

**WATER & WASTE  
PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA**

Name of Applicant : \_\_\_\_\_ Buchanan, City of \_\_\_\_\_

Service to be Provided: \_\_\_\_\_ Wastewater System Improvements \_\_\_\_\_

**PRIORITIES**

**POINTS**

Population priorities:

- |  |    |   |
|--|----|---|
| 1. Project primarily serves a rural area equal to or less than 1,000 population. | 25 |   |
| 2. Project primarily serves a rural area between 1,001 and 2,500 population.     | 15 | 5 |
| 3. Project primarily serves a rural area between 2,501 and 5,500 population.     | 5  |   |

B. Health priorities

- |  |    |    |
|--|----|----|
| 1. Project alleviates emergency situation, corrects unanticipated diminution or deterioration of a water supply or to meet Safe Drinking Water Act requirements which pertain to a water system. | 25 |    |
| 2. Project to correct inadequacies of a wastewater disposal system or to meet health or sanitary standards which pertain to a wastewater disposal system.  | 25 | 26 |
| 3. Projects which are required to meet administrative orders issued to correct local, State or Federal violations pertaining to solid waste.   | 15 |    |

C. Median household income (MHI) priorities.

- |   |    |    |
|---|----|----|
| 1. MHI less than the poverty line if the poverty line is less than 80% of state nonmetropolitan household income (SNMHI). | 30 |    |
| 2. Less than 80% of the SNMHI.  | 20 | 20 |
| 3. Equal to or more than the poverty line and between 80% & 100% inclusive, of the SNMHI.                                 | 15 |    |

RUS Bulletin 1780-1

D. Other priorities:

- |  |    |                                 |
|--|----|---------------------------------|
| 1. Project to merge ownership, management, and operations of smaller systems for more efficient management and economic service. | 15 | <input type="text"/>            |
| 2. Project to enlarge, extend, or otherwise modify existing facilities to serve additional rural residents.                      | 10 | <input type="text"/>            |
| 3. Applicant is a public body or Indian tribe.   | 5  | <input type="text" value="5"/>  |
| 4. Amount of other funds committed to project is:  |    |                                 |
| a. 50% or more   | 15 |                                 |
| b. 20-49%  | 10 | <input type="text" value="10"/> |
| c. 19%   | 5  |                                 |
| 5. Project will serve an Agency identified target area.  | 10 | <input type="text"/>            |
| 6. Project will primarily recycle solid waste products thereby limiting the need for solid waste disposal.                       | 5  | <input type="text"/>            |
| 7. The proposed project will serve an area that has an unreliable quality or supply of drinking water.                           | 10 | <input type="text"/>            |

E. State Program Director's discretionary points.

Up to 15 Points may be awarded to projects to improve compatibility/coordination between RUS's and other agencies' selection systems and to assist those projects that are most cost effective and that provides for effective RUS fund utilization.

\_\_\_\_\_

F. SECD Loan

\_\_\_\_\_

G Total Points.

66

H. Administrator's discretionary points.

\_\_\_\_\_

State Program Directors should recommend and provide written justification for assignment of Administrator's point under this paragraph.

\_\_\_\_\_  
STATE PROGRAM DIRECTOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Name of Applicant \_\_\_\_\_ Buchanan, City of \_\_\_\_\_

Justification for Discretionary Points