
Fwd: Council Meeting August 4, 2026

From Jonathan Tallman <1stjohn217llc@gmail.com>

Date Tue 8/4/2026 10:20 AM

To Amanda Mickles <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>; Brandon Hammond <HammondB@cityofboardman.com>

Cc randylbaker55@gmail.com <randylbaker55@gmail.com>; Stephanie Case <CaseS@cityofboardman.com>;
Derrin Tallman <derrin@tallman.cx>

Amanda,

Please include this email in the record for the August 4, 2026 workshop and in the record of any future proceeding involving proposed Title 14, fences and signs.

Please also treat this as a supplement to my previous correspondence concerning the City's sign-enforcement action against my tenant The Farmer's Cup pending solved concern permits. Several of my earlier questions remain unanswered. I ask that the City preserve my previous questions, its prior notices and responses, and this supplement as one complete record rather than treating the proposed code changes separately from the pending enforcement matter.

The City's April 16, 2026 letter relies on BDC 3.6.500, states that permits are required for "all on-premises signs," and threatens separate violations for every sign and every calendar day. The attached code, however, contains express permit exemptions or different treatment for certain informational, temporary, residential, real-estate, political, and preexisting signs. The City has not classified each alleged sign or explained why those provisions do not apply.

Now the City proposes creating Title 14 and moving fence-and-sign provisions from both the Municipal Code and Development Code. Please supplement the prior enforcement record and respond to the following:

1. Identify each sign the City alleges violates the current code, its precise location and tax lot, and the exact code provision allegedly violated.
2. Classify each sign as permanent, temporary, informational, residential, political, real-estate, on-premises, off-premises, or preexisting nonconforming, and explain why any applicable exemption does not apply.
3. Identify the evidence showing when each sign was installed, how long it has been displayed, and whether it existed before adoption of the applicable provision.
4. Explain the City's statement that all on-premises signs require permits when BDC 3.6.500 expressly identifies categories for which no permit or approval is required.
5. Identify the applicable procedure for challenging the City's classification, enforcement interpretation, permit determination, or threatened citation, including all administrative appeal rights and deadlines.
6. Provide a complete redline showing every sign and fence provision being moved, deleted, added, or substantively changed through proposed Title 14.

7. Identify whether proposed Title 14 changes any provision currently being enforced against 1st John 2:17 LLC and explain which version of the code will govern this pending matter.
8. Explain whether existing enforcement matters will be grandfathered, dismissed, reevaluated, or continued under Title 14, and identify any proposed transition or savings provision.
9. Explain whether moving sign regulations from the Development Code into the Municipal Code changes the applicable land-use permit, notice, findings, hearing, Planning Commission review, administrative appeal, LUBA-review, municipal-court, or circuit-court procedures.
10. Explain whether the City considers Title 14 a land-use regulation and whether its adoption will be processed with the land-use and DLCD notice procedures applicable to amendments of development standards.
11. Identify other properties against which the City has enforced BDC 3.6.500 during the relevant period, including the type of sign, notice provided, opportunity to cure, citation issued, exception granted, and ultimate disposition. This is relevant to my previously raised concern regarding consistent and nonselective enforcement.

The amended Resolution 21-2026 also discloses that the Building Department is adding an unmanned aerial device, or drone. Because the Building Department administers sign enforcement and the City's April 16 letter claims authority over signs regardless of whether they are visible from a public roadway, please also answer:

12. Will the drone be used for sign, fence, zoning, nuisance, building, or other code-enforcement inspections?
13. Will it be used to observe or photograph portions of private property that are not visible from a public right-of-way?
14. What policies govern authorization, notice, warrants or consent, flight records, image retention, public-record preservation, access to recordings, and sharing information with police, planning, or code-enforcement personnel?
15. When was the drone authorized or purchased, what did it cost, what budget account paid for it, and why was it first disclosed through a meeting-day insurance amendment?

Please do not interpret this submission as agreeing that Title 14 lawfully applies to the pending enforcement matter or as waiving any procedural, constitutional, land-use, selective-enforcement, evidentiary, or appeal issue.

Because the substantive workshop materials were added on the day of the meeting—and do not appear in the regular-meeting packet linked to me—I request that the City provide the complete Title 14 draft and redline and allow a meaningful opportunity for written public review before recommending or scheduling adoption.

Please confirm that this email, my previous unanswered questions, the City's prior enforcement correspondence, and the City's eventual written responses will be included together in the applicable public record.

Thank you,

Jonathan Tallman

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Amanda Mickles** <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 4, 2026 at 9:27 AM

Subject: Re: Council Meeting August 4, 2026

To: Amanda Mickles <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>

Good morning,

Please note the following documents have been added to the packets for your review before tonight's meetings.

Workshop

- 4.A. Title 5 Business Regulations
- 4.B. Title 8 Health and Safety
- 4.C. Title 10 Vehicles and Traffic
- 4.D. Title 14 (Reserved) - Proposing Fences and Signs

Meeting

- 9.B. Amended Resolution 21-2026

Amanda Mickles

City Clerk | [City of Boardman](http://CityofBoardman)

 A logo of a city Description automatically generated

From: Amanda Mickles <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2026 3:06 PM

To: Amanda Mickles <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>

Subject: Re: Council Meeting August 4, 2026

Good afternoon,

Please note, 11.A. Financial Report - June 2026 documents have been attached.

Amanda Mickles

City Clerk | [City of Boardman](http://CityofBoardman)



From: Amanda Mickles <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 3:24 PM

Subject: Re: Council Meeting August 4, 2026

Good afternoon,

Please take a moment to review the revised agenda and packet for the regular meeting.

The following changes have been made.

Added: 5.A. Committee Report - Housing Advisory Committee
9.B. Documents are now attached

Amanda Mickles

City Clerk | [City of Boardman](#)



From: Amanda Mickles <micklesa@cityofboardman.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2026 4:25 PM

Subject: Council Meeting August 4, 2026

Good afternoon,

The packets for the meetings scheduled on [Tuesday, August 4, 2026](#), are available for your review. Additional documents will be added to the regular meeting packet later this week, watch for an email update.

[Workshop 6:00 PM](#)

[Regular Meeting 7:00 PM](#)

As always, please let me know if you have any questions or concerns. See you on Tuesday!

Amanda Mickles

City Clerk | [City of Boardman](#)



PO Box 229 | [200 City Center Circle](#)

[Boardman, OR 97818](#)

PH: (541) 481-9252