

PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS FOR COFA-09-25-019929

Town of Bluffton

Department of Growth Management
20 Bridge Street P.O. Box 386 Bluffton, South Carolina 29910
Telephone 843-706-4522

OLD TOWN

Plan Type: Historic District Apply Date: 09/08/2025

Plan Status: Active Plan Address: 8 Blue Crab St Street

BLUFFTON, SC 29910

Case Manager: Charlotte Moore Plan PIN #: R610 039 000 1229 0000

Plan Description: A request by William Court of Court Atkins Group (Applicant) on behalf of Patrick Mason (Owner), for review

a Certificate of Appropriateness-Historic District to allow the construction of a new 2-story main house and a attached carriage house at 8 Blue Crab Street. The property is located in the Old Town Historic District in the

Tabby Roads development and zoned Neighborhood General-Historic District (NG-HD).

STATUS (09.24.2025): Concept Plan scheduled for October 13, 2025 HPRC meeting.

Staff Review (HD)

Submission #: 1 Recieved: 09/08/2025 Completed: 10/03/2025

Reviewing Dept. Complete Date Reviewer Status

Growth Management Dept Review 10/03/2025 Charlotte Moore Revisions Required

(HD)

Comments:

10/06/2025 Page 1 of 3

- 1. Ownership/Applicant Authorization: The owner is shown as Pat Mason on the application, but Beaufort County records show Blue Crab Bluffton LLC. Update the application to show the correct property owner and provide written and signed authorization that William Court is serving as the agent/applicant.
- 2. Setbacks: Show the front and rear yard setback on the Composite Site Plan and the individual Site Plan.
- 3. Building Type: "Single Family Residential" is shown as the proposed building type, which is not a building type per the Unified Development Ordinance. Identify the building type, which must be one that is permitted in the NG-HD District (UDO Sec.5.15.5.C.). The specific building types established by district for the Old Town Bluffton are intended to "perpetuate the character that makes Bluffton distinctive" (UDO Sec. 5.15.1.C.). If an Additional Building Type is selected, identify why.
- 4. Carriage House / Square Footage: As Old Town Bluffton is regulated by a form-based development code, the garage/bonus room is a carriage house and must comply with the carriage house building-type requirements of UDO Sec. 5.15.8.F. An attached carriage house "must be clearly incidental to...and distinguished from the principal building form." Provide the individual square footage (footprint and total) for both the main structure and the carriage house. The carriage house is an ancillary structure, and it should be better differentiated from the main structure (on the eastern elevation, the wall is recessed only one foot from the main structure wall). Related to square footage, explain why the "storage room" on the second floor of the main structure is unconditioned.
- 5. Materials/Dimensions/Operations: In Section 5 of the application (Materials), for each element, provide the required materials, dimensions and operations. This information must also be shown on the architectural plans and be consistent. Some materials are not permitted per the UDO, including boral, powder-coated aluminum and composite. Your narrative, which is required with the Final Plan submission, must provide reasoning why these alternative materials are proposed and how they are the same or better in appearance and performance as permitted materials.
- 6. Photos: Photos were not provided as required for a Concept Plan Review (COFA Application).
- 7. On-street Parking: At the pre-application meeting, we discussed removal of the proposed on-street parking spaces. Was the plan not updated, or is it the intent to provide them? The Site Plan (P.02) and Sheet A2.1 do not match.
- 8. Service Yard: Is the brick wall on the west property line intended to serve as a screening wall for the service yard?
- 9. Foundation Height: The main structure foundation is 2'-0". UDO Sec. 5.15.5.F.1.c. requires residential structure to have a "first finished floor height raised a minimum of three (3) feet above average adjacent sidewalk grade."
- 10. Rear Double Porch Detail: Show the interior elevations of the porch that are not visible.
- 11. Windows: Fixed windows are not permitted as shown on the left ground floor elevation. Per UDO Sec. 5.15.5.I.3.b. Consider changing this window to match the adjacent kitchen windows.
- 12. Second Concept Plan Review: Given the number of comments, a second Concept Plan review will be required.
- 13. Final Submission: At the time of Final Plan submission, provide a landscape plan showing foundation plantings and 75% tree canopy coverage at maturity (UDO Sec. 5.3.) and architectural details of the railings and balusters, door and window schedules, corner board/pilaster trim detail and sections through the eave and wall depicting the material and dimensions (Applications Manual). A response to all Concept Plan comments must be provided.

HPRC Review 10/03/2025 Charlotte Moore Revisions Required

Comments:

- 1. This is the same model home four times in a row with very slight roof form differences and a nominal difference in siding application. The chimney is in two different locations, but it is prominently in the same location twice. All have the exact same porch including the front door window bedroom door arrangement. Each home should take on a more unique character to provide variety on the streetscape. Use the porch detailing to further separate these homes. Vary column spacing, column design, handrails, door design, fenestration, etc. to create variety along the streetscape. Per UDO Sec. 5.15.5.3.a.: "Buildings shall incorporate interruptions and variety into the wall plane to create interest and variety in the streetscape while still maintaining a consistent architectural style and connection to its surroundings. Examples include but are not limited to offsets, recessed entrances, arcades, awnings and canopies, bay windows, roof overhangs, expression lines, shadow lines, porches and balconies."
- 2. Modify the front porch column spacing to comply with UDO 5.15.6.H.1.a: "Columns and porch posts shall be spaced no farther apart than they are tall as measured from the centerlines of the columns ("o.c")."
- 3. Review the window muntin designs for consistency. There is a mix of 4-lite and 6-lite windows that do not relate well to each other.
- 4. Change the lower roof of the garage to a shed roof to match the roof over the man door.
- 5. Per UDO 5.15.8.F.: "An attached [carriage house] structure must be clearly incidental to, smaller than, and distinguished from the principal building form." The garage element is not distinguished from the principal building form. Redesign the carriage house to provide definition between these two forms that is more than just "glueing" the garage onto the rear of the house. The relationship between the carriage house and porch appears haphazard and more consideration is necessary regarding how these two forms interact.

Beaufort Jasper Water and Sewer 10/03/2025 Matthew Michaels Approved with Conditions Review

Comments:

Comments may be provided at time of building permit submission.

Watershed Management Review 09/30/2025 Samantha Crotty Approved with Conditions

10/06/2025 Page 2 of 3

Comments:

1. Comments may be provided at time of building permit/stormwater permit submittal.

Transportation Department Review - HD

09/16/2025

Mark Maxwell

Approved

Comments:
No comments

Plan Review Case Notes:

10/06/2025 Page 3 of 3