EHT

Name of Respondent Da		TxCDBG Contra	CDBG Contract No		
		Date of Rating			
Evaluato	r's Name Blake Ham: Iton				
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	):		Comments	
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>		
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20		
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	16		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10			
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	 	_ 10		
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15		
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60		
Work Pe	erformance				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10		
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25		
NOTE: Infor	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	past/current clients,			
				<u></u>	
Capacit	y to Perform				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>		
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15		
TOTAL S	SCORE				
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score		
	Experience	60	60		
	Work Performance	25	25		
	Capacity to Perform	15	15		
	Total Score	100	100		

Jacob + Martin

•		CXCDBG Contract No		
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	59°	
Work Pe	<u>erformance</u>			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Infor	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.		
Capacit	v to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	59	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	99	

Mundo

		CDBG Contract No		
		Date of Rating _	- 11	
Evaluato	or's Name Blake Hamilton			
	D. I. II			C
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:	Mary Dia	0-1-1	Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	15	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	8	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		6	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	12	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	45	
Work Pe	erformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	*	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	8	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	20	
NOTE: Infor	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.		
Canacit	y to Perform			
Oapacii	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4	
0.	1 Tolessional hability insulation is in torse	Ü		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL :	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	45	
	Work Performance	25	20	
	Capacity to Perform	15	12	
	Total Score	100	77	

Freeman

		CDBG Contract No		
		Date of Rating .		
Evaluato	r's Name			
Experier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	15	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	8	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		5	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	12	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	44	
Work Pe	erformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	8	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	8	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	20	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.	*	
Capacity	y to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	44	
	Work Performance	25	-	
	Capacity to Perform	15	20	
	Capacity to renorm	15		
	Total Score	100	76	

Sealy

Name of Respondent Da		TxCDBG Contra	CXCDBG Contract No		
		Date of Rating _			
Evaluato	or's Name Blake Itamilton				
<u>Experie</u>	nce - Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas		00 <b>-</b> 000-00000	Comments	
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	15		
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	8		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10			
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		4		
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	12		
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	43		
Work Pe	<u>erformance</u>				
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	8		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	_8_		
	Subtotal, Performance	25	20		
NOTE: Infor	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients,			
Capacit	y to Perform				
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score		
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12		
TOTAL S	SCORE				
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>		
	Experience	60	43_		
	Work Performance	25	20		
	Capacity to Perform	15	12		
	Total Score	100	75		

Grant Recipient Tx0		CDBG Contract No		
Name of Respondent Da		ate of Rating		
Evaluato	or's Name			
<u>Experie</u>	<u>nce</u> Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	15	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	8	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		3	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	12	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	42	
Work Pe	<u>erformance</u>			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	8	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	8	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	70	
NOTE: Infor	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	ast/current clients.		
Capacit	y to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	42	
	Work Performance	25	20	
	Capacity to Perform	15	12	
	Total Score	100	74	

### WTC

		TxCDBG Contra	act No	
		Date of Rating		
Evaluato	r's Name			
Experie	nce — Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	15	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	8	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		2	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	12	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	41	
Work Pe	<u>erformance</u>			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	8	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	8	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	20	
NOTE: Infor	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	ast/current clients.		
Capacit	y to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	41	
_	Work Performance	25	20	
	Capacity to Perform	15	12	
_				
	Total Score	100	73	

Burkes + Walker

Name of Respondent Da		CDBG Contract No		
		Date of Rating	ate of Rating	
Evaluato	r's Name Blake Hamilton			
<u>Experier</u>	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas:			Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	Score	
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	15	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	8	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		<u> </u>	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	12	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	40	
Work Pe	erformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	8	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	4	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	8	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	20	
NOTE: Inform	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.		
Capacit	y to Perform		N=2000 (AN)	
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	4	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	<u> </u>	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	12	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	40	
	Work Performance	25	20	
	Capacity to Perform	15	12	
	Total Score	100	72	