolite/perlite/granular activated carbon), with the size distribution described below,
  - Sized at a hydraulic loading rate of 1 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup> of media surface area, per Table 1, and
  - Internal bypassing needs to be consistent with the design guidelines in CONTECH's current product design manual.

Table 1. StormFilter Design Flow Rates per Cartridge

Effective Cartridge Height (inches)	12	18	27
Cartridge Flow Rate (gpm/cartridge)	5	7.5	11.3

- 2. Ecology approves StormFilter systems containing ZPG<sup>™</sup> media for treatment at the hydraulic loading rates shown in Table 1, and sized based on the water quality design flow rate for an off-line system when using an external bypass vault or a treatment vault with an internal bypass. Contech designs their StormFilter systems to maintain treatment of the water quality design flow while routing excess flows around the treatment chamber during periods of peak bypass. The water quality design flow rates are calculated using the following procedures:
  - Western Washington: For treatment installed upstream of detention or retention, the water quality design flow rate is the peak 15-minute flow rate as calculated using the latest version of the Western Washington Hydrology Model or other Ecology-approved continuous runoff model.

- Eastern Washington: For treatment installed upstream of detention or retention, the water quality design flow rate is the peak 15-minute flow rate as calculated using one of the three methods described in Chapter 2.2.5 of the Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington (SWMMEW) or local manual.
- Entire State: For treatment installed downstream of detention, the water quality design flow rate is the full 2-year release rate of the detention facility.
- 3. This designation has no expiration date, but Ecology may amend or revoke it.

#### **Ecology's Conditions of Use:**

The StormFilter with ZPG media shall comply with the following conditions:

- 1. Design, install, operate, and maintain the StormFilter with ZPG media in accordance with applicable Contech Engineered Solutions manuals, documents, and the Ecology Decision.
- 2. Install StormFilter systems to bypass flows exceeding the water quality treatment rate. Additionally, high flows will not re-suspend captured sediments. Design StormFilter systems in accordance with the performance goals in Ecology's most recent Stormwater Manual and CONTECH's *Product Design Manual Version 4.1 (April 2006)*, or most current version, unless otherwise specified.
- **3.** Owners must follow the design, pretreatment, land use application, and maintenance criteria in CONTECH's Design Manual.
- 4. Pretreatment of TSS and oil and grease may be necessary, and designers shall provide pre-treatment in accordance with the most current versions of the CONTECH's *Product Design Manual (April 2006)* or the applicable Ecology Stormwater Manual. Design pre-treatment using the performance criteria and pretreatment practices provided on Ecology's "Evaluation of Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies" website.
- 5. Maintenance: The required maintenance interval for stormwater treatment devices is often dependent upon the degree of pollutant loading from a particular drainage basin. Therefore, Ecology does not endorse or recommend a "one size fits all" maintenance cycle for a particular model/size of manufactured filter treatment device.
  - Typically, CONTECH designs StormFilter systems for a target filter media replacement interval of 12 months. Maintenance includes removing accumulated sediment from the vault, and replacing spent cartridges with recharged cartridges.

- Indications of the need for maintenance include effluent flow decreasing to below the design flow rate, as indicated by the scumline above the shoulder of the cartridge.
- Owners/operators must inspect StormFilter with ZPG media for a minimum of twelve months from the start of post-construction operation to determine site-specific maintenance schedules and requirements. You must conduct inspections monthly during the wet season, and every other month during the dry season. (According to the SWMMWW, the wet season in western Washington is October 1 to April 30. According to SWMMEW, the wet season in eastern Washington is October 1 to June 30). After the first year of operation, owners/operators must conduct inspections based on the findings during the first year of inspections.
- Conduct inspections by qualified personnel, follow manufacturer's guidelines, and use methods capable of determining either a decrease in treated effluent flowrate and/or a decrease in pollutant removal ability.
- When inspections are performed, the following findings typically serve as maintenance triggers:
  - Accumulated vault sediment depths exceed an average of 2 inches, or
  - Accumulated sediment depths on the tops of the cartridges exceed an average of 0.5 inches, or
  - Standing water remains in the vault between rain events, or
  - Bypass occurs during storms smaller than the design storm.
- Note: If excessive floatables (trash and debris) are present, perform a minor maintenance consisting of gross solids removal, not cartridge replacement.
- 6. CONTECH shall maintain readily available reports listed under "Application Documents" (above) as public, as well as the documentation submitted with its previous conditional use designation application. CONTECH shall provide links to this information from its corporate website, and make this information available upon request, at no cost and in a timely manner.
- 7. ZPG<sup>TM</sup> media used shall conform with the following specifications:
  - Each cartridge contains a total of approximately 2.6 cubic feet of media. The ZPG<sup>TM</sup> cartridge consists of an outer layer of perlite that is approximately 1.3 cubic feet in volume and an inner layer, consisting of a mixture of 90% zeolite and 10% granular activated carbon, which is approximately 1.3 cubic feet in volume.
  - Perlite Media: Perlite media shall be made of natural siliceous volcanic rock free of any debris or foreign matter. The expanded perlite shall

have a bulk density ranging from 6.5 to 8.5 lbs per cubic foot and particle sizes ranging from 0.09" (#8 mesh) to 0.38" (3/8" mesh).

- Zeolite Media: Zeolite media shall be made of naturally occurring clinoptilolite. The zeolite media shall have a bulk density ranging from 44 to 50 lbs per cubic foot and particle sizes ranging from 0.13" (#6 mesh) to 0.19" (#4 mesh). Additionally, the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of zeolite shall range from approximately 1.0 to 2.2 meq/g.
- Granular Activated Carbon: Granular activated carbon (GAC) shall be made of lignite coal that has been steam-activated. The GAC media shall have a bulk density ranging from 28 to 31 lbs per cubic foot and particle sizes ranging from a 0.09" (#8 mesh) to 0.19" (#4 mesh).

#### **Approved Alternate Configurations**

#### **Peak Diversion StormFilter**

- 1. The Peak Diversion StormFilter allows for off-line bypass within the StormFilter structure. Design capture flows and peak flows enter the inlet bay which contains an internal weir. The internal weir allows design flows to enter the cartridge bay through a transfer hole located at the bottom of the inlet bay while the unit routs higher flows around the cartridge bay.
- 2. To select the size of the Peak Diversion StormFilter unit, the designer must determine the number of cartridges required and size of the standard StormFilter using the site-specific water quality design flow and the **StormFilter Design Flow Rates per Cartridge** as described above.
- 3. New owners may not install the Peak Diversion StormFilter at an elevation or in a location where backwatering may occur.

Applicant: Contech Engineered Solutions

Applicant's Address:	11835 NE Glenn Widing Dr.
	Portland, OR 97220

#### **Application Documents:**

The applicant's master report, titled, "The Stormwater Management StormFilter Basic Treatment Application for General Use Level Designation in Washington", Stormwater Management, Inc., November 1, 2004, includes the following reports:

• (Public) Evaluation of the Stormwater Management StormFilter Treatment System: Data Validation Report and Summary of the Technical Evaluation Engineering Report (TEER) by Stormwater Management Inc., October 29, 2004 Ecology's technology assessment protocol requires the applicant to hire an independent consultant to complete the following work:

- 1. Complete the data validation report.
- 2. Prepare a TEER summary, including a testing summary and conclusions compared with the supplier's performance claims.
- 3. Provide a recommendation of the appropriate technology use level.
- 4. Work with Ecology to post recommend relevant information on Ecology's website.
- 5. Provide additional testing recommendations, if needed."
- 6. This report, authored by Dr. Gary Minton, Ph. D., P.E., Resource Planning Associates, satisfies the Ecology requirement.
- (Public) "Performance of the Stormwater Management StormFilter Relative to the Washington State Department of Ecology Performance Goals for Basic Treatment," is a summary of StormFilter performance that strictly adheres to the criteria listed in the Guidance for Evaluating Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies, Technology Assessment Protocol Ecology (TAPE).
- "Heritage Marketplace Field Evaluation: Stormwater Management StormFilter with ZPG<sup>TM</sup> Media," is a report showing all of the information collected at Site A as stated in the SMI Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). This document contains detailed information regarding each storm event collected at this site, and it provided a detailed overview of the data and project.
- "Lake Stevens Field Evaluation: Stormwater Management StormFilter with ZPG<sup>TM</sup> Media," is a report that corresponds to Site E as stated in the SMI QAPP. This document contains detailed information regarding each storm collected at this site, and includes a detailed overview of the data and project.
- (Public) "Evaluation of the Stormwater Management StormFilter for the removal of SIL-CO-SIL 106, a standardized silica product: ZPG<sup>™</sup> at 7.5 GPM" is a report that describes laboratory testing at full design flow.
- "Factors Other Than Treatment Performance."
- "State of Washington Installations."
- "Peak Diversion StormFilter" is a technical document demonstrating the Peak Diversion StormFilter system complies with the Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington Volume V Section 4.5.1.

Above-listed documents noted as "public" are available by contacting CONTECH.

#### **Applicant's Use Level Request:**

That Ecology grant a General Use Level Designation for Basic Treatment for the StormFilter using ZPG<sup>TM</sup> media (zeolite/perlite/granular activated carbon) at a hydraulic loading rate of 1 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup> of media surface area in accordance with Ecology's 2011 *Technical Guidance Manual for Evaluating Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE).* 

#### **Applicant's Performance Claim:**

The combined data from the two field sites reported in the TER (Heritage Marketplace and Lake Stevens) indicate that the performance of a StormFilter system configured for inline bypass with ZPG<sup>™</sup> media and a hydraulic loading rate of 1 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup> of media surface area meets Ecology performance goals for Basic Treatment.

#### **Ecology's Recommendations:**

Based on the weight of the evidence and using its best professional judgment, Ecology finds that:

• StormFilter, using ZPG<sup>™</sup> media and operating at a hydraulic loading rate of no more than 1 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup> of media surface area, is expected to provide effective stormwater treatment achieving Ecology's Basic Treatment (TSS removal) performance goals. Contech demonstrated this is through field and laboratory testing performed in accordance with the approved protocol. StormFilter is deemed satisfactory with respect to factors other than treatment performance (e.g., maintenance; see the protocol's Appendix B for complete list).

#### **Findings of Fact:**

- Influent TSS concentrations and particle size distributions were generally within the range of what Ecology considers "typical" for western Washington (silt-to-silt loam).
- Contech sampled thirty-two (32) storm events at two sites for storms from April 2003 to March 2004, of which Contech deemed twenty-two (22) as "qualified" and were therefore included in the data analysis set.
- Statistical analysis of these 22 storm events verifies the data set's adequacy.
- Analyzing all 22 qualifying events, the average influent and effluent concentrations and aggregate pollutant load reduction are 114 mg/L, 25 mg/L, and 82%, respectively.
- Analyzing all 22 qualifying events based on the *estimated average* flow rate during the event (versus the *measured peak* flow rate), and more heavily weighting those events near the design rate (versus events either far above or well below the design rate) does not significantly affect the reported results.
- For the 7 qualifying events with influent TSS concentrations greater than 100 mg/L, the average influent and effluent concentrations and aggregate pollutant load reduction are 241 mg/L, 34 mg/L, and 89%, respectively. If we exclude the 2 of 7 events that exceed the maximum 300 mg/L specified in Ecology's guidelines, the average influent and effluent concentrations and aggregate pollutant load reduction are 158 mg/L, 35 mg/L, and 78%, respectively.
- For the 15 qualifying events with influent TSS concentrations less than 100 mg/L, the average influent and effluent concentrations and aggregate pollutant load reduction are 55 mg/L, 20 mg/L, and 61%, respectively. If the 6 of 15 events that fall below the minimum 33 mg/L TSS specified in Ecology's guidelines are excluded, the average

influent and effluent concentrations and aggregate pollutant load reduction are 78 mg/L, 26 mg/L, and 67%, respectively.

- For the 8 qualifying events with peak discharge exceeding design flow (ranging from 120 to 257% of the design rate), results ranged from 52% to 96% TSS removal, with an average of 72%.
- Due to the characteristics of the hydrographs, the field results generally reflect flows below (ranging between 20 and 60 percent of) the tested facilities' design rate. During these sub-design flow rate periods, some of the cartridges operate at or near their *individual* full design flow rate (generally between 4 and 7.5 GPM for an 18" cartridge effective height) because their float valves have opened. Float valves remain closed on the remaining cartridges, which operate at their base "trickle" rate of 1 to 1.5 GPM.
- Laboratory testing using U.S. Silica's Sil-Co-Sil 106 fine silica product showed an average 87% TSS removal for testing at 7.5 GPM per cartridge (100% design flow rate).
- Other relevant testing at I-5 Lake Union, Greenville Yards (New Jersey), and Ski Run Marina (Lake Tahoe) facilities shows consistent TSS removals in the 75 to 85% range. *Note that the evaluators operated the I-5 Lake Union at 50%, 100%, and 125% of design flow.*
- SMI's application included a satisfactory "Factors other than treatment performance" discussion.

*Note: Ecology's 80% TSS removal goal applies to 100 mg/l and greater influent TSS. Below 100 mg/L influent TSS, the goal is 20 mg/L effluent TSS.* 

#### **Technology Description:**

The Stormwater Management StormFilter<sup>®</sup> (StormFilter), a flow-through stormwater filtration system, improves the quality of stormwater runoff from the urban environment by removing pollutants. The StormFilter can treat runoff from a wide variety of sites including, but not limited to: retail and commercial development, residential streets, urban roadways, freeways, and industrial sites such as shipyards, foundries, etc.

#### **Operation:**

The StormFilter is typically comprised of a vault that houses rechargeable, media-filled, filter cartridges. Various media may be used, but this designation covers only the zeolite-perlite-granulated activated carbon ( $ZPG^{TM}$ ) medium. Stormwater from storm drains percolates through these media-filled cartridges, which trap particulates and may remove pollutants such as dissolved metals, nutrients, and hydrocarbons. During the filtering process, the StormFilter system also removes surface scum and floating oil and grease. Once filtered through the media, the treated stormwater is directed to a collection pipe or discharged to an open channel drainage way.

This document includes a bypass schematic for flow rates exceeding the water quality design flow rate on page 8.

#### **StormFilter Configurations:**

Contech offers the StormFilter in multiple configurations: precast, high flow, catch basin, curb inlet, linear, volume, corrugated metal pipe, drywell, and CON/Span form. Most configurations use pre-manufactured units to ease the design and installation process. Systems may be either uncovered or covered underground units.

The typical precast StormFilter unit is composed of three sections: the energy dissipater, the filtration bay, and the outlet sump. As Stormwater enters the inlet of the StormFilter vault through the inlet pipe, piping directs stormwater through the energy dissipater into the filtration bay where treatment will take place. Once in the filtration bay, the stormwater ponds and percolates horizontally through the media contained in the StormFilter cartridges. After passing through the media, the treated water in each cartridge collects in the cartridge's center tube from where piping directs it into the outlet sump by a High Flow Conduit under-drain manifold. The treated water in the outlet sump discharges through the single outlet pipe to a collection pipe or to an open channel drainage way. In some applications where you anticipate heavy grit loads, pretreatment by settling may be necessary.



Figure 1. Stormwater Management StormFilter Configuration with Bypass



Figure 2. The StormFilter Cartridge

#### **Cartridge Operation:**

As the water level in the filtration bay begins to rise, stormwater enters the StormFilter cartridge. Stormwater in the cartridge percolates horizontally through the filter media and passes into the cartridge's center tube, where the float in the cartridge is in a closed (downward) position. As the water level in the filtration bay continues to rise, more water passes through the filter media and into the cartridge's center tube. Water displaces the air in the cartridge and it purges from beneath the filter hood through the one-way check valve located in the cap. Once water fills the center tube there is enough buoyant force on the float to open the float valve and allow the treated water to flow into the under-drain manifold. As the treated water drains, it tries to pull in air behind it. This causes the check valve to close, initiating a siphon that draws polluted water throughout the full surface area and volume of the filter. Thus, water filters through the entire filter cartridge throughout the duration of the storm, regardless of the water surface elevation in the filtration bay. This continues until the water surface elevation drops to the elevation of the scrubbing regulators. At this point, the siphon begins to break and air quickly flows beneath the hood through the scrubbing regulators, causing energetic bubbling between the inner surface of the hood and the outer surface of the filter. This bubbling agitates and cleans the surface of the filter, releasing accumulated sediments on the surface, flushing them from beneath the hood, and allowing them to settle to the vault floor.

