Name o	ecipient UTU OF BYICKINIOGE f Respondent EHT or's Name SSSICA SUHER	TxCDBG Contra Date of Rating	1/0	
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:	9	Comments
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)) 	ID.	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Work Pe	<u>erformance</u>			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	70	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Capacity	v to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	6	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL	CODE			
TOTAL S			600	
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience Work Porformance	60	<u>UD</u>	
	Work Performance	25	<u> 45</u>	
	Capacity to Perform	15	_15_	
	Total Score	100	100	

	Och of Brack and dag	TxCDBG Contra Date of Rating _		25
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	· ·		Commonto
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	14/	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	_10	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	13	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	50	
Work Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-6	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Capacity	to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	_5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14	
TOTAL S	CORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60	60	
	Work Performance	25	26	
	Capacity to Perform	15	<u> </u>	
_	a of European Age 1 and European	.5		
	Total Score	100	89	

	ecipient UTY OF Breckennage Respondent Preeman-MIJICanInc. or's Name USSICAJULIA	TxCDBG Contra Date of Rating	act No	
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	e.		Camanaaata
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Coore	Comments
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 20	Score	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10		
-	region.			
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves ar appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFF 200.319(b)	ר ל	8	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	58	
Work Pe	rformance			
<u>-110111110</u>	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>Score</u>	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	5	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	past/current clients.		
Capacity	to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	CORE			
IOIALO	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	<u>мах.Р.Б.</u> 60	SCOLE	
	Work Performance	25	28	
	Capacity to Perform	15	42	
_		15	15	
	Total Score	100	98	

Gra	nt Re	ecipient utu of Breckennidge	TxCDBG Contra	ct No.	
Nan	ne of	Respondent 1000 MUATIN	Date of Rating _	1-27-2002	
Eva	luato	r's Name USSICA SILLE		+ 4 + 400	
E		Data the second of the second			
⊏xp	erier	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas			Comments
	a .	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	20	
	2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
	3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
		Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		ın	
	4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	16	
	5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	-15	
		Subtotal, Experience	60	60	
Wor	k Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
		Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>30016</u>	
	2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	10	
	3.			4	
	٥.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
		Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE:	: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.	,	
Can:	acity	r to Perform			
<u>oup</u> .	doity	Factor	Max.Pts.	Sooro	
	1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	<u>Max.Fts.</u> 5	Score	
	2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
		• •		_5	
	3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	_5	
		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOT	VI 6	CORE			
101	AL 3	Factor	Max.Pts.	Soore	
		Experience		<u>Score</u>	
		•	60	<u>u Q</u>	
		Work Performance	25	24	
		Capacity to Perform	15	15_	
		Total Score	100	99	

Grant R	ecipient utu of Breckennidge	TxCDBG Contra	ct No	
Name of	Respondent MKB CANAD	Date of Rating _	1-27-2021	
Evaluato	or's Name USSICA SUHCE		14,000	
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:		Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Has previously designed type of projects	20	A O	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves ar appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	1 1	8	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	16	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	-13	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	58	
Work Pe	rformance			
2	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	<u>50016</u>	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	_10	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	-12	
luozz	Subtotal, Performance	25	35	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	past/current clients.		
Capacity	v to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	84	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	50	
	Work Performance	25	26	
	Capacity to Perform	15	14	
	Total Score	100	97	

	ecipient Uty of Byeckonn dge	TxCDBG Contra	ct No.	
	f Respondent MUNIO + ASSOCIATES	Date of Rating _	1-27-202	35
Evaluato	or's Name USSICA SUUR	0 -		
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	3:		Comments
,	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	20	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		Q ₁	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	-5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	59	
Work Pe	erformance			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	9	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	24	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.	9	
Capacity	v to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	5	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	6	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	15	
TOTAL S	SCORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	59	
	Work Performance	25	211	
	Capacity to Perform	15	15	
	Total Score	100	98	

Grant Re	ecipient Utu of Breckennda.	TxCDBG Contra	ct No	
Name of	Respondent Sodiu Engineering	Date of Rating _	1-27-202	_
Evaluato	or's Name USSICA Sulliper	<u> </u>	14.050	
<u>Experie</u>	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas Factor			Comments
1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	<u>Max.Pts.</u> 20	<u>Score</u>	
2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	1+	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general	10		
	region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)) 	8	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	14	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5	4	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	53	
Work Pe	rformance			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	95	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	ast/current clients.		
Capacity	to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second a second a second a second and a second a second a second a second a second a seco	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	5	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	3	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14	
TOTAL S	CORE			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	53	
	Work Performance	25	25	
	Capacity to Perform	15	14	
	Total Score	100	92	

Name of	Respondent WTC, SUFFEY	TxCDBG Contra Date of Rating	act No	
Experie	nce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas			Comments
1.	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1. 2.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	20	
	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	1 1	9	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15	15	
5.	TxCDBG Project Experience	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	59	
Work Pe	<u>rformance</u>			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	10	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	-5	
3.	Work product is of high quality	10	10	
	Subtotal, Performance	25	25	
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p	past/current clients.		
Capacity	r to Perform			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	4	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5	-	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	14	
TOTAL S	CORE			
	<u>Factor</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Experience	60	59	
	Work Performance	25	24	
	Capacity to Perform	15	14	
	Total Score	100	98	