STAFF REPORT

DATE: 06/27/2023

TO: Bel Aire Planning Commission

FROM: Jay Cook RE: Agenda

| STAFF COMMUNICATION | | |
|---------------------|-----------|--|
| FOR MEETING OF | 7/13/2023 | |
| | | |
| CITY COUNCIL | | |
| INFORMATION ONLY | | |
| | | |

SUMMARY:

ZON-23-01. <u>Proposed re-zoning approximately 63+ acres zoned Agricultural District</u> (AG) to Planned Unit Development - Industrial District (M-1). The current use is farm ground.

General location:

• Southeast corner of Hwy 254 and Rock Rd. (Location Map included)

Applicant/Agent:

- <u>Property Owner</u>: Webb254, LLC, Steve Barrett (Managing Member)
- Agent: Phil Meyer, Baughman Company, PA

History:

• The 63+ acres was annexed into the City of Bel Aire on May 22, 2003, via Ordinance No. 392, and was zoned Agricultural District (AG). In accordance with Bel Aire City Code Chapter 18, Article 7, Section 18.7.0, "the Agricultural District may serve as a 'holding zone' for land where future urban expansion is possible, but not yet appropriate due to the unavailability of urban level facilities and services. Property zoned AG for 'holding zone' purposes may be used for certain commercial and industrial special uses..."

Discussion:

- A zone change has been requested from the Agricultural District (AG) to the Planned Unit Development – Industrial District (M-1)
 - The Planned Unit Development Industrial District (M-1) is intended to encourage innovation in commercial and industrial development, through designs allowing for a more efficient use of land, incorporation of new technologies in urban land development, and incorporation of a greater variety and flexibility in type, design, and layout of structures.
 - Use Regulations. No building, structure, land or premises shall be used, and no building or structure shall hereafter be erected, constructed, reconstructed, moved or altered except in conformance with those uses provided for below.
 - Permitted uses: The following uses shall be permitted by right in the Planned Unit Development - Industrial District (M-1), subject to all applicable development and performance standards:
 - Commercial office and retail uses pursuant to a Planned Unit Development.
 - Manufacturing and industrial uses pursuant to a Planned Unit Development.

The City of Bel Aire, Kansas (the "City") published notice of the public hearing in *The Ark Valley News* on June 22, 2023, and mailed notices to the property owners within the notification area as prescribed by statute and Bel Aire City Code. Because the zoning change impacts property located adjacent to City limits, the notification area was expanded to 1000ft to said properties outside of the Bel Aire City limits.

Legal Considerations:

• Chapter 18, Article 5, Section 18.5.2 of the Bel Aire City Code (relating to Zoning Regulations) provides guidelines for matters which may be considered when approving or disapproving a zone change request. The Planning Commission may find that not all factors will be relevant to this zone change request. Matters that are determined by the Planning Commission to be important will be the basis for the Planning Commission's recommendation. In order to properly make a recommendation to the City Council, the Planning Commission should make specific and substantiated findings supporting its recommendation.

Findings of Fact

The following are the rezoning factors the Planning Commission should consider, a brief explanation of each factor, and staff's opinion on findings for each factor.

- 1. CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD: (Factual description of the application area and surrounding property as to land usage, density, intensity, general condition, etc.)
 - a. The subject property is on the north edge of the current City limits and development area.
 - b. The subject property is the last piece of four lots between Rock and Webb Rd, which other three (3) parcels have already been zoned M-1 for commercial/industrial purposes.
 - c. Currently, the neighborhood is characterized by agricultural, institutional, commercial, industrial, mixed-use, and residential multi-family but is a good prospect for large mixed-use due to its peripheral location in the City limits.
 - d. The subject property is a logical placement for an Industrial PUD due to its proximity to a major highway (Hwy 254) and the arterial Rock Rd.

2. **ZONING AND USES OF PROPERTIES NEARBY:** (Factual description of surrounding property as to existing zoning and land uses.)

| Direction/Area | Zoning Classification(s) | Land Use(s) |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| North/Sedgwick County | Agriculture County zoning | Farming/Ranch Use |
| South/Bel Aire | C-1 "Neighborhood commercial, office and retail, R-3 "Single-family residential" R-5 "Garden and patio homes, townhouses and condominiums" | Apartments, office, condos, Northeast Magnet School |
| East/Bel Aire | M-1 "Planned Unit Development – Industrial" | Vacant |
| West Northwest/Kechi | R-1 "Single-family residential", C-3 "Heavy commercial", I-1 "Industrial" | Single-family, Northpoint Centre Commercial, KDOT |