#### Adjustable cartridge flow rate:

Inherent to the design of the StormFilter is the ability to control the individual cartridge flow rate with an orifice-control disc placed at the base of the cartridge. Depending on the treatment requirements and on the pollutant characteristics of the influent stream as specified in the CONTECH *Product Design Manual*, operators may adjust the flow rate through the filter cartridges. By decreasing the flow rate through the filter cartridges, the influent contact time with the media is increased and the water velocity through the system is decreased, thus increasing both the level of treatment and the solids removal efficiencies of the filters, respectively (de Ridder, 2002).

#### **Recommended research and development:**

Ecology encourages CONTECH to pursue continuous improvements to the StormFilter. To that end, CONTECH recommends the following actions:

- Determine, through laboratory testing, the relationship between accumulated solids and flow rate through the cartridge containing the ZPG<sup>TM</sup> media. Completed 11/05.
- Determine the system's capabilities to meet Ecology's enhanced, phosphorus, and oil treatment goals.
- Develop easy-to-implement methods of determining that a StormFilter facility requires maintenance (cleaning and filter replacement).

#### **Contact Information:**

Applicant Contact:	Jeremiah Lehman
	<b>Contech Engineered Solutions</b>
	11835 NE Glenn Widing Drive
	Portland, OR, 97220
	503-258-3136
	jlehman@conteches.com

Applicant Web link http://www.conteches.com/

Ecology web link: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/newtech/index.html

Ecology Contact: Douglas C. Howie, P.E. Department of Ecology Water Quality Program (360) 407-6444 douglas.howie@ecy.wa.gov

<b>Revision History</b>	
Date	Revision
Jan 2005	Original Use Level Designation
Dec 2007	Revision
May 2012	Maintenance requirements updated
November 2012	Design Storm and Maintenance requirements updated
January 2013	Updated format to match Ecology standard format
September 2014	Added Peak Diversion StormFilter Alternate Configuration
November 2016	Revised Contech contact information
April 2017	Revised sizing language to note sizing based on Off-line
	calculations

# SEPARATOR SHEET

## **APPENDIX C**

# Geotechnical Report



## Pacific Lifestyle Homes, Inc. Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation

Monte Verde Subdivision 22205 NE 28<sup>th</sup> St, Camas WA 98607 Camas, Washington 98607

> True North Project No. 22-0111-1 July 2022 (revised July 2022)

July 12, 2022 (revised July 31, 2022)

Pacific Lifestyle Homes, Inc 11815 NE 99<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1200 Vancouver, WA 98682

Attn: Mr. Nick Peets

Subject: Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation Monte Verde Subdivision 22205 NE 28<sup>th</sup> St, Camas, WA 98607 Clark County Parcel No. 173184000 True North Project # 22-0111-1

Dear Mr. Peets:

At your request, True North Geotechnical Services (True North) is providing you with this report summarizing our geotechnical services for the proposed new Monte Verde Subdivision residential development to be located at the above-mentioned address in Camas, Washington (site). The purpose of our services was to provide a geotechnical evaluation of the site as it pertains to the proposed new development.

We have endeavored to prepare this report in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices at the time we prepared it, for the exclusive use of Pacific Lifestyle Homes, Inc. (PLH), the owner, and their agents, for specific application to this project. Use or reliance upon this report by a third party is at their own risk. True North does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, to such other parties as to the accuracy or completeness of this report or the suitability of its use by such other parties for any purpose whatever, known or unknown, to True North.

Based on the results of our study, development of the site with the proposed buildings and associated parking and utilities is feasible provided the recommendations in this report are included in the project design and implemented during construction. The chief geotechnical concerns of note associated with the project are ensuring foundations are bearing on dense, competent native soils and designing a stormwater system that meets the needs of the site while taking into account the depth of groundwater within the lower, southern portion of the site.

The attached report includes a summary of our project understanding, geologic site reconnaissance, subsurface explorations, and our conclusions regarding the expected effect that the proposed lot development will have on the site.





#### PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of our services was to provide a geotechnical evaluation of the site as it pertains to the proposed new development. This report includes a summary of our project understanding, geologic site reconnaissance, subsurface exploration, our conclusions regarding the effects of the proposed lot development on the site, and recommendations for design and construction. The following describes our specific scope of work that was completed:

- **Geologic Map and Literature Review**: We reviewed published geologic and geologic hazard mapping for the site.
- **Test Pit Excavations:** We completed eight (8) test pit excavations on the property. The test pit excavations extended to depths ranging from about 6 to 12 feet below the ground surface (bgs).
- Laboratory Testing: Samples collected from the borings were returned to our office for further examination including classification in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification, Visual-Manual Procedure. Select samples were subjected to laboratory testing, including natural moisture content analysis, and fines content analysis.
- **Analysis:** Data collected during the literature research, subsurface explorations, and laboratory testing were used to develop site-specific geotechnical design parameters and construction recommendations.
- **Report Preparation:** This Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation summarizes the results of our explorations and analyses, including information relating to the following:
  - Exploration logs and site plan with exploration locations
  - Laboratory test results
  - o Summary of interpreted surface and subsurface conditions
  - o Shallow foundation design recommendations
    - Minimum embedment and allowable bearing pressure
    - Estimated settlement
    - Sliding coefficient and passive earth pressure
  - Subsurface drainage requirements
  - Earthwork recommendations
    - Temporary and permanent slope inclinations
    - Structural fill materials and preparation
    - Suitability of native and on-site soils for reuse as structural fill
    - Wet weather/conditions consideration
  - Foundation, slab and pavement subgrade preparation recommendations
  - Flexible and rigid pavement design recommendations
  - o Utility trench excavation and backfill recommendations for associated utilities
  - Seismic design criteria and design considerations

#### **PROJECT UNDERSTANDING**

True North understands that you are planning to subdivide an existing City of Camas/Clark County Tax Parcel, No. 173184000, comprising approximately 8.84 acres.

True North's understanding of the proposed development is based on a review of a "Preliminary Plat" for the project, prepared by PLS Engineering, dated October 6, 2021. We understand that the proposed project consists of the subdivision of the existing lot into 34 new lots. The new lots will range in size from approximately to 5900 to 8300 square-feet, and will each accommodate one single-family home. An existing Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) transmission line easement bisects the site, where we understand no new lots will be developed, and instead a shared park area will be constructed. We understand that one new private roadway, NW Noble Ave, is planned to provide access to the lots from NE 28th Street, where some frontage improvements to NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street will be also be completed. In general, we understand that utilities are planned below the new roadways. The site with respect to existing nearby features is shown in the attached Vicinity Map, Figure 1. The location of our explorations with respect to existing site conditions and boundaries is shown on the attached Figure 2, Site and Exploration Plan.

We anticipate the future homes at all lots will have relatively short driveways to the new private roadway and will be supported by conventional concrete spread footings. We also understand that the stormwater management is planned for the lots at a planned storm facility tract located at the southwest corner of the site. Based on our understanding of proposed development, we anticipate cuts and fills of less than 4 feet across the site. The purpose of our explorations was to evaluate subsurface conditions and provide geotechnical recommendations for design and construction, including site preparation and support of the proposed new development.

#### SITE CONDITIONS

#### **Geologic Setting**

The site is located in the eastern margin of the Portland Basin, a structural basin with basalt bedrock overlain by sediment associated with repeated glacial outburst flooding of the Columbia River and its tributaries. The last of these outburst flooding events, known as the Missoula Floods, occurred about 10,000 years ago. The flood deposits include layers of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. Some layers may include boulders up to 8 feet in diameter.

In the immediate vicinity of the site, the near surface soils are mapped as Pliocene-age gravel facies (Qfg), consisting of unconsolidated bouldery pebble to cobble gravel, underlain at depth by Pleistocene- or Pliocene-age unconsolidated to semi-consolidated pebble to boulder conglomerate (QTc).

#### **Surface Conditions**

The site consists of the above-mentioned parcel, which comprises approximately 8.84 acres located on the south side of NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street. There is a 0.37 acre parcel currently developed with a

manufactured home at the northeastern extent of the site, which includes a driveway. The parcel to the west of the site is developed with a single family home, detached garage, and two outbuildings, including a driveway. The parcel to the east of the site is undeveloped and owned by Clark County Parks.

The ground surface at the site slopes gently towards the south at a gradient of approximately 0 to 10 percent. The site ranges in elevation from about 286 feet above mean sea level (AMSL) at the northern end of the property to about 238 feet AMSL at the southern extent. The majority of the site is open pasture and the southernmost quarter of the site is lightly wooded, consisting of undergrowth, shrubs, and tall deciduous and evergreen trees.

#### Subsurface Conditions

On May 6<sup>th</sup>, 2022, we visited the site to excavate eight test pits and to perform two infiltration tests (see attached Site Plan, Figure 2). The test pit explorations, designated TP-1 through TP-8, were excavated to maximum depths between about 6 and 12 feet bgs. The approximate test pit locations are shown on Figure 2. Descriptions of field and laboratory procedures and the interpreted exploration logs are included in Attachment A. The following is a generalized description of the subsurface units encountered in our explorations:

- TOPSOIL:Dark brown silty topsoil with varying amounts of organics and tree roots was<br/>observed at the surface in all our test pits, extending to depths ranging from<br/>about 6 to 24 inches bgs.
- Silty/ClayeyDark brown to light brown silty/clayey Sand (SM) with gravel wasSAND:encountered just below the topsoil in all the test pits, extending to depths of<br/>about 4 to 9 feet below grade. Samples from TP-7 and TP-8 contained more<br/>clay and silt. Generally, the relative density of this layer was medium dense,<br/>with the density increasing with depth, and becoming consistently coarser<br/>with depth. The moisture content of eight samples tested from this layer<br/>ranged from about 27 to 36 percent. The fines content of one sample from<br/>this layer in TP-7 was measured to be about 14 percent.
- Sandy Gray-brown to brown Sandy Gravel (GP) with silt and cobbles was GRAVEL: GRAVEL: Gravel below the sand layer in all test pits extending to the termination depth in all, at about 6 to 12 feet bgs. In general, the relative density of this layer was dense to very dense, and soil particles were observed to consistently increase in size with depth. The moisture content of samples tested from this layer ranged from about 18 to 58 percent. The fines content of one sample from this layer in TP-3 was about 4 percent.

#### Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered at three of the eight test pit explorations, TP-1, TP-2, and TP-4. At each of these locations, moderate seepage was observed at about 4 feet bgs and heavy seepage was encountered at approximately 5 to 7 feet bgs. These three test pits were all located within the lower, southern portion of the site. Groundwater was not encountered in the remaining five test pits, which were excavated to depths of up to 12 feet bgs. Generally, we expect the depth to groundwater to increase as one moves north and to higher elevations within the property. Based on a review of nearby well logs as well as Clark County data, the static groundwater level at the property is mapped at about 10 to 20 feet bgs, although zones of isolated restrictive layers may occur, resulting in seasonally higher perched water levels at times.

#### Infiltration Testing

Infiltration testing was completed in TP-3 and TP-7 at depths of about 5 and 2 feet bgs, respectively. The infiltration tests were conducted within 6-inch outside diameter PVC pipes embedded into the underlying soils using the single-ring falling head method, in general accordance with the 2015 Clark County Stormwater Manual. Water was placed into each pipe to achieve a minimum 6-inch-high column of water (head). After a period of saturation, the height of the water column in each pipe was measured initially and at regular timed intervals to determine the rate of water infiltration into the soil. Results of the field infiltration testing are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Infiltration Testing Results				
	Depth of	Coefficient of	Fines	
Location	Test	Permeability, k	Content	Soil Classifiction <sup>2</sup>
	(feet bgs)	(inches/hour)	(percent)	
TP-3	5	42.2	14.4	Brown Silty GRAVEL with Sand and Cobbles, moist
TP-7	2	0.26	32.3	Brown Silty SAND with Gravel, damp

<sup>1</sup> Average rate after saturation. <sup>2</sup> Based on visual-manual classification and limited lab testing

The recommended coefficients of permeability, k, presented in Table 1 have been determined using the guidelines presented in CCSM. It is recommended that the designer also include correction factors to account for the level of maintenance, type of system, vegetation, siltation, etc., as necessary.

#### Hydrologic Soil Properties

According to the USDA Web Soil Survey, the near-surface soils at the site are generally identified as Lauren Loam, which generally consists of soils classified as gravelly loamy coarse sand at the approximate test depths and are assigned the hydrologic soil group "B" based on drainage and other properties, indicating the soils have a moderately high to high capacity to transmit water.

For the purposes of the stormwater system design using the Western Washington Hydrologic Model (WWHM), it is our opinion, based on the results of our explorations, infiltration testing, and

laboratory testing, that the native near-surface soil conditions observed in our explorations can generally be classified as Soil Group 4 (SG4).

#### **Geologic Hazards**

The following provides a geologic hazard review for the subject site. The geologic hazard review as based on our site reconnaissance and explorations, as well as a review of publicly available published literature and maps.

#### Slope and Landslide Hazards:

For the most part the site is relatively level, with no slopes exceeding 15 percent within the site boundaries, or at adjacent lots. As a result, slope and landslide hazards are not a concern at the property.

#### Seismic Hazards:

The following seismic hazards have been considered as part of our geologic hazards review for the project site:

<u>Ground Motion Amplification:</u> Based on a review of Clark County Maps Online, the site is designated as seismic Site Class "C". Based on the presence of dense cobbly/gravelly soils at shallow depths in our explorations, it is our opinion that Site Class "C" is indeed appropriate for use at the site. The seismic design parameters, in accordance with the 2018 IBC (with Washington State amendments), are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. 2018 IBC Seismic Design Parameters			
Location	Short Period	1-Second	
Maximum Credible Earthquake Spectral Acceleration $S_s = 0.793 \text{ g}$ $S_1 = 0.35$			
Site Class	С		
Site Coefficient	F <sub>a</sub> = 1.2 F <sub>v</sub> = 1.5		
Adjusted Spectral Acceleration	S <sub>MS</sub> = 0.951 g	S <sub>M1</sub> = 0.524 g	
Design Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters	S <sub>DS</sub> = 0.634 g	S <sub>D1</sub> = 0.350 g	

g – acceleration due to gravity

<u>Liquefaction:</u> While relatively shallow groundwater was encountered at the site, based on the relative density and grain size of the soils encountered in our explorations, we consider the potential for liquefaction settlement within the site boundaries to be low. Indeed, site is mapped as having a "very low" liquefaction susceptibility based on the Liquefaction Susceptibility Map of Clark County.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Geotechnical Design and Construction Considerations**

Based on the results of our site reconnaissance, subsurface explorations, and geologic map review, it is our opinion that the planned single-family residential development of the site within the proposed new lots and the associated utilities, access roads and driveways are feasible provided the recommendations in this report are included in the project design and implemented during construction. The chief geotechnical concerns of note associated with the project are ensuring foundations are bearing on competent dense native soils and designing a stormwater system that meets the needs of the site while taking into account the depth of groundwater within the lower portion of the site. Our opinion is based on field observations and subsurface explorations completed by True North and review of the following documents and information sources: County soils and groundwater mapping, a published geologic map, and our understanding of the proposed development plans.

#### Site Preparation

After any surface and near surface water sources have been controlled, the construction areas should be cleared and stripped of organic matter, and other deleterious materials. Silt fences, hay bales, buffer zones of natural growth, sedimentation ponds, and granular haul roads should be used as required to reduce sediment transport during construction to acceptable levels.

