

TO: Brisbane City Council, Staff

FROM: Dana Dillworth

RE: "K" Mayor Council Matters review of/creation of Ordinance 528, R&D Uses at Sierra Point

September 15, 2022

While I appreciate the work our council does, I have concerns that decisions that come from ad hoc Mayor/Council committees may be imbalanced. It is not clearly stated whether this is for all types of uses or to upgrade the sentient animal-experimentation list as may be being requested by Bristol Myers Squibb DBA Myokardia, Brisbane, California.

Only two current council members were involved back when the ordinance in question was publicly noticed. There was a multitude of people who spoke to the issue of animal experimentation including Ken White, San Mateo County's Animal Welfare Director at the time. Many questioned the necessity, when such experimentations have already been conducted (burn research) and many outcomes can be accurately predicted by computer modeling. The issues remain the same and I incorporate the public and governmental comments and sentiment from that passage.

As such, you should include an animal welfare official and someone representing a non-profit for the protection of animals in your ad hoc committee. Consider folks that commented during the Planning Commission hearing.

Since the council entertains discussions of potential housing out at Sierra Point, one would think that seismic specialists, a Bay environmental specialist, and health/safety experts should be among the folks you confide with to bring this ordinance to the level of protection that our citizenry and other life-forms need.

Are you aware of the hazardous wastes they create and the risks we are already exposed to? Are you familiar with the emergency permit required to chemically stabilize and transport one liter of Diethyl Ether (SCH# 2022050632) a product/byproduct of this research? One mistake and we're all sausage.

Please DO review this ordinance, but also consider ALL the life-forms you are asked protect.