

September 16, 2025

City of Breckenridge 105 North Rose Avenue Breckenridge, TX 76424 Attn: Mr. Bob Sims, Mayor

Re: Recommendation of Award

TWDB CWSRF No. 73905 - CID 01

Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Improvements Phase 1

Dear Mayor Sims:

Proposals for the referenced project were opened on August 28th, 2025. Six (6) proposals were received, and the proposal tabulation was published the same day. This proposal tabulation is also attached for reference. A scoring committee composed of City Staff reviewed the Proposals per the Competitively Sealed Proposal (CSP) criteria provided within the *Instructions to Proposers for Construction* Contract. A copy of the proposal scoring sheet is also provided for reference. The highest-ranking proposal was submitted by Purcell Contracting, LLC out of Meridian, TX.

Based upon the evaluation findings, it is recommended that the construction contract for the City of Breckenridge WWTP Improvements Phase 1 Project be awarded to Purcell Contracting, as the highest ranking, qualified proposer, in the total amount of **\$696,150.00**.

The TWDB project includes approximately \$3.15 million in loan/grant funding available for construction. The remainder of the funding is intended for the lift station improvements project and additional WWTP improvements.

Sincerely.

Enprotec / Hibbs & Todd

Brittany White, P.E.

BW/jd

Encl: Proposal Tabulation

Scoring Summary

c: Breckenridge City Council

Cynthia Northrop, City Manager

Project File 7902

P:\Projects\Breckenridge, City oft7902 2021 CWSRF WWTP Imp\5. Bidding Phase\Phase 1 - WWTP Improvements (CID 01)\Bidder Evaluation\Recommendation of Award_CID 01_9-15-2025.docx

Enprotec / Hibbs & Todd, Inc., 402 Cedar Street, Abilene, Texas 79601

TABULATION OF PROPOSALS FOR: CWSRF Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Phase I, City of Breckenridge, Texas

PROPOSALS RECEIVED: 8/28/2025

PE Firm Registration No. 1151			BASE PROPOSAL		Triad Ser	Group	Purcell Contracting					Dowtech Specialty Contractors			
Item No.	Quantity	Unit	it Item		Unit Cost		Amount		Unit Cost		Amount		Unit Cost		Amount
1	1	LS	Mobilization, Bonds & Insurance	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	33,000.00	\$	33,000.00	\$	30,800.00	\$	30,800.00
2	1	LS	4 Horizontal Brush Aerators	\$	365,000.00	\$	365,000.00	\$	375,000.00	\$	375,000.00	\$	331,700.00	\$	331,700.00
3	1	LS	2 Dewatering Containers, 1 Polymer Feed System, Heat Trace	\$	182,000.00	\$	182,000.00	\$	180,000.00	\$	180,000.00	\$	288,900.00	\$	288,900.00
4	1	LS	1 Frost Proof Fire Hydrant	\$	1,200.00	\$	1,200.00	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	\$	9,100.00	\$	9,100.00
5	31	LF	4" PVC Pipe	\$	300.00	\$	9,300.00	\$	650.00	\$	20,150.00	\$	584.00	\$	18,104.00
6	108	LF	2" Ductile Iron Pipe	\$	500.00	\$	54,000.00	\$	648.15	\$	70,000.00	\$	715.00	\$	77,220.00
7	1	LS	1 Ultrasonic Flow Meter	\$	13,000.00	\$	13,000.00	\$	16,000.00	\$	16,000.00	\$	22,900.00	\$	22,900.00
		TOTAL B	SASE PROPOSAL PRICE (Items 1 thru 7)			\$	649,500.00			\$	696,150.00			\$	778,724.00

BASE PROPOSAL			Mayim Municipal Builders, LLC				L Howard Construction				TTE, LLC.				
Item No.	Quantity	Unit	Item		Unit Cost		Amount		Unit Cost		Amount		Unit Cost		Amount
1	1	LS	Mobilization, Bonds & Insurance	\$	43,000.00	\$	43,000.00	\$	41,963.00	\$	41,963.00	\$	45,000.00	\$	45,000.00
2	1	LS	4 Horizontal Brush Aerators	\$	431,000.00	\$	431,000.00	\$	479,341.00	\$	479,341.00	\$	533,000.00	\$	533,000.00
3	1	LS	2 Dewatering Containers, 1 Polymer Feed System, Heat Trace	\$	264,000.00	\$	264,000.00	\$	221,624.00	\$	221,624.00	\$	240,000.00	\$	240,000.00
4	1	LS	1 Frost Proof Fire Hydrant	\$	4,900.00	\$	4,900.00	\$	7,069.00	\$	7,069.00	\$	11,000.00	\$	11,000.00
5	31	LF	4" PVC Pipe	\$	400.00	\$	12,400.00	\$	605.00	\$	18,755.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	31,000.00
6	108	LF	2" Ductile Iron Pipe	\$	712.96	\$	77,000.00	\$	547.25	\$	59,103.00	\$	648.15	\$	70,000.00
7	1	LS	1 Ultrasonic Flow Meter	\$	35,000.00	\$	35,000.00	\$	53,361.00	\$	53,361.00	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00
	TOTAL BASE PROPOSAL PRICE (Items 1 thru 7)					\$	867,300.00		_	\$	881,216.00			\$	960,000.00