Where present, existing topsoil, buried structures, and other disturbed surface materials should be stripped and removed from proposed development locations and for a five-foot-margin around such areas. Based on our explorations, the depth of stripping may range from 6 to up to 24 inches, although greater stripping depths may be required if loose or soft materials are encountered, abandoned utilities or other embedded structures, or where mature trees and their associated roots are removed. Deleterious materials encountered during site preparation should be removed from the subgrade soils and hauled off site for disposal. Stripped material should be transported off site for disposal or stockpiled for use in landscaped areas. If stripping operations occur during wet weather, a generally greater stripping depth might be required in order to remove disturbed moisture sensitive soils; therefore, stripping is best performed during a period of dry weather.

#### Subgrade Verification

Following site preparation, including removal of all topsoil/till zone and compaction of the exposed subgrade and prior to placing aggregate base for the foundations, building pad, or pavement section, the exposed subgrade should be evaluated. The subgrades should be evaluated by qualified True North personnel using a steel foundation probe, proofroll, or other acceptable method. Unsuitable areas identified during the field evaluation should be re-compacted to or be excavated to firm ground and replaced with structural fill.

#### Wet/Freezing-Weather/Wet-Soil Conditions

Due to the presence of fine-grained silt and clay in the near-surface materials at the site, construction equipment may have difficulty operating on the near-surface soils when the moisture content of the surface soil is more than a few percentage points above the optimum moisture required for compaction. Soils that have been disturbed during site preparation activities, or unsuitable areas identified during proofrolling or probing, should be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill.

Site earthwork and subgrade preparation should not be completed during freezing conditions.

Protection of the subgrade is the responsibility of the contractor. Construction of granular haul roads may help reduce further damage to the pavement and disturbance of site soils. The thickness of the granular material for haul roads and staging areas will depend on the amount and type of construction traffic. The actual thickness of haul roads and staging areas should be based on the contractors' approach to site development, and the amount and type of construction traffic. The imported granular material should be placed in one lift over the prepared, undisturbed subgrade and compacted using a smooth-drum, non-vibratory roller. A geotextile fabric should be used to separate the subgrade from the imported granular material in areas of repeated construction traffic.

#### Excavations

Where required, temporary soil cuts associated with site excavations or regrading activities should be adequately sloped back to prevent sloughing and collapse, unless a shoring box or other suitable excavation side wall bracing is provided. It is the responsibility of the contractor to ensure that excavations are properly sloped or braced for worker safety protection, in accordance with OSHA safety guidelines.

#### Structural Fill

Structural fill includes any fill materials placed under footings, pavements, or driveways and backfill over the embedded mat foundation. Typical materials used for structural fill include: clean, well-graded sand and gravel; clean sand; crushed rock; controlled-density fill (CDF); lean-mix concrete; and various soil mixtures of silt, sand, and gravel. Use of the on-site soils as structural fill may be feasible, provided they are properly moisture conditioned prior to placement and compaction.

Imported granular structural fill should consist of angular pit or quarry run rock, crushed rock, or crushed gravel and sand that is fairly well graded between coarse and fine particle sizes. The fill should contain no organic matter or other deleterious materials, have a maximum particle size of one inch, and have less than 5 percent passing the U.S. No. 200 Sieve. In deep excavations, or where subgrade soils require stabilization, the particle size may be increased to four inches. The percentage of fines can be increased to 12 percent of the material passing the U.S. No. 200 Sieve

if placed during dry weather and provided the fill material is moisture-conditioned, as necessary, for proper compaction. The material should be placed in lifts with a maximum uncompacted thickness of 12 inches and be compacted to not less than 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined by ASTM D 1557. During the wet season or when wet subgrade conditions exist, the initial lift thickness may be increased to 24 inches and should be compacted by rolling with a smooth-drum, nonvibratory roller.

#### Foundations

Continuous-wall and isolated-spread footings should be at least 12 and 24 inches wide, respectively. For frost protection, the footings should be founded at least 12 inches below the lowest adjacent grades or deeper if required by local building code. The footings should be founded below an imaginary line project at a 1H:1V slope from the base of any adjacent, parallel utility trenches.

Footings should bear on the near-surface silt or gravelly clay or structural fill placed in accordance with our recommendations. Footings should be sized for an allowable bearing capacity of 1,500 psf. We estimate post construction settlements will be less than one inch for our recommended allowable bearing capacity. We estimate that the differential settlement will be approximately half of the total settlement. Our recommended bearing capacity is based on limiting settlements and includes a minimum factor of safety of 3 against ultimate bearing failure.

Lateral loads acting on the foundations can be resisted by passive earth pressures on the sides of the foundation and by friction along the soil-rock-concrete interface at the base of the foundation. We recommend using an allowable passive earth pressure of 250 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) for foundations confined by the near-surface silty sand or structural fill placed in accordance with our recommendations. We recommend an allowable coefficient of friction of 0.35 for foundations. In order to develop these capacities, concrete must be poured neat in excavations, the adjacent grade must be level, and the static ground water level must remain below the base of the footing throughout the year. The passive pressure within the upper foot of embedment should be neglected. These allowable lateral resistance values include a factor of safety of 1.5.

#### Slab-on-grade Floors

Satisfactory subgrade support for lightly-loaded building floor slabs can be obtained on the undisturbed native soil or on engineered structural fill. A subgrade modulus of 125 pounds per cubic inch may be used to design floor slabs.

A minimum 6-inch-thick layer of drain rock should be placed and compacted over the prepared subgrade to assist as a capillary break and blanket drain. The drain rock may include a capping layer of clean <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch minus crushed rock that contains no more than 5% fines. The drain rock

and capping rock should be placed in one lift and compacted until well-keyed, about 90% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D698.

A vapor retarder manufactured for use beneath floor slabs should be installed according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Careful attention should be made during construction to prevent perforating the retarder, and to seal edges and utility penetrations. We recommend following ACI 302.1, Chapter 3 with regard to installing a vapor retarder.

#### **Pavement Design**

The following pavement design recommendations are based on our experience with similar facilities and subgrade conditions.

For automobile parking areas, we recommend a pavement section consisting of 3 inches of asphaltic concrete (AC) over 8 inches of crushed rock base (CRB) or 5 inches of Portland Cement concrete (PCC) over 5 inches of crushed rock base (CRB). For truck traffic areas, the pavement section should consist of 4 inches of AC over 12 inches of CRB or 6 inches of PCC over 8 inches of CRB. These recommended pavement sections are based on the assumption that the subgrade consists of firm structural fill or compacted native subgrade and that the pavement will be constructed during the dry summer months. Proofrolling should be used to evaluate pavement subgrade. Any soft areas disclosed by proofrolling will likely need to be reworked. Some contingency should be provided for the repair of any soft areas. If pavement construction is scheduled for the wet season, it will be necessary to increase the above-recommended base course sections.

AC and CRB materials should conform to WSDOT specifications. All CRB should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the modified proctor ASTM D-1557 laboratory test standard.

Permanent, properly installed drainage is also an essential aspect of pavement design and construction. All paved areas should have positive drainage to prevent ponding of surface water and saturation of the base course. This is particularly important in cut sections or at low points within the paved areas, such as around stormwater catch basins.

#### Drainage

The Contractor should be made responsible for temporary drainage of surface water and groundwater as necessary during construction to prevent standing water and/or erosion at the site.

As a matter of good construction practice, we recommend that perimeter drains be installed for all buildings. Perimeter drains should consist of perforated drainpipe embedded in a zone of free draining fill that is wrapped in a non-woven geotextile filter. The pipe should be connected to a tightline drainpipe leading to storm drain facilities. Foundation and crawl space drainage should be sloped to drain to a sump or low point drain outlet. Water should not be allowed to pond within crawl spaces. Roof drains should be connected to a tightline drainpipe leading to storm drain outlet facilities.

Water should not be allowed to "pond" or collect anywhere on the site. The ground surface around structures should be sloped to drain away from building foundations for a distance of at least 5 feet. Surface water should be directed away from all buildings into drainage swales or other approved drainage areas. "Trapped" planting areas should not be created next to any buildings without providing means for drainage.

#### Soil Erosion

Site-specific erosion control measures should be implemented to address the maintenance of slopes or exposed areas. This may include silt fence, bio-filter bags, straw wattles, or other suitable methods. During construction, all exposed areas should be well compacted and protected from erosion. Temporary slopes or expose areas may be covered with straw, crushed aggregate, or rip in localized areas to minimize erosion.

Finished slopes should be vegetated as soon as possible with erosion-resistant native grasses and plants. Once established, slope vegetation should be properly maintained. Concentrated water should be prevented from flowing over slope faces.

#### CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATIONS

Satisfactory earthwork performance depends on the quality of construction. Sufficient monitoring of the contractor's activities is a key part in ensuring that work is completed in accordance with the construction drawings and specifications. We recommend that True North observe that the subsurface conditions observed during our site investigation are consistent with those encountered during construction, and that foundation subgrades are suitable for placement of structural fill, rebar, or concrete for the new structures.

The City of Camas and/or Clark County may require a final letter of geotechnical compliance before they will finalize a permit. If such a letter is required, a representative from True North MUST observe foundation subgrades PRIOR to concrete being poured for the foundation. If True North does not perform this observation, we cannot provide a final letter of geotechnical compliance, and a permit will not be eligible for final sign-off. It is the owner's responsibility to ensure that True North be notified in a timely manner (i.e., at least 48 hours prior to the required site observation) of the need for our services on site during construction.

#### LIMITATIONS

We have prepared this report for use by the owner/developer and other members of the design and construction team for the proposed Monte Verde Subdivision. The opinions and recommendations contained within this report are not intended to be construed as a warranty of subsurface conditions, but are forwarded to assist in the planning and design process. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based on our understanding of the currently proposed project, as derived from written and verbal information supplied to us by you.

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based on our understanding of the currently proposed project and potential future development, as derived from written and verbal information supplied to us by you. When the design has been finalized, we recommend that we review the design and specifications to see that our recommendations have been interpreted and implemented as intended. If design changes are made, we request that we be retained to review our conclusions and recommendations and to provide a written modification or verification.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with the generally accepted practices in this area at the time this report was prepared. No warranty or other conditions, express or implied, should be understood.

#### CLOSING

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any questions, or if we can be of further assistance to you, please contact us at (360) 984-6584.

Respectfully Submitted,



Timothy J. North, P.E. Geotechnical Engineer

Attachment: Figure 1 – Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Plan Figure 3 – Site Photographs Appendix A – Field and Laboratory Procedures Test Pit Logs TP-1 through TP-8

## FIGURES



Source: "Topographic Map of the Lacamas Creek Quadrangle, 7.5 minute series" 2020, United States Geological Survey (USGS).

<b>TRUE N⊙RTH</b> ◆ GEOTECHNICAL ◆	Pacific Lifestyle Homes Monte Verde Subdivision Camas, WA	Project # 22-0111-1
202 E Evergreen St, Suite B Vancouver, WA 98660 360-984-6584	July 2022	Figure 1 – Vicinity Map





Photo 1. Seepage observed at TP-1.



Photo 2. Seepage ponding at TP-2 during backfill operations.

• GEOTECHNICAL ◆	Pacific Lifestyle Homes Monte Verde Subdivision Camas, WA	Project # 22-0111-1
202 E Evergreen Blvd, Suite B Vancouver, WA 98660 360-984-6584	July 2022	Figure 3A – Site Photographs (1 of 2)



Photo 3. Cobbles and gravel evident at TP-3.



**Photo 4.** Infiltration testing at TP-7.

TRUE N⊙RTH ← GEOTECHNICAL ←	Pacific Lifestyle Homes Monte Verde Subdivision Camas, WA	Project # 22-0111-1
202 E Evergreen Blvd, Suite B Vancouver, WA 98660 360-984-6584	July 2022	Figure 3B – Site Photographs (2 of 2)

## **APPENDIX A**

Field Exploration Procedures Laboratory Testing Procedures Exploration Test Pit Logs

#### FIELD EXPLORATION PROCEDURES

#### General

We explored subsurface conditions at the site by excavating eight test pits, designated TP-1 through TP-8, to depths ranging from about 6.0 to 12.0 feet bgs. The test pit explorations were excavated on May 6, 2022 with Deere 75G tracked excavator, owned and operated by Dan Tapani Excavating of Battle Ground, Washington. Upon completion, the test pits were backfilled with excavated soils tamped into place as best possible.

#### Soil Sampling

A True North representative observed subsurface explorations to record the soil, rock, and groundwater conditions encountered, and to obtain soil samples. Disturbed soil samples were obtained from the sidewalls of the excavation and the excavator bucket at selected depths throughout the explorations. Soil samples were sealed to retain moisture and returned to our laboratory for additional examination and testing.

#### **Field Classification**

The observed soils were classified initially on site in general accordance with ASTM D 2488 Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure). Consistency, color, relative moisture, degree of plasticity, peculiar odors, and other distinguishing characteristics of the soil samples were noted. The terminology used is described in the key and glossary that follow.

#### **Summary Exploration Logs**

Results from the test pits are shown in the summary exploration logs. The left-hand portion of a log provides our interpretation of the soil encountered, sample depths, and groundwater information. The right-hand portion of a log shows the results of field and laboratory testing. Soil descriptions and interfaces between soil types shown in summary logs are interpretive, and actual transitions may be gradual.

#### LABORATORY TESTING PROCEDURES

Soil samples obtained during field explorations are examined in a laboratory, and representative samples may be selected for further testing. The testing program included visual-manual classification and natural moisture content testing.

#### **Visual Manual Classification**

Soil samples are classified in general accordance with guidelines presented in ASTM D2488, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure). The physical characteristics of the samples are noted and the field classifications are modified, where necessary, in accordance with ASTM terminology, though certain terminology that incorporates current local engineering practice may be used. The term which best described the major portion of the sample is used to describe the soil type.

#### **Natural Moisture Content**

Natural moisture content is determined in general accordance with guidelines presented in ASTM D2216, Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass. The natural moisture content is the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the weight of water in a given amount of soil to the weight of solid particles.

#### **Fines Content**

Fines content testing is performed in general accordance with guidelines presented in ASTM D1140, *Standard Test Methods for Determining the Amount of Material Finer than 75-µm (No.200) Sieve in Soils by Washing.* The fines content is the fraction of soil that passes the U.S. Standard Number 200 Sieve. This sieve differentiates fines (silt and clay) from sand and gravel. Soil material that remains on the Number 200 sieve is sand and gravel. Material that passes the sieve is fines. The test is used to refine soil type.



### TABLE A1 Key to Test Pit and Boring Terminology and Symbols

MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOLS			
			GRAPH	LETTER	I PICAL DESCRIPTION	
			Clean Gravels	000000	GW	Well-graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures, Little or No Fines
s	erial 0	Gravel and Gravelly Soils	(Little or No Fines)		GP	Poorly-graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures, Little or No Fines
d Soi	Mate o. 20	Fraction Retained on No. 4 Sieve	Gravels with Fines		GM	Silty Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Silt Mixtures
ainec	50% on No sve		(Significant Percentage of FInes)		GC	Clayey Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Clay Mixtures
e Gr	an 5 Ded o Sie		Clean Sands		SW	Well-graded Sands, Gravelly Sands, Little or No Fines
oars	re Th tetair	Sand and Sandy Soils	(Little or No Fines)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SP	Poorly-graded Sands, Gravelly Sands, Little or No Fines
0	O O O More Than 50% Coarse Fraction Passing No. 4 Sieve	Fraction Passing No. 4 Sieve	Sands with Fines (Significant Percentage of FInes)		SM	Silty Sands, Sand-Silt Mixtures
					SC	Clayey Sands, Sand-Clay Mixtures
					ML	Inorganic Silts and Very Fine Sands, Rock Flour, Silty-Clayey Fine Sands, Clayey Silts
soils 0% g No	Silts and Clays	Liquid Limit Less than 50 percent		CL	Inorganic Clays of Low to Medium Plasticity, Gravelly Clays, Sandy Clays, Silty Clays	
ned (	an 5 assir Sieve				OL	Organic Silts and Organic Silty Clays of Low Plasticity
Grai	re Th ial Pa 200 S				МН	Inorganic Silts Micaceous or Diatomaceous Fine Sand or Silty Soils
Fine	Moi 1ater	Silts and Clays	Silts and Clays Liquid Limit Greater than 50 percent		СН	Inorganic Clays of High Plasticity, Fat Clays
	2			//////// /////////////////////////////	ОН	Organic Clays of Medium to High Plasticity, Organic Silts
			$\begin{array}{c} & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & $	PT	Peat, Humus, Swamp Soils	
		Topsoil				Humus and Duff Layer
Fill					Highly Variable Constituents	

Relative Density of Coarse-Grained Soils			
Relative Density	N - Blows per Foot		
Very Loose	0 - 4		
Loose	4 - 10		
Medium Dense	10 - 30		
Dense	30 - 50		
Very Dense	50+		

#### Key to Sampler Type Symbols



Grab

SPT

Shelby Tube

Dames &

Moore

**Consistency of Fine-Grained Soils Relative Density** N - Blows per Foot Very Soft 0 - 2 Soft 2 - 4 Medium Stiff 4 **-**8 Stiff 8 - 15 Very Stiff 15 - 30 Hard 30 - 50 Very Hard 50+

Rock Core
	Project Name PLH - Monte Verde Subdivision	TES	T PIT LOG TP-1
TRUE NORTH	Project Location 22205 NE 28th St, Camas, WA 98607	Project No.	22-0111-1
GEOTECHNICAL	Operator Dan Tapani Excavating Equipment Deere 75G with 2 ft bucket	Date Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergreen Blvd Suite B, Vancouver, W 360-558-0005 - tim@tnorthgeo.com	Logged By LS Checked By TJN	Date Complet	o5/6/22
Depth (feet) Sample Type Sample No. Graphic Log	Soil Description	Water Content, % Field Testing	Notes and Lab Data
1 G S-1	Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TOPSOIL with roots and other organics; moist.	37	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Medium dense, light brown Clayey SAND with gravel; moist.         SC         SC         Medium dense, gray-brown Silty SAND with clay; wet.         SM         GP       Dense to very dense, gray-brown Sandy GRAVEL with clay; wet.         Excavation terminated at 10.5 feet bgs. Groundwater encountered at about 7 feet bgs during excavation. Backfilled with excavated soils.	33	

	4			Proje	ct Name	PLH - Monte Verde Subdivisior		FEST	PIT LOG TP-2
TRU	JE N		TH	Proje	ct Location 222	2205 NE 28th St, Camas, WA 98607	Projec	xt No.	22-0111-1
G	EOTEC	HNICAL		Oper	Dan Tapani Excavating	Equipment Deere 75G with 2 ft bucket	Date \$	Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergree 360-558-0	en Blvd S 0005 - tim	uite B, Var n@tnorthge	ncouver, WA eo.com	Logg	LS	Checked By TJN	Date	Completed	05/6/22
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample No.	Graphic Log	USCS Symbol	Soil Description		Water Content, %	Field Testing	Notes and Lab Data
-	G	S-1			Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty organics; moist.	<sup>,</sup> TOPSOIL with roots and other	32		
2	-			SC	Medium dense, light brown Clayey S	SAND with gravel; moist.		PP = 0.5	
4- 5- 6-	G	S-2					36		
7-	G	S-3 S-4		GP	Dense, gray-brown Sandy GRAVEL v	with cobbles; wet. sing cobble size with depth	37 28		
9- 10- 11- 12- 13- 14- 15-		5-4			Excavation terminated at 8.5 feet bgs about 6 feet bgs during excavation. E	s. Groundwater encountered at Backfilled with excavated soils.	20		

	/	1			Proje	PLH - Monte Verde Subdivis			FEST	PIT LOG TP-3
ſ	TRU	JE N	IORT	H	Proje	ct Location 22205	05 NE 28th St, Camas, WA 98607	Projec	t No.	22-0111-1
	← GEOTECHNICAL ←			Oper	<sup>ator</sup> Dan Tapani Excavating	Ipment Deere 75G with 2 ft bucket	Date \$	Started	05/6/22	
202 E E 30	Evergreer 60-558-00	n Blvd S 005 - tim	uite B, Var @tnorthge	ncouver, WA	Logg	LS Check	TJN	Date (	Completed	05/6/22
	Ueptn (reet)	Sample Type	Sample No.	Graphic Log	USCS Symbol	Soil Description		Water Content, %	Field Testing	Notes and Lab Data
	_					Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TC	OPSOIL with organics; moist.		PP =	
	1 —	G	S-1			Medium dense, light brown Clayey SAN	ND with gravel; moist.	22	0.5	
	2—	-								
	3—	-			SC					
	4—	G	S-2			increasing gravel and cobble content	t with depth	27	PP = 0.5	
	5 —	G	S-3	0000		Dense, gray-brown Sandy GRAVEL witl	ith cobbles; moist.			Fines content = 4%
	6— -									
	7 —	G	S-4					18		
	8—	-			GP					
	9—	-				increasing cobble size with depth				
1	0 —									
	11—			0000		Excavation terminated at 11 feet bgs. G	Groundwater not encountered.			
	12—					Backfilled with excavated soils.				
	- 13—									
	- 14—									
1	- 5 —									

	4			Proje	Name PLH - Monte Verde Subdivi		TEST	PIT LOG TP-4
TRU	JE N	IORT	ГН	Proje	ct Location 22205 NE 28th St, Camas, WA 9860	7 Proje	ct No.	22-0111-1
G	EOTEC	HNICAL		Opera	Dan Tapani Excavating Equipment Deere 75G with 2 ft bucke	et Date	Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergree 360-558-0	en Blvd S 0005 - tin	Suite B, Var n@tnorthge	ncouver, WA eo.com	Logg	ed By LS Checked By TJ	N	Complete	<sup>d</sup> 05/6/22
202 E Evergree 360-558-0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7	D Sample Type	Suite B, Vai n@tnorthg S-1 S-2 S-3	Cococo Do coco Do COCOCO DO COCO DO COCOCO DO COCO DO COCOCO DO COCOCO DO COCOCO DO COCO DO COCO DO COCO DO COCO DO COCO DO COCO DO CO	CRC Symbol	Dan Tapani Excavating       Deere 75G with 2 ft bucks         ad By       LS       Checked By       TJ         Soil       Description       Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TOPSOIL with organics; moist.         Medium dense, light brown Clayey SAND with gravel; moist.       Medium dense, light brown Clayey SAND with gravel; moist.         Dense, gray-brown Sandy GRAVEL with cobbles; moist.       Excavation terminated at 6 feet bgs. Groundwater not encountered. Backfilled with excavated soils.	t Date	Complete PP = 0.5 PP = 0.5 PP = 1.0	d 05/6/22 Notes and Lab Data
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15								

	4			Proje	ct Name PLH - Monte Verde Subdivis	sion	-	TEST	PIT LOG TP-5
TRU	JE N	IORT	Ή	Proje	ct Location 22205 NE 28th St, Camas, WA 986	607	Proje	ct No.	22-0111-1
G				Opera	Dan Tapani Excavating Equipment Deere 75G with 2 ft buc	ket	Date	Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergree 360-558-0	n Blvd S 1005 - tin	uite B, Var n@tnorthge	icouver, WA	Logg	LS Checked By	ΓJN	Date	Complete	<sup>d</sup> 05/6/22
							%		
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample No.	Graphic Log	USCS Symbol	Soil Description		Water Content	Field Testing	Notes and Lab Data
-					Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TOPSOIL with organics; moist.		~~	PP =	
1 –	G	S-1			Medium dense, brown Clayey SAND, moist.		33	0.5	
2-	G	S-2		SC		:	27	PP = 0.5	
3-	-								
4-	G	S-3	$\left  \right  \right $		Medium dense, brown Clayey SAND with gravel and cobbles; moist.		26	PP = 0.75	
5 —									
6-	-			SC					
7-	-								
8-	-								
9-	G	S-4			Dense, yellow-gray GRAVEL with sand and clay; moist to wet.	-	58		
10 —	-			GP					
11-	-				Excavation terminated at 10.5 feet bgs. Groundwater not encountered Backfilled with excavated soils.	d.			
12-	-								
13-									
14-									
15—									

	1			Proje	PLH - Monte Verde Subdivi	ision	-	TEST	PIT LOG TP-6
TR	UE N	IORT	TH	Proje	22205 NE 28th St, Camas, WA 98	3607	Proje	ct No.	22-0111-1
	BEOTEC	HNICAL	>	Oper	Dan Tapani Excavating Equipment Deere 75G with 2 ft but	cket	Date	Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergre 360-558-	en Blvd S 0005 - tin	Suite B, Var m@tnorthge	ncouver, W/ eo.com	Logg	ed By LS Checked By	TJN	Date	Complete	<sup>d</sup> 05/6/22
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample No.	Graphic Log	JSCS Symbol	Soil		Water Content, %	Field Testing	Notes and Lab
1-	G	S-1			Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TOPSOIL with organics; moist. Medium stiff, brown Clayey SILT with sand; moist.		29	PP = 0.5	
2-								PP = 1.0	
3-	G	S-2		ML/			26	1.25	
4-	-			CL					
6-	-								
7 -	-				Dense, grav-brown Gravelly SAND with cobbles: moist				
8 -	-				Dense, gray-brown Gravelly SAND with Cobbles, moist.				
9-	-				increasing cobble content and size with depth				
10 –				SF					
11-	G	S-3					30		
12-			000		Excavation terminated at 12 feet bgs. Groundwater not encountered Backfilled with excavated soils.				
13-									
14-									
15 –									

	4			Proje	PLH - Monte Verde	e Subdivision	-	TEST	PIT LOG TP-7
TRU	JE N	IORT	ГН	Proje	ct Location 22205 NE 28th St, Cama	s, WA 98607	Proje	ct No.	22-0111-1
• GE				Oper	Dan Tapani Excavating Equipment Deere 75G wit	th 2 ft bucket	Date	Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergreer 360-558-00	n Blvd S 005 - tin	uite B, Var n@tnorthge	icouver, WA	Logg	LS Checked By	TJN	Date	Complete	05/6/22
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample No.	Graphic Log	USCS Symbol	Soil Description		Water Content, %	Field Testing	Notes and Lab Data
- 1-	G	S-1			Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TOPSOIL with organic	s; moist.	23	PP =	
2	G	S-2 S-3		SM	Medium dense, brown Clayey SAND with gravel and cobble increasing gravel and cobble content with depth	es; moist.	33	PP = 0.25 PP = 1.5	Infiltration Testing at 2.0 feet bgs Fines content = 14%
12— 13— 14— 14— 15—					Excavation terminated at 12 feet bgs. Groundwater not enco Backfilled with excavated soils.	ountered.			

	4			Proje	PLH - Monte Verde Subdivis			FEST	PIT LOG TP-8
TRU	JE N	IORT	TH	Proje	ct Location 22205 NE 28th S	t, Camas, WA 98607	Proje	ct No.	22-0111-1
		HNICAL		Oper	Dan Tapani Excavating	75G with 2 ft bucket	Date	Started	05/6/22
202 E Evergree 360-558-0	en Blvd S 0005 - tin	uite B, Var n@tnorthge	ncouver, WA eo.com	Logg	LS Checked By	TJN	Date	Completed	05/6/22
Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample No.	Graphic Log	USCS Symbol	Soil Description			Field Testing	Notes and Lab Data
1 -	G	S-1			Soft to medium stiff, dark brown silty TOPSOIL with	organics; moist.	29	PP = 1.0	
2-					Medium dense, orange-brown Silty SAND with grav moist.	el and cobbles;		PP = 0.5	
3-	- <u>G</u>	S-2					31	0.5	
4-	-				increasing gravel and cobble content with depth				
6-				SM					
7 –	-								
8-									
9-	G	S-3			Excavation terminated at 9 feet bgs. Groundwater r	not encountered.	25		
10 -					Backfilled with excavated soils.				
11-									
12-									
13-									
14-									
15 —									

# SEPARATOR SHEET

# **APPENDIX D**

# Operations and Maintenance Manual

#### Stormwater Treatment, Flow Control, and Conveyance Facility Components

# Catch Basin

A catch basin is an underground concrete structure typically fitted with a slotted grate to collect stormwater runoff and route it through underground pipes. Catch basins can also be used as a junction in a pipe system and may have a solid lid. There are two types.

A Type 1 catch basin is a rectangular box with approximate dimensions of 3'x2'x5'. Type 1 catch basins are utilized when the connected conveyance pipes are less than 18 inches in diameter and the depth from the gate to the bottom of the pipe is less than 5 feet.

A Type 2 catch basin, also commonly referred to as a storm manhole, is listed separately under "Manhole" in this book.

Catch basins typically provide a storage volume (sump) below the outlet pipe to allow sediments and debris to settle out of the stormwater runoff. Some catch basins are also fitted with a spill control device (inverted elbow on outlet pipe) intended to contain large quantities of grease or debris.

Catch basins are frequently associated with all stormwater facilities.



- The most common tool for cleaning catch basins is an industrial vacuum truck with a tank and vacuum hose (e.g. Vactor® truck) to remove sediment and debris from the sump.
- A catch basin may be an enclosed space where harmful chemicals and vapors can accumulate. Therefore, if the inspection and maintenance requires entering a catch basin, it should be conducted by an individual trained and certified to work in hazardous confined spaces.

Catch Basin			
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard
			Note: table spans multiple pages.
General	Trash and Debris	Trash or debris which is located immediately in front of the catch basin opening or is blocking inletting capacity of the basin by more than 10%.	No trash or debris located immediately in front of catch basin or on grate opening.
		Trash or debris (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin, but in no case less than a minimum of six inches clearance from the debris surface to the invert of the lowest pipe.	No trash or debris in the catch basin.
		Trash or debris in any inlet or outlet pipe blocking more than 1/3 of its height.	Inlet and outlet pipes free of trash or debris.
		Dead animals or vegetation that could generate odors that could cause complaints or dangerous gases (e.g., methane).	No dead animals or vegetation present within the catch basin.
	Sediment	Sediment (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin, but in no case less than a minimum of 6 inches clearance from the sediment surface to the invert of the lowest pipe.	No sediment in the catch basin.
	Structure Damage to Frame and/or Top Slab	Top slab has holes larger than 2 square inches or cracks wider than 1/4 inch. (Intent is to make sure no material is running into basin.)	Top slab is free of holes and cracks.
		Frame not sitting flush on top slab, i.e., separation of more than 3/4 inch of the frame from the top slab. Frame not securely attached.	Frame is sitting flush on the riser rings or top slab and firmly attached.
	Fractures or Cracks in	Maintenance person judges that structure is unsound.	Basin replaced or repaired to design standards.

#### Stormwater Treatment, Flow Control, and Conveyance Facility Components

	Basin Walls/ Bottom	Grout fillet has separated or cracked wider than 1/2 inch and longer than 1 foot at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering catch basin through cracks.	Pipe is regrouted and secure at basin wall.
	Settlement/ Misalignment	If failure of basin has created a safety, function, or design problem.	Basin replaced or repaired to design standards.
	Vegetation Inhibiting	Vegetation growing across and blocking more than 10% of the basin opening.	No vegetation blocking opening to basin.
	System	Vegetation growing in inlet/outlet pipe joints that is more than six inches tall and less than six inches apart.	No vegetation or root growth present.
	Contaminants and Pollution	Any evidence of oil, gasoline, contaminants or other pollutants. Sheen, obvious oil or other contaminants present.	No contaminants or pollutants present.
		<ul> <li>Identify and remove source, AND</li> <li>Report to Clark County Clean Water Program.</li> </ul>	
Catch Basin Cover	Cover Not in Place	Cover is missing or only partially in place. Any open catch basin requires maintenance.	Catch basin cover is closed.
	Locking Mechanism Not Working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts into frame have less than 1/2 inch of thread.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover Difficult to Remove	One maintenance person cannot remove lid after applying normal lifting pressure (Intent is to keep cover from sealing off access to maintenance).	Cover can be removed by one maintenance person.
Metal Grates (If Applicable)	Grate Opening Unsafe	Grate with opening wider than 7/8 inch.	Grate opening meets design standards.
	Trash and Debris	Trash and debris that is blocking more than 20% of grate surface inletting capacity.	Grate free of trash and debris.
	Damaged or Missing	Grate missing or broken member(s) of the grate.	Grate is in place and meets design standards.
Oil/Debris Trap (If Applicable)	Dislodged	Oil or debris trap is misaligned with or dislodged from the outlet pipe.	Trap is connected to and aligned with outlet pipe.

# Manhole

A manhole is an underground concrete structure typically fitted with a slotted grate to collect stormwater runoff and route it through underground pipes. Manholes can also be used as a junction in a pipe system and may have a solid lid. A manhole is also known as a Type 2 catch basin.

Manholes are round concrete structures ranging in diameter from 4 feet to 8 feet. They are used when the connecting conveyance pipe is 18 inches or greater or the depth from grate to pipe bottom exceeds 5 feet. Manholes typically have steps mounted on the side of the structure to allow access.

Manholes typically provide a storage volume (sump) below the outlet pipe to allow sediments and debris to settle out of the stormwater runoff. Some manholes are also fitted with a spill control device (inverted elbow on outlet pipe) intended to contain large quantities of grease or oils.

Manholes are often associated with other stormwater facilities.



- The most common tool for cleaning manholes is a truck with a tank and vacuum hose (Vactor® truck) to remove sediment and debris from the sump.
- A manhole may be an enclosed space where harmful chemicals and vapors can accumulate. Therefore, if the inspection and maintenance requires entering a manhole, it should be conducted by an individual trained and certified to work in hazardous confined spaces.

Manhole					
Drainage	Potential	Conditions When Maintenance Is	Minimum Performance Standard		
System reature	Delect	Needed			
			Note. table sparts multiple pages.		
General	Trash and Debris	Trash or debris which is located immediately in front of the opening or is blocking inletting capacity of the basin by more than 10%.	No trash or debris located immediately in front of manhole or on grate opening.		
		Trash or debris (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin, but in no case less than a minimum of six inches clearance from the debris surface to the invert of the lowest pipe.	No trash or debris in the basin.		
		Trash or debris in any inlet or outlet pipe blocking more than 1/3 of its height.	Inlet and outlet pipes free of trash or debris.		
		Dead animals or vegetation that could generate odors that could cause complaints or dangerous gases (e.g., methane).	No dead animals or vegetation present within the catch basin.		
	Sediment	Sediment (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin, but in no case less than a minimum of 6 inches clearance from the sediment surface to the invert of the lowest pipe.	No sediment in the basin.		
	Structure Damage to Frame and/or Top Slab	Top slab has holes larger than 2 square inches or cracks wider than 1/4 inch. (Intent is to make sure no material is running into manhole.)	Top slab is free of holes and cracks.		
		Frame not sitting flush on top slab, i.e., separation of more than 3/4 inch of the frame from the top slab. Frame not securely attached.	Frame is sitting flush on the riser rings or top slab and firmly attached.		
	Fractures or Cracks in	Maintenance person judges that structure is unsound.	Basin replaced or repaired to design standards.		
	Bottom	Grout fillet has separated or cracked wider than 1/2 inch and longer than 1 foot at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering manhole through cracks.	Pipe is regrouted and secure at basin wall.		

	Settlement/ Misalignment	If failure of manhole has created a safety, function, or design problem.	Manhole replaced or repaired to design standards.
	Vegetation Inhibiting	Vegetation growing across and blocking more than 10% of the opening.	No vegetation blocking opening to manhole.
	System	Vegetation growing in inlet/outlet pipe joints that is more than six inches tall and less than six inches apart.	No vegetation or root growth present.
	Contaminants and Pollution	Any evidence of oil, gasoline, contaminants or other pollutants. Sheen, obvious oil or other contaminants present.	No contaminants or pollutants present.
		<ul> <li>Identify and remove source, AND</li> <li>Report to Clark County Clean Water Program.</li> </ul>	
Manhole Cover	Cover Not in Place	Cover is missing or only partially in place. Any open manhole is a safety hazard and requires immediate maintenance.	Manhole cover is closed.
	Locking Mechanism Not Working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts into frame have less than 1/2 inch of thread.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover Difficult to Remove	One maintenance person cannot remove lid after applying normal lifting pressure (Intent is to keep cover from sealing off access to maintenance).	Cover can be removed by one maintenance person.
Ladder	Ladder Rungs Unsafe	Ladder is unsafe due to missing rungs, not securely attached to manhole wall, misalignment, rust, cracks, or sharp edges.	Ladder meets design standards and allows maintenance person safe access.
Metal Grates (If Applicable)	Grate Opening Unsafe	Grate with opening wider than 7/8 inch.	Grate opening meets design standards.
	Trash and Debris	Trash and debris that is blocking more than 20% of grate surface inletting capacity.	Grate free of trash and debris.
	Damaged or Missing	Grate missing or broken member(s) of the grate.	Grate is in place and meets design standards.

#### Stormwater Treatment, Flow Control, and Conveyance Facility Components

# Catch Basin Insert

Catch basin inserts are used to trap sediment and oil entering catch basins. Most involve some type of filter media and oil-absorbent pads. Filters avoid flooding by overflowing when they become clogged or when there are high storm flows.

Catch basin inserts typically consist of the following components:

- A structure (screened box, brackets, etc.) which contains a pollutant removal medium
- A means of suspending the structure in a catch basin
- A filter medium such as sand, carbon, fabric, etc.
- A primary inlet and outlet for the stormwater
- A secondary outlet for bypassing flows that exceed design flow



- Catch basin inserts are proprietary; refer to the manufacturer's instructions for inspection and maintenance.
- Some catch basin inserts do not require specialized tools and can be removed and replaced by hand.
- See Catch Basins for additional considerations.

Catch Basin Insert				
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard	
General	Sediment Accumulation	When sediment forms a cap over the insert media of the insert and/or unit.	No sediment cap on the insert media and its unit.	
	Trash and Debris Accumulation	Trash and debris accumulates on insert unit creating a blockage/restriction.	Trash and debris removed from insert unit. Runoff freely flows into catch basin.	
	Media Insert Not Removing Oil	Effluent water from media insert has a visible sheen.	Effluent water from media insert is free of oils and has no visible sheen.	
	Media Insert Water Saturated	Catch basin insert is saturated with water and no longer has the capacity to absorb.	Media insert has been replaced.	
	Media Insert Oil Saturated	Media oil saturated due to petroleum spill that drains into catch basin.	Media insert has been replaced.	
	Media Insert Use Beyond Normal Product Life	Media has been used beyond the typical average life of media insert product.	Media removed and replaced at regular intervals (frequency depending on insert product).	

- The most common tool for cleaning hydrodynamic separators is a truck with a tank and vacuum hose (Vactor® truck) to remove sediment and debris from the sediment chamber / sump.
- See manufacturer's publications for additional maintenance information.

Hydrodynamic Separator System					
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard		
General	Sediment Accumulation	Sediment depth is within 12 through 18" of dry weather water surface elevation.	Accumulated sediment has been removed.		
	Trash and Debris Accumulation	Trash and debris accumulated in vault, or pipe inlet/outlet, floatables and non-floatables.	Trash and debris has been removed from vault, and inlet/outlet piping.		
	Oil Accumulation	Oil accumulation that exceeds 1- inch at the water surface.	Oil has been extracted from vault. Coalescing plates have been cleaned. No visible oil depth on water.		
	Damaged Pipes	Inlet or outlet piping damaged or broken and in need of repair.	Pipe has been repaired and / or replaced.		
	Defects in Baffles	Baffles corroding, cracking, warping and/or showing signs of failure as determined by maintenance/inspection person.	Baffles repaired or replaced to design specifications.		
	Vault Structure Damage - Includes Cracks in Walls, Bottom, Damage to Frame and/or Top Slab	Cracks wider than 1/2-inch or evidence of soil particles entering the structure through the cracks, or maintenance/inspection personnel determine that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repairs made so that vault meets design specifications and is structurally sound.		
		Cracks wider than 1/2-inch at the joint of any inlet/ outlet pipe or evidence of soil particles entering through the cracks.	Vault repaired so that no cracks exist wider than 1/4-inch at the joint of the inlet/ outlet pipe.		
	Sediment in Drain Pipes/Clean- Outs	When drain pipes, clean-outs, become full with sediment and/or debris.	Sediment and debris removed.		
	Access Ladder Damaged	Ladder is corroded or deteriorated, not functioning properly, not securely attached to structure wall, missing rungs, cracks, and misaligned.	Ladder replaced or repaired, meets design specifications, and is safe to use as determined by inspection personnel.		

#### Stormwater Treatment, Flow Control, and Conveyance Facility Components

# Sediment Trap

A sediment trap is a concrete structure typically fitted with a slotted grate or multiple slotted grates (debris barriers). The concrete structure provides a storage volume (sump) below the outlet pipe to allow sediments and debris to settle out of the stormwater runoff. Some sediment traps are fitted with a spill control device (elbow on outlet pipe) intended to help direct and dissipate flow. The slotted grate (debris barrier) prevents larger debris from exiting the level spreader.

Facility objects that are often associated with a sediment trap include:

- access road or easement
- fence, gate, and water quality sign
- typical bioswale
- wet bioswale







Sediment Trap with Accumulated Sediment

• The most common tool for cleaning sediment traps is a truck with a tank and vacuum hose (Vactor® truck) to remove sediment and debris from the sump area. Hand tools (e.g. rake, broom, square shovel) are also commonly used for cleaning.

Sediment Trap				
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard	
	_	-	Note: table spans multiple pages.	
General	Trash and Debris	Trash or debris which is located immediately in front of the sediment trap opening or is blocking inletting capacity of the basin by more than 10%.	No trash or debris located immediately in front of sediment trap or on grate opening.	
		Trash or debris (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin.	No trash or debris in the sediment trap.	
		Trash or debris in any inlet or outlet pipe blocking more than 1/3 of its height.	Inlet and outlet pipes free of trash or debris.	
		Dead animals or vegetation that could generate odors that could cause complaints or dangerous gases (e.g., methane).	No dead animals or vegetation present within the sediment trap.	
	Sediment	Sediment (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin .	No sediment in the sediment trap.	
	Structure Damage to Frame and/or Top Slab	Slab has holes larger than 2 square inches or cracks wider than 1/4 inch. (Intent is to make sure no material is running into basin.)	Structure is free of holes and cracks.	
	Fractures or Cracks in Basin Walls/ Bottom	Maintenance person judges that structure is unsound.	Sediment trap replaced or repaired to meet design specifications.	
		Grout fillet has separated or cracked wider than 1/2 inch and longer than 1 foot at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering catch basin through cracks.	Pipe is regrouted and secure at basin wall.	
	Settlement/ Misalignment	If failure of basin has created a safety, function, or design problem.	Sediment trap replaced or repaired to design specifications.	

Sediment Trap				
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard	
			Note: table spans multiple pages.	
	Vegetation	Vegetation growing across and blocking more than 10% of the basin opening.	No vegetation blocking opening to sediment trap.	
	Contaminants and Pollution	Any evidence of oil, gasoline, contaminants or other pollutants. (Coordinate removal/cleanup with local water quality response agency.)	No contaminants or pollutants present.	
Debris Barrier (optional)	Trash and Debris	Trash and debris that is blocking more than 20% of grate surface inletting capacity.	Grate free of trash and debris.	
	Damaged or Missing	Grate missing or broken member(s) of the grate.	Grate is in place and meets design standards.	

# Media Cartridge Filters

Media cartridge filters are passive, flow-through, stormwater treatment systems. They are comprised of one or more vaults that house rechargeable, media-filled filter cartridges. Stormwater passes through a filtering medium, which traps particulates and/or adsorb pollutants such as dissolved metals and hydrocarbons. Once filtered through the media, the treated stormwater is directed to a collection pipe or discharged into an open channel drainage way.

The filter media can be housed in cartridge filters enclosed in concrete vaults or catch basins. Structures will have vault doors or manhole lids (older designs) for maintenance access. Various types of filter media are available from system manufacturers.

StormFilter® units are an example of a proprietary manufactured media cartridge filter system that is common in Clark County. See manufacturer's publications for additional maintenance information.

Facility objects that are typically associated with a manufactured media filter system include:

- access road or easement
- control structure/flow restrictor
- conveyance stormwater pipe



Media Cartridge Filter Vault with Accumulated Sediment



#### Stormwater Treatment, Flow Control, and Conveyance Facility Components

- The most common tool for cleaning media cartridge filters is a truck with a tank and vacuum hose (e.g.Vactor® truck) to remove sediment and debris from the vault.
- Media cartridge filters are enclosed spaces where harmful chemicals and vapors can accumulate. Therefore, the inspection and maintenance of these facilities should be conducted by an individual trained and certified to work in hazardous confined spaces.
- Cartridges require replacement when the individual cartridges no longer meet the specifications for pollutant removal.

Media Cartridge Filters					
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard		
Note: table spans multiple pages.					
Forebay	Sediment Accumulation	Sediment accumulation exceeds 6 inches or 1/3 of available sump.	Sediment accumulation less than 6 inches.		
Media Filter Vault	Sediment Accumulation on Top Media Filters (Cartridges)	Sediment depth exceeds 0.25-inches (on top of filter cartridges).	No sediment deposits which would impede permeability of the compost media. No sediment deposits on top of cartridges. (Sediment on cartridges likely indicates that cartridges are plugged and require maintenance.)		
	Sediment Accumulation in Vault	Sediment depth exceeds 4 inches in chamber. Look for other indicators of clogged cartridges or overflow.	No sediment deposits in vault bottom of first chamber. Cartridges have been checked and replaced or serviced as needed.		
	Trash and Debris Accumulation	Trash and debris accumulated in vault.	No trash or debris in vault.		
	Sediment in Drain Pipes/Clean- Outs	When drain pipes, clean-outs, become full with sediment and/or debris.	Sediment and debris has been removed.		
	Damaged Pipes	Any part of the pipes that are crushed or damaged due to corrosion and/or settlement.	Pipe repaired and/or replaced to design specifications.		
	Access Cover Damaged/Not Working	Cover cannot be opened; one person cannot open the cover using normal lifting pressure; corrosion/deformation of cover.	Cover repaired or replaced to design specifications.		
	Vault Structure Includes Cracks in Wall, Bottom, Damage to	Cracks wider than 1/2 inch or evidence of soil particles entering the structure through the cracks, or maintenance/inspection personnel determine that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repairs made so that vault meets design specifications and is structurally sound.		
	Frame and/or Top Slab	Cracks wider than 1/2 inch at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or evidence of soil particles entering through the cracks.	Vault repaired so that no cracks exist wider than 1/4 inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.		
	Baffles Damaged	Baffles corroding, cracking, warping, and/or showing signs of failure as determined by maintenance/inspection person.	Baffles repaired or replaced to design specifications.		
	Access Ladder Damaged	Ladder is corroded or deteriorated, not functioning properly, not securely attached to structure wall, missing rungs, cracks, and misaligned.	Ladder replaced or repaired and meets design specifications, and is safe to use as determined by inspection personnel.		
Below Ground Cartridge Type	Compost Media Clogging	Drawdown of water through the media takes longer than 1 hour, and/or overflow occurs frequently.	Media cartridges have been replaced and drawdown time and overflow frequency are per design standards.		

