

**City of Burnet, Texas**  
Compliance and Internal Control Reports  
Under *Government Auditing Standards*  
and the Uniform Guidance  
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
September 30, 2025

# City of Burnet, Texas

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditor's Reports on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; on Internal Control Over Compliance; and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	9
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER  
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS  
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of Burnet, Texas:

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the discretely presented component unit and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Burnet, Texas (the “City”), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 16, 2026.

***Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting (“internal control”) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

*A deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

***Compliance and Other Matters***

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

***Purpose of this Report***

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*JRBT, PC*

March 16, 2026

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORTS ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH  
MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
OVER COMPLIANCE; AND ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES  
OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of Burnet, Texas:

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

***Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We have audited the City of Burnet, Texas’ (the “City”) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City’s major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2025. The City’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2025.

***Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAS”); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (“*Government Auditing Standards*”); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (“Uniform Guidance”). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for its major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City’s compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

### ***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal program.

### ***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of the major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

## **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate discretely presented component unit and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Burnet, Texas, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated March 16, 2026, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of

management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with GAAS. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

*JRBT, PC*

March 16, 2026

**City of Burnet, Texas**  
 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
 Year Ended September 30, 2025

(1) Summary of Auditor's Results**Financial Statements**

Type of auditor's report issued: unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- |   |     |     |   |               |
|---|-----|-----|---|---------------|
| o Material weakness(es) identified?       | ___ | yes | x | no            |
| o Significant deficiency(ies) identified? | ___ | yes | x | none reported |

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	___	yes	x	no
---	-----	-----	---	----

**Federal Awards**

Internal control over major programs:

- |   |     |     |   |               |
|---|-----|-----|---|---------------|
| o Material weakness(es) identified?       | ___ | yes | x | no            |
| o Significant deficiency(ies) identified? | ___ | yes | x | none reported |

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a) ?	___	yes	x	no
---	-----	-----	---	----

Identification of major federal programs:

*Federal Assistance*

<i>Listing Number</i>	<i>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</i>
20.106	Airport Improvement Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ <u>1,000,000</u>
--	---------------------

Auditee qualified as federal low-risk auditee?	x	yes	___	no
--	---	-----	-----	----

**City of Burnet, Texas**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
(Continued)(2) Financial Statement Findings

None noted.

(3) Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None noted.

**City of Burnet, Texas**  
**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**  
**Year Ended September 30, 2025**

Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster/Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Grantor / Pass Through Grantor / Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Total Federal Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>				
Passed through Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs:				
COVID-19 - Community Development Block Grant Community Resiliency Program	14.228	069451268	\$ -	355,105
Passed through Texas Department of Agriculture:				
COVID-19 - Community Development Block Grant Community Resiliency Program	14.228	CDV23-0311	-	382,547
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			-	737,652
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>				
Passed through Texas Department of Transportation:				
COVID-19 - Airports Programs, and Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act Program Airport Improvement Program	20.106	V3DRF9YK7MB5	-	585,000
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			-	585,000
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ -	1,322,652

See accompanying notes to schedule of  
expenditures of federal awards.

**City of Burnet, Texas**

## Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year Ended September 30, 2025

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal award activity of the City of Burnet, Texas (the "City") under programs of the federal government for the year ended September 30, 2025. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* ("Uniform Guidance"). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the City.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported in the accompanying Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. Grantor and pass-through grantor identifying numbers are presented where available.

(3) Indirect Cost Rate

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.