- 3. SUITABILITY OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR THE USES TO WHICH IT HAS BEEN RESTRICTED: (How is the property currently zoned and what uses are allowed on the property? Are there uses suitable given surrounding zoning and site criteria? Are the current allowed uses the only ones that might be appropriate for the property?
 - a. The property is currently zoned Agricultural District (AG) which is intended to maintain and enhance agricultural operations and preserve agricultural lands utilized for crop production or the raising of livestock.
 - b. The "holding zone" for newly annexed land in the City is Agricultural and this parcel has been given that zoning classification since annexation.
 - c. While the subject property continues to be suitable for AG zoning, the opportunity for development through a PUD or other zoning classification would provide development opportunities and growth opportunities for the city.
 - d. In the Jobs Focus Scenario of Bel Aire growth delineated in the Master Growth Plan adopted by the City, the area between Rock and Webb along Hwy 254 was planned with the potential for large-scale mixed use or commercial.
 - e. The subject property is proximate to existing development, has suitable topography for development, and is located in an area where infrastructure and services are available to be extended to support development.
 - f. The subject property is a logical placement for an Industrial PUD due to its proximity to a major highway (Hwy 254) and the arterial Rock Rd.
- 4. EXTENT TO WHICH REMOVAL OF THE RESTRICTIONS WILL DETRIMENTALLY AFFECT NEARBY PROPERTY: [Can the uses allowed in the requested district by good neighbors to existing development? This is a subjective question. The focus should be on facts, not fears, and should be based on issues that zoning can address (e.g., allowed uses, minimum lot sizes, height, setbacks, traffic, etc.)
 - a. The proposed planned development is located along the Hwy 254 corridor where such uses are likely and beneficial. Site development standards such as screening and landscaping requirements will mitigate detrimental effects from planned development on nearby residential or other uses, both required or proposed.
 - b. Traffic generation will be likely with the zoning change, but studies and engineering are currently underway along Hwy 254, Rock Rd., and Webb Rd. to mitigate negative impact of added traffic to surrounding developments and to ensure the safest, most effective route to any other development.
 - c. The Planned Unit Development Industrial District (M-1) requires a screening and buffering plan per the Zoning Regulations that will benefit properties west and south of the subject property. The PUD also gives staff the opportunity to review plats and plans before any building commences to ensure other properties are not negatively affected by the development.
- 5. LENGTH OF TIME THE SUBJECT PROPERTY HAS REMAINED VACANT AS ZONED: (Factual information, but its importance may be somewhat subjective. A property may be vacant because the current zoning is unsuitable, but there may be other reasons not related to zoning. Some examples might be a glut of available property of the same zoning district, financing problems, land speculation, fragmented ownership, lack of available public services, or other development problems.)

- a. The property is currently vacant and has been so since annexed in 2003.
- 6. RELATIVE GAIN TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE BY DESTRUCTION OF VALUE OF THE APPLICANT'S PROPERTY AS COMPARED TO THE HARDSHIP ON OTHER INDIVIDUAL LANDOWNERS: (The protection of public health, safety, and welfare is the primary basis for zoning. The relationship between the property owner's right to use and obtain value from their property and the City's responsibility to its citizens should be weighed.)
 - a. The proposed rezoning to a PUD will be developed in accordance with the City's development standards that will mitigate any potential hazards to the public health, safety, and welfare.
 - b. If successfully developed into an industrial project, the City will gain a significant number of local, high-paying jobs which will attract additional residents and promote surrounding development of other commercial, retail, and residential development. The result is potentially a material increase in the tax base, city services, and quality of life in the City.
 - c. Staff cannot anticipate the effects on property values. If developed, surrounding property values may increase significantly from the economic activity. Decrease of property values for residential property immediately adjacent to an industrial site is also possible.
 - d. If the zone change is disapproved, the value of the applicant's property will presumably be diminished because the PUD request will not be allowed.
- 7. **CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:** (Does the request agree with the adopted plan recommendations? If not, is the plan out-of-date or are there mitigating circumstances which speak to the nonconformity?)
 - a. While the Comprehensive Development plan is somewhat dated (not reflecting surrounding property subsequently zoned M-1 PUD), the vision map adopted by the Governing Body in 2014 nonetheless has the subject property as a potential commercial zone with surrounding mixed use. Increasing the intensity level of the subject property to M-1 PUD would not be unreasonable or create burden for other possible development trends of the City.
 - b. The following are aspects of the Comprehensive Development plan that support the zoning change.
 - c. Goal 1: Land should be developed within the corporate boundaries which continue the high quality of life for the citizens of Bel Aire and to produce efficient and effective delivery of public services to the growing community.

Objective 1: Encourage congruent land uses that are compatible and developed in a lowest-impact method to adjacent properties.

Recommendation b. Focus higher-impact developments on the peripheral of the city.

d. Goal 4: The City must support economic development and diversification to ensure a stable and healthy financial growing community supports the development through the subsequent objectives:

Objective 2: Maximize revenue generated from developments which will provide the most return for the investment and land use.

Recommendation b. Ensure location of development is planned in an orderly process (a PUD would ensure this type of development)
Recommendation c. Ensure zoning and building policies reflects progression of development.

Objective 3: Move from sole residential community to a full-service community with diverse city services. The inclusion of possible large-scale development would encourage the development of other services (i.e., desirable businesses to follow).

- 8. **PROPERTY OWNER OPINIONS:** The opinions of other property owners may be considered as one element of a decision in regard to the amendment associated with a single property, however, a decision either in support of or against any such rezoning may not be based upon a plebiscite of the neighbors.
 - a. An initial public hearing held prematurely on May 11, 2023 produced some public opinion (mostly residences west of Rock Road) opposed to the rezoning. Cited concerns included traffic, safety, lighting and property values. Subsequently, a protest petition from some property owners was filed on May 24, 2023 which addresses some of the factors differently than staff. The Planning Commission has been provided a copy of this petition.
 - b. While residential property owners on the west side of Rock Road have offered opposition, staff believes most concerns can be properly addressed in the Planned Unit Development and Site Plan review.
- 9. **RECOMMENDATION OF PROFESSIONAL STAFF**: [Should be based on the evidence presented, the factors, adopted plans and policies, and other technical reports (e.g., Capital Improvement Programs, facility master plans, etc.) which speak to the topic and staff's best professional judgement.]
 - a. Based on the preceding findings, staff recommends approval of the zone change request.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

• I move to adopt the findings of fact of the staff [as presented/as amended by this Planning Commission] and recommend to the City Council approval to change the zoning district classification of the subject property from Agricultural District (AG) to Planned Unit Development - Industrial District (M-1) based on such findings of fact.

5 | Page P & Z July, 2023