Media Cartridge Filters				
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard	
Note: table spans multiple pages.				
	Short Circuiting	Flows do not properly enter filter cartridges.	Flows are properly entering filter cartridges. Cartridges have been replaced if necessary.	
	Filter Cartridges Submerged	Filter vault does not drain within 24 hours following storm. Look for evidence of submergence due to backwater or excessive hydrocarbon loading.	Filter media have been checked and replaced if needed and vault drains down within 24 of a storm event. (If cartridges are plugged with oil, additional treatment or source control BMP may be needed.)	

# Infiltration Trench

A stormwater infiltration trench is a closed basin or an open-topped trench built by excavating below existing ground. Infiltration trenches temporarily store stormwater runoff during rain events. Infiltration trenches do not discharge to a downstream conveyance system or nearby surface water. Instead, infiltration trenches rely on the ability of the site's soils to infiltrate the stormwater into the ground.

Facility objects that are typically associated with an infiltration trench include:

- access road or easement
- fence, gate, and water quality sign
- bioswale
- sediment trap
- field inlet
- drywell



- Maintenance should be performed as indicated by routine inspections. The principal maintenance objective is to prevent clogging, which may lead to trench failure.
- Infiltration trenches and any pretreatment BMPs should be inspected after large storm events and any accumulated debris or material removed. A more thorough inspection of the trench should be conducted at least annually. Annual inspection should include monitoring the observation well (if one exists) to confirm that the trench is draining within the specified time.
- Monitor sediment buildup in the top foot of stone aggregate or the surface inlet on the same schedule as the observation well.
- Trenches with filter fabric should be inspected for sediment deposits by removing a small section of the top layer. If inspection indicates that the trench is partially or completely clogged, it should be restored to its design condition.
- The most common tools for cleaning infiltration trenches are hand tools to remove built-up sediments and the tops layers of clogged infiltration media from the trench.

Infiltration Trench				
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard	
General	Contaminants and Pollution	Any evidence of oil, gasoline, contaminants or other pollutants. Sheen, obvious oil or other contaminants present. • Identify and remove source, AND • Report to Clark County Clean Water Program.	No contaminants or pollutants present.	
	Sediment Depth (via Surface/ Observation Well Inspection)	Sediment depth greater than one foot above stone aggregate or the surface inlet.	No sediment in infiltration trench.	
	Drainage Slow	Decreased capacity that indicates slow drainage. Does not meet facility design infiltration rate. The Water Quality Design Storm Volume does not infiltrate within 48 hours. Water remains in the trench for greater than 24 hours after the end of most moderate rainfall events.	Perforated drain pipe has been cleaned and drainage rates are per design specifications. (Do not allow removed sediment and water to discharge back into the storm sewer.)	

**On-site Stormwater Management** 

# **On-site Stormwater Management**

The BMPs in this section are generally located on residential sites and landscaped areas. This section does not include maintenance criteria for all types of on-site stormwater management BMPs because some are also considered treatment and flow control facilities, and therefore are described in the previous section, and others will be rare or absent in Clark County.

# **Downspout Infiltration**

Roof downspout infiltration systems are simple pre-engineered designs for infiltrating roof runoff in residential yards.

Facility objects that are typically associated with downspout infiltration include:

- Downspout drywell
- Downspout infiltration trench



#### **On-site Stormwater Management**



**Downspout Infiltration Trench** 

- Keep gutters free of debris and sediment or use a downspout insert to prevent debris or sediment from entering the downspout from the gutter while still allowing water to pass into the downspout.
- The most common tool for cleaning these systems is a hose to flush downspouts.

Downspout Infiltration				
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard	
Infiltration Trench	Drainage Slow	Decreased capacity that indicates slow drainage.	Perforated drain pipe has been cleaned and drainage rates are per design specifications.	
			(Do not allow removed sediment and water to discharge back into the storm sewer.)	
	Damage to or Trash/Sediment Accumulation Around Pipes	Accumulation of trash, debris, or sediment in roof drains and gutters. Pipe from sump to trench has accumulated sediment or is plugged. Cracked, collapsed, broken, or misaligned drain pipes.	Trash, debris, and sediment is cleared from dispersion trench components (gutters, pipes, etc.). Pipes are free of damage or defects that hinder system from functioning according to design.	
Downspout Drywell	Drainage Slow	Decreased capacity that indicates slow drainage. Does not meet facility design infiltration rate.	Drywell has been cleaned and drainage rates are per design specifications.	
			(Do not allow removed sediment and water to discharge back into the storm sewer.)	
	Standing Water	Standing water indicates the drywell is into the water table.	Rebuild drywell to prevent stormwater from going directly into groundwater.	
	Sediment	Sediment in drywell exceeds 60 percent of the depth below the inlet pipe.	No sediment in drywell.	

# Downspout Dispersion

Downspout dispersion systems consist of splash blocks or gravel-filled trenches, which serve to spread roof runoff over vegetated pervious areas.

Facility objects that are typically associated with downspout dispersion include:

- Splash block
- Downspout extension
- Dispersion trench: Gravel-filled trenches used to spread stormwater runoff from a downspout drain over a vegetated pervious area. Downspout drains are routed to a trench via a perforated or slotted pipe. The trench typically includes a notched grade board or other device to distribute flow equally along the length of the trench.
- Dispersal area: Stormwater is dispersed to an area vegetated with well-established lawn or pasture, landscaping with well-established groundcover, or native vegetation with natural groundcover. The required vegetated flow path is 50 feet for splash blocks and concentrated dispersion, 25 feet when using a dispersion trench and varies for sheet flow dispersion.



**Downspout Dispersion using Splash Blocks** 



Schematic Downspout Dispersion using Dispersion Trench

- For dispersion practices to be effective, the dispersion area must remain covered with dense, well-established vegetation. Site uses should protect vegetation and avoid compaction.
- A notched grade board at a dispersion trench must be maintained at a level grade to prevent concentrated flow. Downspout drains are directed to the trench via a storage sump that must be maintained to remove accumulated sediment.
- The groundcover for the extent of the flow in any dispersal area must be maintained to be dense enough to help disperse and infiltrate flows and to prevent erosion.
- The most common tools for cleaning these systems are hand tools to redistribute material disturbed by concentrated flows and a hose to flush downspouts.

Downspout Dispersion					
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard		
			Note: table spans multiple pages.		
General	Pests	Signs of pest infestations (IPM protocol threshold(s) are exceeded), including rodent holes or mounds that disturb dispersion flow paths.	Pests are not present or engaged in activities that present a significant public health risk or compromise to the intended design function of the facility. Pests that have exceeded acceptable thresholds have been addressed using appropriate IPM measures.		
Splash Block	Water Directed Towards Building	Water is being directed towards building structure.	Water is directed away from foundations and other building structures.		
	Erosion	Water disrupts soil media.	Water is dispersed into soil/mulch/plantings in a manner that does not create erosion or other issues due to concentrated flows.		
Dispersion Trench	Concentrated Discharge	Visual evidence of water discharging at concentrated points along trench (normal condition is a "sheet flow" from edge of trench; intent is to prevent erosion damage).	Water is discharging as a sheet flow and any disruptive material (e.g. trash, debris, sediment accumulation) has been removed from trench surface.		
	Surface of Trench	Accumulated trash, debris, or sediment on drain rock surface impedes sheet flow from facility. Vegetation/moss present on drain rock surface impedes sheet flow from facility.	Surface of drain rock is free of trash, debris, and sediment accumulation. Rock surface is open, free of vegetation buildup, and drains freely.		
	Damage to or Trash/Sediment Accumulation Around Pipes	Accumulation of trash, debris, or sediment in roof drains, gutters, driveway drains, area drains, etc. Pipe from sump to trench or drywell has accumulated sediment or is plugged. Cracked, collapsed, broken, or misaligned drain pipes.	Trash, debris, and sediment is cleared from dispersion trench components (gutters, pipes, etc.). Pipes are free of damage or defects that hinder system from functioning according to design.		
Storage Sump	Sediment in Sump	Sediment in the sump.	Sediment not present in sump. Sediment has also been removed from adjacent components (inlet/outlet pipes, etc.) to prevent immediate re- accumulation.		
	Access Lid Not Working	Cannot be easily opened; buried; or cover missing.	Access lid present and functioning per design standards.		
	Erosion	Erosion of the pond's side slopes and/or scouring of the pond bottom, which exceeds 6-inches, or where continued erosion is prevalent.	Slopes stabilized using proper erosion control measures and repair methods.		
Rock Pad	General	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area 6 square feet or larger, or any exposure of native soil. Soil erosion in or adjacent to rock pad.	Rock pad has been repaired or replaced to meet design standards.		
Downspout Dispersion					
-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---	--	--
Drainage System Feature	Potential Defect	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Minimum Performance Standard		
			Note: table spans multiple pages.		
Dispersal Area	Erosion or Sediment Accumulation	Erosion (gullies/ rills) greater than 2 inches deep in dispersal area. Accumulated sediment or debris to extent that blocks or channelizes flow path.	Cause of erosion has been eliminated and the damaged area has been repaired and stabilized.		
	Standing Water After Storm Event	Standing surface water in dispersion area remains for more than 3 days after the end of a storm event.	Standing water drains within 72 hours of a storm event.		
	Transition Zone Erosion and Sizing	Adjacent soil erosion; uneven surface creating concentrated flow discharge; or less than two feet of width.	Transition zone meets design criteria and does not exhibit erosion or other evidence of concentrated flows.		
	Poor Vegetation Cover	Poor vegetation cover such that erosion is occurring.	Vegetation has been properly watered and established to meet facility design specifications.		
	Excessive Vegetation Cover	Vegetation inhibits dispersed flow along flow path.	Vegetation has been weeded, trimmed, pruned, or thinned to meet facility design criteria.		

# SEPARATOR SHEET

# SEPARATOR SHEET

## **APPENDIX E**

## Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

## **Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan**

**For** NE 26<sup>th</sup> Street Townhomes

**Prepared For** 

Ginn Group, LLC 502 NE 72<sup>nd</sup> Street Vancouver, WA 98665

#### Owner

Developer

**Operator/Contractor** 

Ginn Group LLC 502 NE 72<sup>nd</sup> Street Vancouver, WA 98665 Same as Owner

Unknown

**Project Site Location** 

22205 NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street Camas, WA 98607 Parcel #173184000

#### **SWPPP Prepared By**

PLS Engineering, Inc. 604 W Evergreen Blvd Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 944-6519

**SWPPP Preparation Date** July 2022

Approximate Project Construction Dates October 2022

## Contents

1.0	Introduction	5
2.0	Site Description	7
	<ul><li>2.1 Existing Conditions</li></ul>	7 7
3.0	Construction Stormwater BMPs	9
	<ul> <li>3.1 The 13 BMP Elements</li></ul>	9990012233555
4.0	Construction Phasing and BMP Implementation	7
5.0	Pollution Prevention Team	0
5.0	5.1 Roles and Responsibilities	9 9 9
6.0	Site Inspections and Monitoring2	1
	6.1 Site Inspection       2         6.1.1 Site Inspection Frequency       2         6.1.2 Site Inspection Documentation       2         6.2 Stormwater Quality Monitoring       2         6.2.1 Turbidity Sampling       2	1 1 2 2
7.0	Reporting and Recordkeeping	5
	7.1 Recordkeeping.       2         7.1.1 Site Log Book.       2         7.1.2 Records Retention.       2         7.1.3 Access to Plans and Records       2         7.1.4 Updating the SWPPP.       2         7.2 Reporting.       2         7.2 In Discharge Manitarian Departs       2	5 5 5 5 5 5 6
	/.2.1 Discharge Monitoring Reports	0

#### Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

7.2.2 Notification of Noncompliance	
Appendix A – Site Plans	27
Appendix B – Construction BMPs	29
Appendix C – Alternative BMPs	
Appendix D – General Permit	
Appendix E – Site Inspection Forms (and Site Log)	
Appendix F – Engineering Calculations	44

#### Appendix A Site plans

- Vicinity map (with all discharge points)
- Site plan with TESC measures

## Appendix B Construction BMPs

• Possibly reference in BMPs, but likely it will be a consolidated list so that the applicant can photocopy from the list from the SWMMWW.

#### Appendix C Alternative Construction BMP list

- List of BMPs not selected, but can be referenced if needed in each of the 12 elements
- Appendix D General Permit
- Appendix E Site Log and Inspection Forms

Appendix F Engineering Calculations

## **1.0 Introduction**

This Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been prepared for the Monte Verde construction project in Camas, Washington. The site is located on Parcel 173184000. Current address for the site is 22205 NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street, Camas, WA 98607 and the existing site is approximately 8.61 acres. Current proposed development associated with this SWPPP includes the construction of 34 single family lots along with the associated infrastructure. The stormwater plan associated with this project provides for stormwater management of all runoff from the site using two private infiltration trenches, and one stormwater detention facility. Stormwater runoff from the pollution generating surfaces will be treated by a ConTech media cartridge before being infiltrated.

Construction activities will include excavation, grading, construction of paving and sidewalk to serve the site, construction of an infiltration trench to mitigate for impacts to stormwater runoff from the new paving, and installation of utilities to serve the site including sanitary sewer, storm sewer, potable water, electrical, phone, and cable TV. The purpose of this SWPPP is to describe the proposed construction activities and all temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control (TESC) measures, pollution prevention measures, inspection/monitoring activities, and recordkeeping that will be implemented during the proposed construction project. The objectives of the SWPPP are to:

- 1. Implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) to prevent erosion and sedimentation, and to identify, reduce, eliminate or prevent stormwater contamination and water pollution from construction activity.
- 2. Prevent violations of surface water quality, ground water quality, or sediment management standards.
- 3. Prevent, during the construction phase, adverse water quality impacts including impacts on beneficial uses of the receiving water by controlling peak flow rates and volumes of stormwater runoff at the Permittee's outfalls and downstream of the outfalls.

This SWPPP was prepared using the Ecology SWPPP Template downloaded from the Ecology website. This SWPPP was prepared based on the requirements set forth in the Construction Stormwater General Permit and the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (SWMMWW). The report is divided into seven main sections with several appendices that include stormwater related reference materials. The topics presented in the each of the main sections are:

 <u>Section 1</u> – INTRODUCTION. This section provides a summary description of the project, and the organization of the SWPPP document.

- <u>Section 2</u> SITE DESCRIPTION. This section provides a detailed description of the existing site conditions, proposed construction activities, and calculated stormwater flow rates for existing conditions and post–construction conditions.
- <u>Section 3</u> CONSTRUCTION BMPs. This section provides a detailed description of the BMPs to be implemented based on the 12 required elements of the SWPPP (SWMMEW 2004).
- <u>Section 4</u> CONSTRUCTION PHASING AND BMP IMPLEMENTATION. This section provides a description of the timing of the BMP implementation in relation to the project schedule.
- <u>Section 5</u> POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM. This section identifies the appropriate contact names (emergency and non-emergency), monitoring personnel, and the onsite temporary erosion and sedimentation control inspector
- Section 6 INSPECTION AND MONITORING. This section provides a description of the inspection and monitoring requirements such as the parameters of concern to be monitored, sample locations, sample frequencies, and sampling methods for all stormwater discharge locations from the site.
- Section 7 RECORDKEEPING. This section describes the requirements for documentation of the BMP implementation, site inspections, monitoring results, and changes to the implementation of certain BMPs due to site factors experienced during construction.

Supporting documentation and standard forms are provided in the following Appendices:

- Appendix A Site plans
- Appendix B Construction BMPs
- Appendix C Alternative Construction BMP list
- Appendix D General Permit
- Appendix E Site Log and Inspection Forms
- Appendix F Engineering Calculations

## 2.0 Site Description

## 2.1 Existing Conditions

Current Addresses for the site is 22205 NE 28<sup>th</sup> Street, Camas, WA 98607. The site is approximately 8.61 acres. The property's topography is moderately sloped from a high point at the NE corner of the site to a low point at the SW corner of the site. The site has an existing house, shop, and Chickein coop which will be removed. The remaining area consists of grass, trees, and brush.

The soils are mapped by the NRCS as Lauren gravelly loam (LgB) in the North and SW corner of the sit, and Lauren loam (LeB) in the middle and South end of the site.