I, BRITTANY WHITE, P.E., #128714, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE REFERENCED PROPOSALS WERE RECEIVED, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ADVERTISED PROCEDURES, OPENED, AND READ ALOUD. THE PROPOSAL TABULATION HEREIN IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE PROPOSALS READ ALOUD.

Buttany D. White BRITTANY MAITE, P.E., #128714



eHT No: 7902

Scoring Summary

Per EJCDC C-200 Article 19 - Evaluation of Proposals and Award of Contract

Proposal Due Date: 8-28-2025

	Triad Service Gro	up	Purcell Contracting,	LLC	Dowtech Specialty Con	tractors	Mayim Municipal Build	lers, LLC	L Howard Construc	tion	TTE, LLC		
Proposal Pricing (50 Points Maximum)	REASONS FOR SELECTION	50.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	46.4	REASONS FOR SELECTION	40.1	REASONS FOR SELECTION	33.2	REASONS FOR SELECTION 32.2		REASONS FOR SELECTION	26.1	
Based on Base Proposal Price Items.													
% difference compared to the Base Proposal Price	\$ 649,500.00		\$ 696,150.00		\$ 778,724.00		\$ 867,300.00		\$ 88	1,216.00	\$ 960,000.00		
Points = (1-(Proposer Price - Lowest Base Proposal Price)/Lowest Base Proposal Price) * 50 points													
Contractors Qualifications (20 Points Maximum)	REASONS FOR SELECTION	7.5	REASONS FOR SELECTION	17.5	REASONS FOR SELECTION	15.5	REASONS FOR SELECTION	15.5	REASONS FOR SELECTION	16.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	14.0	
a. The Proposer's key team members for this project only, and their location (on-site and off-site) during project construction. b. The resumes of the Project Manager (PM), Project Superintendent (PS), and the names of all projects that they completed together that will be	* 2											-	
assigned to the project. Provide the names of contact persons with whom those individuals have worked on listed projects.	No locations, no re	(5.0			No location, missing		No location, missing		No location, missing		No locations, missing resumes,		
c. Provide the name of two (2) proposed Project Superintendents. Superintendents shall have been directly employed by the Proposer for not less than five (5) years. Provide the name of the projects for which each superintendent was responsible, including the dollar value of the project, date complete, contact name and phone number for the Client. Proposers shall verify that contact name and number is current and correct. Superintendents shall not have been engaged on a Contract Labor basis by the Proposer.	projects or contact nothing listed for		No location		previous projects, Supt not listed, empl 5+ years		of previous projects, date complete	_	of previous projects, date complete		projects managed, Projects listed by not by Supt.		
Current and Completed Projects and References (15 Points Maximum)	REASONS FOR SELECTION	-	REASONS FOR SELECTION	15.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	13.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	13.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	13.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	10.0	
Refer to Article 3	No projects listed					У		9	-				
Adherence to the Technical Requirements of the Request for Proposals (10 Points Maximum)	REASONS FOR SELECTION	8.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	9.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	10.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	10.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	10.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	10.0	
The Proposer has provided the information and documentation required for the evaluation of the proposal as required in this RFP.			~						~				
Safety Record (5 Points Maximum)	REASONS FOR SELECTION	-	REASONS FOR SELECTION	5.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	5.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	5.0	REASONS FOR SELECTION	-	REASONS FOR SELECTION	5.0	
Attach the Contractor's Project Office's OSHA 300a Summary of Work- Related Injuries and Illness Form for the last three (3) years.	No data			n.							No data		
TOTAL SCORE out of 100	65.5		92.9		83.6		76.7		71.2		65.1		
RANK		Λ											

Owner's Signature: