Name of Respondent EHT	Date of Rating 0/4/2024	
Evaluator's Name KOO DUYYOYY		
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following a	areas: <u>Com</u>	ments
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Has previously designed type of projects	20	18
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	15
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	10
region.		3
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection		
criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number o	of	
qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compo		
for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	,	
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
1. Extent of experience in project constraction management	10	
Subtotal, Experience	60	58
Lance 1		1
Work Performance		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	10
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5
3. Work product is of high quality	10	10
Subtotal, Performance	25	25
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gath	nered by contacting past/current cl	ients.
Capacity to Perform		
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	_ 5
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	_15_
MOMAT GOODE		
TOTAL SCORE	Mary Dta	Coore
Factor Formering	Max.Pts.	Score 58
Experience	60	
Work Performance	25	<u> 25</u> 15
Capacity to Perform	15	
Total Sagra	100	98
Total Score	100	7 <u>0</u>

Name of Respondent	Date of Rating	4.2024
Evaluator's Name		
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following	areas: <u>Co</u>	mments
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Has previously designed type of projects	20	15
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	15
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	10
region.		
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection		
criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of	of	•
qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to comp		
for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
1. Extent of experience in project construction management	13	
Subtotal, Experience	60	55
Subtotal, Experience	00	
Work Performance		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
Past projects completed on schedule	10	9
Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4
3. Work product is of high quality	10	10
5. Work product is of high quanty	10	
Subtotal, Performance	25	23
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gath	nered by contacting past/current	clients.
Composity to Doufour		
Capacity to Perform	May Dta	Caara
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	- 4
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	111
Subtotal, Capacity to Ferform	13	-14
TOTAL SCORE		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
Experience	60	55
Work Performance	25	2.3
Capacity to Perform	15	14
Capacity to 1 citorini	15	
Total Score	100	92
1 Juli Dooro	100	

Name of Respondent FHT	Date of Rating / 1-4	11024
Evaluator's Name	- 4	
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following a	areas: Co	mments
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Has previously designed type of projects	20	18
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	15
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	10
region.		
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection		
criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number o	of	
qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compo		
for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
Subtotal, Experience	60	-58
Work Performance		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	8
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5 .	4
3. Work product is of high quality	10	10
Subtotal, Performance	25	22
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gather	ered by contacting past/current of	lients.
Capacity to Perform		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	4
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5
•		
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14
TOTAL SCORE		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
Experience	60	58
Work Performance	25	22
Capacity to Perform	15	14
T		
Total Score	100	94

Name of Respondent Jacob Wartin	Date of Rating 0141	2024
Evaluator's Name Roo Puvnam		,
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following are Factor	eas: <u>Com</u> Max.Pts.	<u>ments</u> Score
1. Has previously designed type of projects	20	19
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	15
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	9
region.	10	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection		
criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of		
qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete	_	
for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	1.5	
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	_/5
Subtotal, Experience	60	58
Work Performance		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Coore
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	Score
Manages projects within budgetary constraints		
	5	
3. Work product is of high quality	10	70
Subtotal, Performance	25	25
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathere	d by contacting past/current clie	ents.
Capacity to Perform		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	50010
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15
TOTAL SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE	Mary Dt-	Co
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
Experience	60	58
Work Performance	25	25
Capacity to Perform	15	_15
Total Score	100	98

Name of Respondent Jacobs & Marsh	Date of Rating	6.4.2024
Evaluator's Name With A North Pop		,
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following a	areas:	Comments
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Has previously designed type of projects	20	20
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	15
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	10
region.		
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection		
criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of	of	
qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to comp	ete	
for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
		_
Subtotal, Experience	60	60
Work Performance		
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	8
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4
3. Work product is of high quality	10	10
Subtotal, Performance	25	22
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gather	ered by contacting past/curr	rent clients.
Capacity to Perform		·
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5
2. Adequacy of Resources	5	
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5
,		
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14
TOTAL SCORE		
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
Experience	60	60
Work Performance	25	22
Capacity to Perform	15	14
Total Score	100	96

Name of Respondent	Date of Rating UH	12024
Evaluator's Name (S)(() SUTH)		
Experience Rate the respondent for experience in the following a	areas: <u>Co</u>	mments Score
1. Has previously designed type of projects	20	19
2. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	15	16
3. Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10	18
region.	10	
Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection		
criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of		
qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to comp		
for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	,	
4. Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
	15	
Subtotal, Experience	60	50
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Work Performance		
<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Past projects completed on schedule	10	8
2. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4
3. Work product is of high quality	10	10
		,
Subtotal, Performance	25	22
NOTE: Information necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gath	nered by contacting past/current of	clients.
Capacity to Perform		
Factor	Max Dta	Caara
1. Staff Level / Experience of Staff	Max.Pts.	Score
2. Adequacy of Resources	5 5	
3. Professional liability insurance is in force	5	
5.1101055101tal Hability Histitation is in 10100	J	
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15
TOTAL SCORE		
Factor	Max.Pts.	Score
Experience	<u>iviax.Fts.</u> 60	2016
Work Performance	25	77
Capacity to Perform	15	15
capacity to 1 ofform	13	1
Total Score	100	910
	100	