## 2.2 Proposed Construction Activities

The project proposes to develop the parcel into 34 single family lots and associated access. Construction activities will include excavation, grading, construction of paving and sidewalk to serve the site, construction of an infiltration trench to mitigate for impacts to stormwater runoff from the new paving, and installation of utilities to serve the site including sanitary sewer, storm sewer, potable water, electrical, phone, and cable TV.

Temporary erosion and sediment control facilities will be installed prior to site construction to handle construction-phase stormwater runoff. The schedule and phasing of BMPs during construction is provided in Section 4.0.

Stormwater runoff has been calculated using Western Washington Hydrology Model (WWHM). The infiltration trenches were designed to infiltrate the runoff generated by the site. ConTech<sup>TM</sup> catch basins will be used to treat runoff before conveying it to the infiltration trenches.

After the site has been graded and all new utilities are installed, the building construction will commence. Trees will also be planted in the landscape areas noted in the Landscape Plan. Temporary seeding will occur over the lots to establish vegetative cover until such time as individual buildings are developed and permanent landscaping occurs.

## 3.0 Construction Stormwater BMPs

## 3.1 The 13 BMP Elements

#### 3.1.1 Element #1 – Mark Clearing Limits

To protect adjacent properties and to reduce the area of soil exposed to construction, the limits of construction will be clearly marked before land-disturbing activities begin. Trees that are to be preserved, as well as all sensitive areas and their buffers, shall be clearly delineated, both in the field and on the plans. In general, natural vegetation and native topsoil shall be retained in an undisturbed state to the maximum extent possible. The BMPs relevant to marking the clearing limits that will be applied for this project include:

- Preserving Native Vegetation (BMP C101)
- Silt Fence (BMP C233)

Alternate BMPs for marking clearing limits are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

#### 3.1.2 Element #2 – Establish Construction Access

Construction access or activities occurring on unpaved areas shall be minimized, yet where necessary, access points shall be stabilized to minimize the tracking of sediment onto public roads, and wheel washing, street sweeping, and street cleaning shall be employed to prevent sediment from entering state waters. All wash wastewater shall be controlled on site. The specific BMPs related to establishing construction access that will be used on this project include:

• Stabilized Construction Entrance (BMP C105)

Alternate construction access BMPs are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

#### 3.1.3 Element #3 – Control Flow Rates

In order to protect the properties and waterways downstream of the project site, stormwater discharges from the site will be controlled. The specific BMPs for flow control that shall be used on this project include:

- Downspout Full Infiltration Infiltration Trenches (BMP T5.10B).
- Infiltration Trenches (BMP R5.11).

Alternate flow control BMPs are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

The project site is located west of the Cascade Mountain Crest. As such, the project must comply with Minimum Requirement 7 (Ecology 2005).

In general, discharge rates of stormwater from the site will be controlled where increases in impervious area or soil compaction during construction could lead to downstream erosion, or where necessary to meet local agency stormwater discharge requirements (e.g. discharge to combined sewer systems).

#### 3.1.4 Element #4 – Install Sediment Controls

All stormwater runoff from disturbed areas shall pass through an appropriate sediment removal BMP before leaving the construction site or prior to being discharged to an infiltration facility. The specific BMPs to be used for controlling sediment on this project include:

- Silt Fence (BMP C233)
- Storm Drain Inlet Protection (BMP C220)

Silt fencing and storm drain inlet protection will be adequate for sediment control during summer months. Alternate sediment control BMPs are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

In addition, sediment will be removed from paved areas in and adjacent to construction work areas manually or using mechanical sweepers, as needed, to minimize tracking of sediments on vehicle tires away from the site and to minimize washoff of sediments from adjacent streets in runoff. Whenever possible, sediment laden water shall be discharged into onsite, relatively level, vegetated areas (BMP C240 paragraph 5, page 4-102).

In some cases, sediment discharge in concentrated runoff can be controlled using permanent stormwater BMPs (e.g., infiltration swales, ponds, trenches). Sediment loads can limit the effectiveness of some permanent stormwater BMPs, such as those used for infiltration or biofiltration; however, those BMPs designed to remove solids by settling (wet ponds or detention ponds) can be used during the construction phase. When permanent stormwater BMPs will be used to control sediment discharge during construction, the structure will be protected from excessive sedimentation with adequate erosion and sediment control BMPs. Any accumulated sediment shall be removed after construction is complete and the permanent stormwater BMP will be restabilized with vegetation per applicable design requirements once the remainder of the site has been stabilized.

The following BMPs will be implemented as end-of-pipe sediment controls as required to meet permitted turbidity limits in the site discharge(s). Prior to the implementation of these technologies, sediment sources and erosion control and soil stabilization BMP efforts will be maximized to reduce the need for end-of-pipe sedimentation controls.

- Construction Stormwater Filtration (BMP C251)
- Construction Stormwater Chemical Treatment (BMP C 250) (implemented only with prior written approval from Ecology).

## 3.1.5 Element #5 – Stabilize Soils

Exposed and unworked soils shall be stabilized with the application of effective BMPs to prevent erosion throughout the life of the project. The specific BMPs for soil stabilization that shall be used on this project include:

- Temporary and Permanent Seeding (BMP C120)
- Mulching (BMP C121)
- Nets and Blankets (BMP C122)
- Plastic Covering (BMP C123)
- Topsoiling (BMP C125)
- Surface Roughening (BMP C130)
- Dust Control (BMP C140)
- Early application of gravel base on areas to be paved

Alternate soil stabilization BMPs are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

The project site is located west of the Cascade Mountain Crest. As such, no soils shall remain exposed and unworked for more than 7 days during the dry season (May 1 to September 30) and 2 days during the wet season (October 1 to April 30). Regardless of the time of year, all soils shall be stabilized at the end of the shift before a holiday or weekend if needed based on weather forecasts.

In general, cut and fill slopes will be stabilized as soon as possible and soil stockpiles will be temporarily covered with plastic sheeting. All stockpiled soils shall be stabilized from erosion, protected with sediment trapping measures, and where possible, be located away from storm drain inlets, waterways, and drainage channels.

#### 3.1.6 Element #6 – Protect Slopes

All cut and fill slopes will be designed, constructed, and protected in a manner that minimizes erosion. The following specific BMPs will be used to protect slopes for this project:

• Temporary and Permanent Seeding (BMP C120)

Alternate slope protection BMPs are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

#### 3.1.7 Element #7 – Protect Drain Inlets

All storm drain inlets and culverts made operable during construction or inlets near the site that could potentially receive surface runoff from the construction site shall be protected to prevent unfiltered or untreated water from entering the drainage conveyance system. However, the first priority is to keep all access roads clean of sediment and keep street wash water separate from entering storm drains until treatment can be provided. Storm Drain Inlet Protection (BMP C220) will be implemented for all drainage inlets and culverts that could potentially be impacted by sediment-laden runoff on and near the project site. The following inlet protection measures will be applied on this project:

**Drop Inlet Protection** 

- Block and Gravel Drop Inlet Protection
- Gravel and Wire Drop Inlet Protection
- Catch Basin Filter

If the BMP options listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D), or if no BMPs are listed above but deemed necessary during construction, the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead shall implement one or more of the alternative BMP inlet protection options listed in Appendix C.

#### 3.1.8 Element #8 – Stabilize Channels and Outlets

Where site runoff is to be conveyed in channels or discharged to a stream or some other natural drainage point, efforts will be taken to prevent downstream erosion. The specific BMPs for channel and outlet stabilization that shall be used on this project include:

• Outlet Protection (BMP C209)

Alternate channel and outlet stabilization BMPs are included in Appendix C as a quick reference tool for the onsite inspector in the event the BMP(s) listed above are deemed ineffective or inappropriate during construction to satisfy the requirements set forth in the General NPDES Permit (Appendix D). To avoid potential erosion and sediment control issues that may cause a violation(s) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (as provided in Appendix D), the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead will promptly initiate the implementation of one or more of the alternative BMPs listed in Appendix C after the first sign that existing BMPs are ineffective or failing.

The project site is located west of the Cascade Mountain Crest. As such, all temporary on-site conveyance channels shall be designed, constructed, and stabilized to prevent erosion from the expected peak 10-minute velocity of flow from a Type 1A, 10-year, 24-hour recurrence interval storm for the developed condition. Alternatively, the 10-year, 1-hour peak flow rate indicated by an approved continuous runoff simulation model, increased by a factor of 1.6, shall be used. Stabilization, including armoring material, adequate to prevent erosion of outlets, adjacent streambanks, slopes, and downstream reaches shall be provided at the outlets of all conveyance systems.

#### 3.1.9 Element #9 – Control Pollutants

All pollutants, including waste materials and demolition debris, that occur onsite shall be handled and disposed of in a manner that does not cause contamination of stormwater. Good housekeeping and preventative measures will be taken to ensure that the site will be kept clean, well organized, and free of debris. If required, BMPs to be implemented to control specific sources of pollutants are discussed below.

Vehicles, construction equipment, and/or petroleum product storage/dispensing:

- All vehicles, equipment, and petroleum product storage/dispensing areas will be inspected regularly to detect any leaks or spills, and to identify maintenance needs to prevent leaks or spills.
- On-site fueling tanks and petroleum product storage containers shall include secondary containment.
- Spill prevention measures, such as drip pans, will be used when conducting maintenance and repair of vehicles or equipment.
- In order to perform emergency repairs on site, temporary plastic will be placed beneath and, if raining, over the vehicle.
- Contaminated surfaces shall be cleaned immediately following any discharge or spill incident.

Chemical storage:

- Any chemicals stored in the construction areas will conform to the appropriate source control BMPs listed in Volume IV of the Ecology stormwater manual. In Western WA, all chemicals shall have cover, containment, and protection provided on site, per BMPC153 for Material Delivery, Storage and Containment in SWMMWW 2005
- Application of agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and at application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to stormwater runoff. Manufacturers' recommendations for application procedures and rates shall be followed.

Excavation and tunneling spoils dewatering waste:

 Dewatering BMPs and BMPs specific to the excavation and tunneling (including handling of contaminated soils) are discussed under Element 10.

Demolition:

- Dust released from demolished sidewalks, buildings, or structures will be controlled using Dust Control measures (BMP C140).
- Storm drain inlets vulnerable to stormwater discharge carrying dust, soil, or debris will be protected using Storm Drain Inlet Protection (BMP C220 as described above for Element 7).
- Process water and slurry resulting from sawcutting and surfacing operations will be prevented from entering the waters of the State by implementing Sawcutting and Surfacing Pollution Prevention measures (BMP C152).

Concrete and grout:

 Process water and slurry resulting from concrete work will be prevented from entering the waters of the State by implementing Concrete Handling measures (BMP C151).

Sanitary wastewater:

- Portable sanitation facilities will be firmly secured, regularly maintained, and emptied when necessary.
- Wheel wash or tire bath wastewater shall be discharged to a separate on-site treatment system or to the sanitary sewer as part of Wheel Wash implementation (BMP C106).

Solid Waste:

• Solid waste will be stored in secure, clearly marked containers.

Other:

• Other BMPs will be administered as necessary to address any additional pollutant sources on site.

The facility does not require a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan under the Federal regulations of the Clean Water Act (CWA).

#### 3.1.10 Element #10 – Control Dewatering

#### 3.1.13 Element #13 – Protect Low Impact Development BMPs

- Protect all bioretention and rain garden BMP's from sedimentation through installation and maintenance of erosion control BMP's on portions of the site that drain into them. Restore the BMP's to their fully functioning condition if they accumulate sediment during construction. Restoring the BMP must include removal of sediment and any sediment-laden bioretention/ rain garden soils, and replacing the removed soils with soils meeting the design specification.
- Prevent compacting bioretention and rain garden BMP's by excluding construction equipment and foot traffic. Protect completed lawn and landscaped areas from compaction by construction equipment.
- Control erosion and avoid introducing sediment from surrounding land uses onto permeable pavements. Do not allow muddy construction equipment on the base material or pavement. Do not allow sediment-laden runoff into permeable pavements or base materials.
- Pavements fouled with sediments or no longer passing an initial infiltration test must be cleaned using procedures from Book 4 of the manufacturer's procedures.
- Keep all heavy equipment off existing soils under LID facilities that have been excavated to final grade to retain the infiltration rate of the soils

## 3.2 Site Specific BMPs

Site specific BMPs are shown on the TESC Plan Sheets and Details in Appendix A. These site-specific plan sheets will be updated annually.

## 3.3 Additional Advanced BMPs

- The following BMPs are advanced and are only recommended if construction activities are complex enough to warrant them; or if the site has the potential for significant impacts to water quality. The following BMPs are directed at "end-of-pipe" treatment for sedimentation issues related to turbid runoff from construction sites. Effective BMPs are most often the simple BMPs and focus on the minimization of erosion before sedimentation is an issue. The following BMPs will most likely be implemented only after other BMP options are exhausted, or if the construction activity is large and off-site sedimentation or turbid runoff occurs or is inevitable.
- For BMP 250, written pre-approval, through Ecology is required (see SWMMWW 2005):
- BMP C250: Construction Stormwater Chemical Treatment
- BMP C251: Construction Stormwater Filtration.

## 4.0 Construction Phasing and BMP Implementation

The BMP implementation schedule will be driven by the construction schedule. The following provides a sequential list of the proposed construction schedule milestones and the corresponding BMP implementation schedule. The list contains key milestones such as wet season construction.

The BMP implementation schedule listed below is keyed to proposed phases of the construction project and reflects differences in BMP installations and inspections that relate to wet season construction. The project site is located west of the Cascade Mountain Crest. As such, the dry season is considered to be from May 1 to September 30 and the wet season is considered to be from October 1 to April 30.

٠	Estimate of Construction start date:	10/01/22
٠	Estimate of Construction finish date:	9/06/25
•	Mobilize equipment on site:	10/01/22
٠	Mobilize and store all ESC and soil stabilization products:	10/01/22
•	Install ESC measures:	10/01/22
٠	Install stabilized construction entrance:	10/01/22
٠	Begin clearing and grubbing:	10/01/22
٠	Demolish existing structures:	10/01/22
٠	Begin site grading	10/01/22
٠	Site grading ends	10/30/22
٠	Excavate and install new utilities and services:	11/01/22
٠	Excavation for building foundations	10/06/22
٠	Begin building construction:	10/06/22
٠	Complete utility construction	10/06/22
٠	Begin implementing soil stabilization and sediment control	
	BMPs throughout the site in preparation for wet season:	10/06/22
٠	Wet Season starts:	11/01/22
٠	Site inspections and monitoring conducted weekly and for	
	applicable rain events as detailed in Section 6 of this SWPPP:	10/01/22
٠	Implement Element #12 BMPs and manage site to minimize	
	soil disturbance during the wet season:	10/01/22
٠	Complete road paving	11/30/22
٠	Building construction complete:	9/06/24
٠	Dry Season starts:	5/01/23

## **5.0 Pollution Prevention Team**

## 5.1 Roles and Responsibilities

The pollution prevention team consists of personnel responsible for implementation of the SWPPP, including the following:

- Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) primary contractor contact, responsible for site inspections (BMPs, visual monitoring, sampling, etc.); to be called upon in case of failure of any ESC measures.
- Resident Engineer For projects with engineered structures only (sediment ponds/traps, sand filters, etc.): site representative for the owner that is the project's supervising engineer responsible for inspections and issuing instructions and drawings to the contractor's site supervisor or representative
- Emergency Ecology Contact individual to be contacted at Ecology in case of emergency.
- Emergency Owner Contact individual that is the site owner or representative of the site owner to be contacted in the case of an emergency.
- Non-Emergency Ecology Contact individual that is the site owner or representative of the site owner than can be contacted if required.
- Monitoring Personnel personnel responsible for conducting water quality monitoring; for most sites this person is also the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead.

## 5.2 Team Members

Names and contact information for those identified as members of the pollution prevention team are provided in the following table.

