Administration/Professional Services Rating Sheet

Grant Ro	ecipient CITY OF BRECKE	TxCDBG Contract No. 2	025-2026 CDBG	
Name of Respondent KSBR Date			Date of Rating 10/02/2024	
Evaluato	or's Name JESSICA SUTTE	R		
sted for	each factor. Information	nt of the Request For Proposal (RFF on necessary to assess the Respond the Respondent and/or by contactin	ent on these criteria ma	v he gathered
Experien	ce			
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Related Experience / Ba	ckground with federally funded projec	ts 10	9
2.	Related Experience / Background with specific project type (housing rehabilitation, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)		5 h	5
4.	Certified Administrator o	f TxCDBG Program by TDA	5	- 6
5.	References from current	/past clients	10	-
		Subtotal, Experience	30	27
Work Per	formance			<u> </u>
	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Submits requests to clie	nt/TDA in a timely manner	5	6
2.	Responds to client/TDA	requests in a timely manner	5	
3.	Past client/TDA projects	completed on schedule	5	
4.	Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors		ors 5	
5.	Past client/TDA projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns		5	Ц
6.	Manages projects within	budgetary constraints	5	
		Subtotal, Performance	30	200
Capacity	to Perform			
	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Qualifications of Profess	ional Administrators / Experience of St		<u> </u>
2.	Present and Projected W	/orkloads	5	
3.	Quality of Proposal/Work	c Plan	5	
4.	Demonstrated understar	ding of scope of the TxCDBG Project	5	
		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	10
Proposed	I Cost			1-1
	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
	A = Lowest Proposal	\$ <u>32,900</u>		
	B = Bidder's Proposal	\$_50,000	13.16	
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's S	core 20	
TOTAL S	CORE			
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
	Experience		30	27
	Work Performance		30	~28
	Capacity to Perform		20	19
	Proposed Cost		20	13.16
		Total Score	100	87.16.

Grant Recipient CITY OF BRECKENRIDGE

Administration/Professional Services Rating Sheet

Grant Re	cipient CITY OF BRECKE	TxCDBG Contract No. 2	025-2026 CDBG	
Marine Sarrena Control Arthurson Washington Sarrena Control William Control Co			Date of Rating 10/02/2024	
Evaluato	r's Name JESSICA SUTTER			
isted for	each factor. Information	t of the Request For Proposal (R necessary to assess the Respo ne Respondent and/or by contac	ndent on these criteria ma	v be gathered
Experience				-
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
1.	Related Experience / Bac	kground with federally funded proj	jects 10	10
2.		kground with specific project type cquisition of property, coordination	5 with	5
4.	Certified Administrator of TxCDBG Program by TDA		5	5
5.	References from current/past clients		10	in
		Subtotal, Experience	30	30
Work Peri	formance			
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Submits requests to clien	t/TDA in a timely manner	5	5
2.	Responds to client/TDA r	equests in a timely manner	5	5
3.	Past client/TDA projects of	completed on schedule	5	- C
4.	Work product is consister	ntly of high quality with low level of	errors 5	5
5.	Past client/TDA projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns		5	5
6.	Manages projects within I	oudgetary constraints	5	-6
	. ,	Subtotal, Performance	30	30
Canacity	to Perform	,		
Oupucity	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
1.		onal Administrators / Experience of		~
2.	Present and Projected W	20	5	-2
3.	Quality of Proposal/Work		5	
4.		ding of scope of the TxCDBG Proje	ect 5	-5
		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	
Proposed	Cost	,,,		20
	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
	A = Lowest Proposal	\$ 32,900		
	B = Bidder's Proposal	\$ 58,725	11.2	
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's		
TOTAL SO	CORE			
	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
	Experience		30	30
	Work Performance		30	30
	Capacity to Perform		20	20
	Proposed Cost		20	11 2
		Total Score	100	91.2.

Administration/Professional Services Rating Sheet

Grant Recipient CITY OF BRECKENRIDGE TxCI			CDBG Contract No. 20	25-2026 CDBG			
			ate of Rating 10/02/2024				
Evaluator's Name JESSICA SUTTER							
Experience Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent.							
Experience	<u>ee</u>						
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	Score			
1.	Related Experience / Back	rground with federally funded projects	10	9			
2.	Related Experience / Back (housing rehabilitation, ac regulatory agency, etc.)	rground with specific project type quisition of property, coordination with	5	5			
4.	Certified Administrator of TxCDBG Program by TDA		5	6			
5.	References from current/past clients		10	a			
		Subtotal, Experience	30	28			
Work Peri	formance						
WOIR I CIT	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score			
1.	Submits requests to client	/TDA in a timely manner	5	5			
2.		equests in a timely manner	5	6			
3.	Past client/TDA projects of		5	-5			
4.	Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors		rs 5	4			
5.	Past client/TDA projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns		5	5			
6.	Manages projects within b	udgetary constraints	5				
o.	Managoo projecto Manina	Subtotal, Performance	30	08			
Campaitre	to Doutour	Cubician, Fortonnance		10			
Capacity	<u>to Perform</u> Factors		Max.Pts.	Score			
1.		onal Administrators / Experience of Sta		6			
2.	Present and Projected Wo		5				
3.	Quality of Proposal/Work		5				
4.		ling of scope of the TxCDBG Project	5				
-t.	Domonou atoa anaorotana	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	25			
D	04	Subtotal, Supacity to 1 choini		00			
Proposed	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score			
	A = Lowest Proposal	\$ 32,900	Maxii tor	,			
	B = Bidder's Proposal	\$ 32,900	20				
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's So					
TOTAL S	CORE	and the state of t					
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	Score			
	Experience		30	28			
	Work Performance		30	24			
	Capacity to Perform		20	20			
	Proposed Cost		20	20			
		Total Score	100	96			