
City Council Regular Meeting

DEPARTMENT: Legal & Purchasing

FROM: Matt Ribitzki, Deputy City Attorney/Compliance Manager

MEETING: September 5, 2023

SUBJECT:

Consider approval of an ordinance approving a negotiated settlement between the Atmos Cities Steering Committee (ACSC) and Atmos Energy Corp., Mid-Tex Division regarding the company's 2023 rate review mechanism filing; declaring existing rates to be unreasonable; adopting tariffs that reflect rate adjustments consistent with the negotiated settlement; finding the rates to be set by the attached settlement tariffs to be just and reasonable and in the public interest; approving an attachment establishing a benchmark for pensions and retiree medical benefits; and requiring the company to reimburse ACSC's reasonable ratemaking expenses. (Final Reading) *(Staff Contact: Matt Ribitzki, Deputy City Attorney/Compliance Manager)*

SUMMARY:

INTRO

The City of Burleson, along with 181 other Mid-Texas cities served by Atmos Energy Corporation, Mid-Tex Division ("Atmos Mid-Tex" or "Company"), is a member of the Atmos Cities Steering Committee ("ACSC"). ACSC undertakes activities on behalf of its city members and their citizens, including participation in rate cases. The City has been a longtime member of ACSC, and in 2022 passed a resolution to continue its membership.

2023 ATMOS RATE REQUEST

In 2007, ACSC and Atmos Mid-Tex settled a rate application filed by the Company pursuant to Section 104.301 of the Texas Utilities Code for an interim rate adjustment commonly referred to as a GRIP filing (arising out of the Gas Reliability Infrastructure Program legislation). That settlement created a substitute rate review process, referred to as Rate Review Mechanism ("RRM"), as a substitute for future filings under the GRIP statute.

Since 2007, there have been several modifications to the original RRM Tariff. The most recent iteration of an RRM Tariff was reflected in an ordinance adopted by ACSC members in 2018. On or about March 31, 2023, the Company filed a rate request pursuant to the RRM Tariff adopted by ACSC members. The Company claimed that its cost-of-service in a test year ending December 31, 2022, entitled it to additional system-wide revenues of \$165.9 million.

Application of the standards set forth in ACSC's RRM Tariff reduces the Company's request to \$156.1 million, \$113.8 million of which would be applicable to ACSC members. After reviewing the filing and conducting discovery, ACSC's consultants concluded that the system-wide deficiency under the RRM regime should be \$130.9 million instead of the claimed \$156.1 million.

After several settlement meetings, the parties have agreed to settle the case for \$142 million. This is a reduction of \$23.9 million to the Company's initial request. This includes payment of ACSC's expenses. The settlement also includes an additional \$19.5 million for the securitization regulatory asset expenses related to Winter Storm Uri. This was previously approved by the Texas Legislature and Railroad Commission. The Effective Date for new rates is October 1, 2023. ACSC members should take action by approving an ordinance before September 30, 2023.

RATE TARIFFS

Atmos generated rate tariffs attached to the ordinance will generate \$142 million in additional revenues. Atmos also prepared a Proof of Revenues supporting the settlement figures. ACSC consultants have agreed that Atmos' Proof of Revenues is accurate.

MONTHLY BILL IMPACT

The impact of the settlement on average residential rates is an increase of \$6.47 on a monthly basis, or 7.31%. The increase for average commercial usage will be \$24.72 or 5.19%. Atmos provided bill impact comparisons containing these figures.

SUMMARY OF ACSC'S OBJECTION TO THE UTILITIES CODE SECTION 104.301 GRIP PROCESS

ACSC strongly opposed the GRIP process because it constitutes piecemeal ratemaking by ignoring declining expenses and increasing revenues while rewarding the Company for increasing capital investment on an annual basis. The GRIP process does not allow any review of the reasonableness of capital investment and does not allow cities to participate in the Railroad Commission's review of annual GRIP filings or allow recovery of Cities' rate case expenses. The Railroad Commission undertakes a mere administrative review of GRIP filings (instead of a full hearing) and rate increases go into effect without any material adjustments. In ACSC's view, the GRIP process unfairly raises customers' rates without any regulatory oversight. In contrast, the RRM process has allowed for a more comprehensive rate review and annual evaluation of expenses and revenues, as well as capital investment.

RRM SAVINGS OVER GRIP

While residents outside municipal limits must pay rates governed by GRIP, there are some cities served by Atmos Mid-Tex that chose to remain under GRIP rather than adopt RRM. Additionally, the City of Dallas adopted a variation of RRM which is referred to as DARR.

Comparison to Other Mid-Tex Rates (Residential)

	<u>Average Bill</u>	<u>Compared to RRM Cities</u>
RRM Cities:	\$42.62	-
DARR:	\$42.55	(\$0.07)
ATM Cities:	\$44.39	\$1.77
Environs:	\$44.27	\$1.65

Note: ATM Cities and Environs rates are as-filed. Also note that DARR uses a test year ending in September rather than December.

CONCLUSION

The Legislature's GRIP process allowed gas utilities to receive annual rate increases associated with capital investments. The RRM process has proven to result in a more efficient and less costly (both from a consumer rate impact perspective and from a ratemaking perspective) than the GRIP process. Given Atmos Mid-Tex's claim that its historic cost of service should entitle it to recover \$165.9 million in additional system-wide revenues, the RRM settlement at \$142 million for ACSC members reflects substantial savings to ACSC cities. Settlement at \$142 million is fair and reasonable.

The ACSC Executive Committee consisting of city employees of 18 ACSC members urges all ACSC members to pass the ordinance before September 30, 2023. New rates become effective October 1, 2023.

OPTIONS:

- 1) Approve the ordinance; or
- 2) Deny the ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the ordinance

PRIOR ACTION/INPUT (Council, Boards, Citizens):

n/a

FISCAL IMPACT:

n/a

STAFF CONTACT:

Matt Ribitzki
Deputy City Attorney/Compliance Manager
mribitzki@burlesontx.com
817-426-9664

