Giani Re	scipient	TXCDBG CONTIAC	
Name of	Respondent eff	Date of Rating _	1.24.25
Evaluato	r's Name		
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	:	Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		t0
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60
Work Pe	<u>erformance</u>		
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25
NOTE: Infor	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	past/current clients.	
Capacit	y to Perform		
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15
TOTAL	SCORE		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
	Experience	60	60
	Work Performance	25	25
	Capacity to Perform	15	15
	, ,		
	Total Score	100	100

Grant Re		TxCDBG Contrac	ct No	
Name of	Respondent Brukesa Walker	Date of Rating _	1.24.	25
Evaluator	's Name Cypthia Northbp		,	
Experien	<u>ice</u> Rate the respondent for experience in the following area	s:	Ng.	Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	15	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	9	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be selection criterion provided its application leaves a appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature ansize of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFI 200.319(b)	n d	8	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	14	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
•	Subtotal, Experience	60	51	
Work Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	9	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Capacity	to Perform	*		
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	. 4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	_5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	51	
	Work Performance	25	24	
	Capacity to Perform	15	14	
	Total Score	100	29	

Grant Re	cipient	xCDBG Contrac	ct No	
Name of	Respondent FMI Heeman Millican I	Date of Rating _	124	.75
Evaluato	r's Name Chitha Northrop Fix		,	
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	59	
Work Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	9.	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	ast/current clients.		
Canacity	v to Perform			
- NAME IN	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	K	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15		
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	50	
	Work Performance	25	711	
	Capacity to Perform	15	14	
	Total Score	100	97	

Grant Re	ecipient OB	TxCDBG Contra	ct No	
	Respondent A	Date of Rating _		75
	r's Name	Date of Nating _		
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	S:		Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves ar appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFF 200.319(b)	n d	10	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work Pe	erformance			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max,Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	9	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Canacity	y to Perform			
Supusit	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	-2	
0.	The second state of the se			
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	(00)	
	Work Performance	25	24	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	99	

Grant Re	ecipient	TxCDBG Contrac	ct No.	
Name of	Respondent NRBGroup	Date of Rating _	and of	.75
Evaluato	or's Name Curther Norther			
	A second			
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:		Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves ar appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFF 200.319(b)	n d	9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60		
Work Pe	erformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	Q	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	2.1	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Capacity	v to Perform	<u> </u>		
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	 5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	-5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	59	
	Work Performance	25	24	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	98	

Grant Re	ecipient	TxCDBG Contrac	ct No	
Name of	Respondent Mundod Assoc	Date of Rating _	1.24	25
Evaluato	r's Name Cyntina Northrop		•	
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:		Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFF 200.319(b)	n d	9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	-	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	58	
Work Pe	rformance			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	9	
			24	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	-	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Capacity	to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	58	
	Work Performance	25	24	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	97	

Grant Re	ecipient	TxCDBG Contract	ct No	
	Respondent Saly Engruering	Date of Rating _		-
	or's Name Unitrus Nothbop	Date of Rating _	1.29.2	3
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:		Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	10	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	116	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	- 19	
	Subtotal, Experience	60		
		0.0	-54	
Work Pe	erformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	9	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Canacit	v to Perform			
Capacity	Factor	Max.Pts.	Sooro	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>Max.Fts.</u> 5	<u>Score</u>	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
٥.	Professional flability fristrance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	51	
_	Work Performance	25	7:1	
	Capacity to Perform	15	111	
_	and the second	. 3	19	
	Total Score	100	94	

Grant Re	ecipient CD B	TxCDBG Contrac	et No		
Name of Respondent			1.24-75		
	r's Name The Northrop		7-(
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:	Co	<u>mments</u>	
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	19		
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	,		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves ar appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFF 200.319(b)	n d	9		
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15		
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	58		
Work Pe	rformance				
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	0.1		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-/		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10			
	The state of the s		70		
	Subtotal, Performance	25			
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.			
Canacity	v to Perform				
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	11		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5			
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15			
TOTAL S	SCORE				
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score		
	Experience	60	58		
	Work Performance	25	24		
	Capacity to Perform	15	IL		
	Total Score	100	96		