Title	Name(s)	Phone Number
Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL)	Unknown	
Resident Engineer	Travis Johnson	(360)944-6519
Emergency Ecology Contact	Unknown	
Emergency Owner Contact	Unknown	
Non-Emergency Ecology Contact	Unknown	
Monitoring Personnel	Unknown	

## 6.0 Site Inspections and Monitoring

Monitoring includes visual inspection, monitoring for water quality parameters of concern, and documentation of the inspection and monitoring findings in a site log book. A site log book will be maintained for all on-site construction activities and will include:

- A record of the implementation of the SWPPP and other permit requirements;
- Site inspections; and,
- Stormwater quality monitoring.

For convenience, the inspection form and water quality monitoring forms included in this SWPPP include the required information for the site log book. This SWPPP may function as the site log book if desired, or the forms may be separated and included in a separate site log book. However, if separated, the site log book but must be maintained on-site or within reasonable access to the site and be made available upon request to Ecology or the local jurisdiction.

## 6.1 Site Inspection

All BMPs will be inspected, maintained, and repaired as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function. The inspector will be a Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) per BMP C160. The name and contact information for the CESCL is provided in Section 5 of this SWPPP.

Site inspection will occur in all areas disturbed by construction activities and at all stormwater discharge points. Stormwater will be examined for the presence of suspended sediment, turbidity, discoloration, and oily sheen. The site inspector will evaluate and document the effectiveness of the installed BMPs and determine if it is necessary to repair or replace any of the BMPs to improve the quality of stormwater discharges. All maintenance and repairs will be documented in the site log book or forms provided in this document. All new BMPs or design changes will be documented in the SWPPP as soon as possible.

## 6.1.1 Site Inspection Frequency

Site inspections will be conducted at least once a week and within 24 hours following any discharge from the site. For sites with temporary stabilization measures, the site inspection frequency can be reduced to once every month.

## 6.1.2 Site Inspection Documentation

The site inspector will record each site inspection using the site log inspection forms provided in Appendix E. The site inspection log forms may be separated from this SWPPP document, but will be maintained on-site or within reasonable access to the site and be made available upon request to Ecology or the local jurisdiction.

## 6.2 Stormwater Quality Monitoring

The construction site will comply with the requirements set forth in the 2015 Construction Stormwater General Permit (revised 2017) seen in Appendix D. A Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead shall be on-site or on-call at all times.

The following text describes the monitoring for the proposed development.

#### 6.2.1 Turbidity Sampling

The receiving water body, Lacamas Creek Watershed, is impaired for turbidity. Mandatory BMPs (Best Management Practices) and erosion control practices put in place by the permit will appropriately minimize the turbidity of the stormwater discharge. Monitoring requirements for the proposed project will include weekly turbidity sampling to monitor site discharges for water quality compliance as required by the NPDES Construction Stormwater General Permit, provided that site discharges occur. It should be noted that the site is designed such that all site runoff will be infiltrated so it is likely that discharges will be rare or may not occur at all. Sampling will be conducted at all discharge points at least once per calendar week.

Turbidity sampling during construction will be completed weekly in order to confirm that erosion control measures are meeting the water quality standards for turbidity (Where an applicable TMDL has not specified a waste load allocation for construction stormwater discharge, but has not excluded these discharges, compliance with special Conditions S4 (monitoring) and S9 (SWPPPs) will constitute compliance with the approved TMDL (S8.E.1.c)). Special Conditions S4 establishes that the key benchmark values that require action are 25 NTU for turbidity (equivalent to 32 cm transparency) and 250 NTU for turbidity (equivalent to 32 cm transparency) is exceeded, the following steps will be conducted:

- 1. Ensure all BMPs specified in this SWPPP are installed and functioning as intended.
- 2. Assess whether additional BMPs should be implemented, and document revisions to the SWPPP as necessary.
- 3. Sample discharge location daily until the analysis results are less than 25 NTU (turbidity) or greater than 32 cm (transparency).

If the turbidity is greater than 25 NTU (or transparency is less than 32 cm) but less than 250 NTU (transparency greater than 6 cm) for more than 3 days, additional treatment BMPs will be implemented within 24 hours of the third consecutive sample that exceeded the benchmark value. Additional treatment BMPs to be considered will include, but are not limited to, off-site treatment, infiltration, filtration and chemical treatment.

If the 250 NTU benchmark for turbidity (or less than 6 cm transparency) is exceeded at any time, the following steps will be conducted:

- 1. Notify Ecology by phone within 24 hours of analysis (see Section 5.0 of this SWPPP for contact information).
- 2. Continue daily sampling until the turbidity is less than 25 NTU (or transparency is greater than 32 cm).

- 3. Initiate additional treatment BMPs such as off-site treatment, infiltration, filtration and chemical treatment within 24 hours of the first 250 NTU exceedance.
- 4. Implement additional treatment BMPs as soon as possible, but within 7 days of the first 250 NTU exceedance.
- 5. Describe inspection results and remedial actions taken in the site log book and in monthly discharge monitoring reports as described in Section 7.0 of this SWPPP.

In the event that Turbidity results are greater than 25 NTUs, or the site is determined to be out of compliance with surface water quality standards for turbidity, the following BMPs should be established, re-established or implemented as determined necessary by the Certified Erosion and Sediment Control lead (CESCL) in order to bring the site back into compliance:

BMP C105: Stabilized Construction Entrance / Exit (repair construction entrance as necessary)

BMP C106: Wheel Wash (repair wheel wash as necessary)

BMP C120: Temporary and permanent Seeding

BMP C124: Sodding

BMP C140: Dust Control

BMP C209: Outlet Protection

BMP C220: Storm Drain Inlet Protection (add more inlet protection, as necessary

BMP C233: Silt Fence (add more silt fencing as necessary)

## 7.0 Reporting and Recordkeeping

## 7.1 Recordkeeping

#### 7.1.1 Site Log Book

A site log book will be maintained for all on-site construction activities and will include:

- A record of the implementation of the SWPPP and other permit requirements;
- Site inspections; and,
- Stormwater quality monitoring.

For convenience, the inspection form and water quality monitoring forms included in this SWPPP include the required information for the site log book.

## 7.1.2 Records Retention

Records of all monitoring information (site log book, inspection reports/checklists, etc.), this Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and any other documentation of compliance with permit requirements will be retained during the life of the construction project and for a minimum of three years following the termination of permit coverage in accordance with permit condition S5.C.

## 7.1.3 Access to Plans and Records

The SWPPP, General Permit, Notice of Authorization letter, and Site Log Book will be retained on site or within reasonable access to the site and will be made immediately available upon request to Ecology or the local jurisdiction. A copy of this SWPPP will be provided to Ecology within 14 days of receipt of a written request for the SWPPP from Ecology. Any other information requested by Ecology will be submitted within a reasonable time. A copy of the SWPPP or access to the SWPPP will be provided to the public when requested in writing in accordance with permit condition S5.G.

## 7.1.4 Updating the SWPPP

In accordance with Conditions S3, S4.B, and S9.B.3 of the General Permit, this SWPPP will be modified if the SWPPP is ineffective in eliminating or significantly minimizing pollutants in stormwater discharges from the site or there has been a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance at the site that has a significant effect on the discharge, or potential for discharge, of pollutants to the waters of the State. The SWPPP will be modified within seven days of determination based on inspection(s) that additional or modified BMPs are necessary to correct problems identified, and an updated timeline for BMP implementation will be prepared.

## 7.2 Reporting

#### 7.2.1 Discharge Monitoring Reports

Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) will be submitted to Ecology monthly. If there was no discharge during a given monitoring period, the Permittee shall submit the form as required, with the words "No discharge" entered in the place of monitoring results. The DMR due date is 15 days following the end of each month.

Water quality sampling results will be submitted to Ecology monthly on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms in accordance with permit condition S5.B. If there was no discharge during a given monitoring period, the form will be submitted with the words "no discharge" entered in place of the monitoring results. If a benchmark was exceeded, a brief summary of inspection results and remedial actions taken will be included. If sampling could not be performed during a monitoring period, a DMR will be submitted with an explanation of why sampling could not be performed.

#### 7.2.2 Notification of Noncompliance

If any of the terms and conditions of the permit are not met, and it causes a threat to human health or the environment, the following steps will be taken in accordance with permit section S5.F:

- 1. Ecology will be immediately notified of the failure to comply.
- 2. Immediate action will be taken to control the noncompliance issue and to correct the problem. If applicable, sampling and analysis of any noncompliance will be repeated immediately and the results submitted to Ecology within five (5) days of becoming aware of the violation.
- 3. A detailed written report describing the noncompliance will be submitted to Ecology within five (5) days, unless requested earlier by Ecology.

Any time turbidity sampling indicates turbidity is 250 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) or greater or water transparency is 6 centimeters or less, the Ecology regional office will be notified by phone within 24 hours of analysis as required by permit condition S5.A (see Section 5.0 of this SWPPP for contact information).

In accordance with permit condition S2.A, a complete application form will be submitted to Ecology and the appropriate local jurisdiction (if applicable) to be covered by the General Permit.

## Appendix A – Site Plans

## **Appendix B – Construction BMPs**

Stabilized Construction Entrance (BMP C105)

Silt Fence (BMP C233)

Storm Drain Inlet Protection (BMP C220)

Infiltration Trench (BMP T7.20)

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (BMP C120)

Mulching (BMP C121)

Nets and Blankets (BMP C122)

Plastic Covering (BMP C123)

Topsoiling (BMP C125)

Dust Control (BMP C140)

Early application of gravel base on areas to be paved

Outlet Protection (BMP C209)

## **Appendix C – Alternative BMPs**

The following includes a list of possible alternative BMPs for each of the 12 elements not described in the main SWPPP text. This list can be referenced in the event a BMP for a specific element is not functioning as designed and an alternative BMP needs to be implemented.

Element #1 - Mark Clearing Limits High Visibility Plastic or Metal Fence (BMP C103) Stake and Wire Fence (BMP C104) Element #2 - Establish Construction Access Wheel Wash (BMP C106) Water Bars (BMP C203) Element #3 - Control Flow Rates Wattles (BMP C235)

#### **Element #4 - Install Sediment Controls**

Straw Bale Barrier (BMP C230) Gravel Filter Berm (BMP C232) Straw Wattles (BMP C235) Portable Water Storage Tanks (Baker Tanks) Construction Stormwater Chemical Treatment (BMP C250) Construction Stormwater Filtration (BMP C251)

**Element #5 - Stabilize Soils** Polyacrylamide (BMP C126)

#### **Element #6 - Protect Slopes**

Straw Wattles (BMP C235) Surface Roughening (BMP C240)

Element #8 - Stabilize Channels and Outlets

Level Spreader (BMP C206) Check Dams (BMP C207)

#### **Element #9 – Control Pollutants**

Concrete Handling (BMP C151) Construction Stormwater Chemical Treatment (BMP C250) Construction Stormwater Filtration (BMP C251)

#### **Element #10 - Control Dewatering**

Vegetated Filtration (BMP C236) Additional Advanced BMPs to Control Dewatering:

## Appendix D – General Permit

## **Appendix E – Site Inspection Forms (and Site Log)**

The results of each inspection shall be summarized in an inspection report or checklist that is entered into or attached to the site log book. It is suggested that the inspection report or checklist be included in this appendix to keep monitoring and inspection information in one document, but this is optional. However, it is mandatory that this SWPPP and the site inspection forms be kept onsite at all times during construction, and that inspections be performed and documented as outlined below.

At a minimum, each inspection report or checklist shall include:

- a. Inspection date/times
- b. Weather information: general conditions during inspection, approximate amount of precipitation since the last inspection, and approximate amount of precipitation within the last 24 hours.
- c. A summary or list of all BMPs that have been implemented, including observations of all erosion/sediment control structures or practices.
- d. The following shall be noted:
  - i. locations of BMPs inspected,
  - ii. locations of BMPs that need maintenance,
  - iii. the reason maintenance is needed,
  - iv. locations of BMPs that failed to operate as designed or intended, and
  - v. locations where additional or different BMPs are needed, and the reason(s) why
- e. A description of stormwater discharged from the site. The presence of suspended sediment, turbid water, discoloration, and/or oil sheen shall be noted, as applicable.
- f. A description of any water quality monitoring performed during inspection, and the results of that monitoring.
- g. General comments and notes, including a brief description of any BMP repairs, maintenance or installations made as a result of the inspection.
- h. A statement that, in the judgment of the person conducting the site inspection, the site is either in compliance or out of compliance with the terms and conditions of the SWPPP and the NPDES permit. If the site inspection indicates that the site is out of compliance, the inspection report shall include a summary of the remedial actions required to bring the site back into compliance, as well as a schedule of implementation.

i. Name, title, and signature of person conducting the site inspection; and the following statement: "I certify under penalty of law that this report is true, accurate, and complete, to the best of my knowledge and belief".

When the site inspection indicates that the site is not in compliance with any terms and conditions of the NPDES permit, the Permittee shall take immediate action(s) to: stop, contain, and clean up the unauthorized discharges, or otherwise stop the noncompliance; correct the problem(s); implement appropriate Best Management Practices (BMPs), and/or conduct maintenance of existing BMPs; and achieve compliance with all applicable standards and permit conditions. In addition, if the noncompliance causes a threat to human health or the environment, the Permittee shall comply with the Noncompliance Notification requirements in Special Condition S5.F of the permit.

## **Site Inspection Form**

General Information						
<b>Project Name:</b>						
<b>Inspector Name:</b>			T	Fitle:		
			(	CESCL # :		
Date:			T	Гime:		
<b>Inspection Type:</b>		After a rain event				
		Weekly				
		Turbidity/transparenc	y ben	chmark exce	edance	
		Other				
Weather						
Precipitation	Since	ast inspection		In last 24	hours	
<b>Description of Ge</b>	Description of General Site Conditions:					

Inspection of BMPs				
Element 1: Mark Cl	earing Limits			
BMP:	0			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning       Y     N       NIP	Problem/Corrective Action	
BMP:				
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action	
Element 2: Establish	h Construction	n Access		
BMP:				
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action	
BMP:				
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning     Y   N     NIP	Problem/Corrective Action	

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

Element 3: Control	Flow Rates		
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
Element 4: Install S	ediment Con	trols	
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMD.			
	Inspected	Functioning	
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
DIVIF.	Inspected	Functioning	
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
DMD.			
DIVIE	Inspected	Functioning	
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action

Element 5: Stabilize	e Soils		
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:	т , 1	<b>F</b> (* *	
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMD			
Divit .	Inspected	Functioning	
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
Flomant 6. Protact	Slongs		
BMP.	siopes		
- ·	Inspected	Functioning	
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning       Y    N    NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected	Functioning	Problem/Corrective Action
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Tioben/Concenve Action

Element 7: Protect	Drain Inlets		
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
Flomont & Stabiliz	channals a	and Autlats	
BMP:	e Chunnels u	ina Ouneis	
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP			
L contion	Inspected	Functioning	Problem/Corrective Action
Location	Y N	Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action

Element 9: Control	Pollutants		
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
Element 10: Contro	l Dewatering		
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:			
Location	Inspected Y N	Functioning Y N NIP	Problem/Corrective Action
BMP:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Location	Inspected Y N	FunctioningYNNIP	Problem/Corrective Action

Stormwater Discharges From the Site			
	Observed?	Problem/Corrective Action	

#### Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

			Yl	N
Lo	ocation			
	Turbidity			
	Discoloration			
	Sheen			
Lo	ocation	-		
	Turbidity			
	Discoloration			
	Sheen			

Water Quality Monitoring					
Was any water quality monitoring conducted?  □ Yes □ No					
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here:					
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater: or transparency f					
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?					
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No					
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone numbe					
below:					
Date:					
Time:					
Contact Name:					
Phone #:					
General Comments and Notes					
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection.					
Were Photos Taken?					
If photos taken, describe photos below:					
## SEPARATOR SHEET

## **APPENDIX F**

## **Environmental Documentation**