

FOR MEETING OF	2/10/22
CITY COUNCIL	
INFORMATION ONLY	

City of Bel Aire

STAFF REPORT

DATE: 02/04/2022

TO: Bel Aire Planning Commission

FROM: Keith Price

RE: Agenda

SUMMARY:

(A) ZON-21-04, Chapel Landing 5th, Rezoning and replat SD-21-05:

The city sent out notices again to property owners and placed an ad in the Ark Valley Newspaper announcing the February Planning Commission meeting to ensure that folks realized the zoning case date of the hearing specifically had moved.

Additional correspondence from a few neighbors to this project was received and is in your packet. The activity to date is summarized below, as this has been going on for many months.

The Applicant and Applicant's Agent met with City staff following the Planning Commission's delayed re-zoning request and asked that the zone change request be reconsidered by Planning Commission. The Applicant stated that the intended use of the project and the reasons for the requested change were miss-represented at an earlier meeting, and they would like the chance to fully explain their thoughts and reasons for the re-zoning request to an R-5.

The preliminary plat was revised with an added street access at Farmstead St and some other minor changes that reduced the number of lots and calm traffic. Many lots meet the standard of the R-4 lot size. The city has received some comments; two letters are in the packet. One person that dropped by wanted to have the land developed to reduce the number of people letting their dogs run free on the land behind her house that end up on her property to use it as dumping stop. She didn't want duplexes but was okay with housing. So directly to the Zoning Administrator's office only four different property owners have made contact in three months.

The following criteria shall be the basis for evaluation of the rezoning request in relation to the specific case being considered:

1. The character of the neighborhood;

The subject property is platted and zoned R-4 with plat's text for reduced side yard setbacks to 6', with restrictions.

2. The zoning and uses of properties nearby

R-4, R-5, and R-1

The suitability of the subject property for the uses to which it has been restricted;

City staff has compared this request to the approved Master growth Plan and agrees the project rezoning request meets the preferred use.

3. The extent to which removal of the restrictions will detrimentally affect nearby property;

City staff no adverse effect is expected.

4. The length of time the subject property has remained vacant as zoned;

The City hasn't rezoned this property since it was platted in 2008

5. The relative gain to the public health, safety and welfare by the destruction of the value of petitioner's property as compared to the hardship imposed upon the individual landowners;

No hardship is expected to be caused by the development.

6. Recommendations of permanent staff;

Yes because 2018 Master Growth plan was approved by City Council.

7. Conformance of the requested change to the adopted or recognized master plan being utilized by the city.

Yes the 2018 Master Growth plan

The opinions of other property owners may be considered as one element of a decision in regard to the amendment associated with a single property, however, a decision either in support of or against any such rezoning may not be based upon a plebiscite of the neighbors

Planners ask questions related to type of housing, (this may have been answered by the developer during the previous meetings). Staff recommends the rezoning and preliminary plat. The city should have a developer's agreement provided and require covenants at the time of the final platting is ready for final approval by city council but is not required at this time.

(B) ZON-21-06 Lycee Addition PUD, final plat, preliminary/final PUD and rezoning approximately 4.40 acres of the C-1 to C-1, C-2, R-6 zoning districts:

The planning commission had approved the preliminary plat and sketch plan. City staff placed an ad in the ark valley newspaper and contacted property owners within the notification area. An updated ad was placed in the Ark Valley Newspaper to announce the new hearing date February 10th. Staff has discussed this project with Linda Snook, and Donnis McPhaul, both on the notification list. Nothing negative has been stated; contact has been made to Donnis McPhaul as promised as the new revisions have been received, she indicated she would be in to view the changes.

The packet contains the PUD document, the final plat, concept drawings of the site and buildings. Based on the PUD process listed below, staff can conditionally recommend approval.

Process. No property which has a zoning district classification which requires approval of a PUD may be developed or redeveloped without a preliminary PUD first having been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission shall review the preliminary PUD to determine:

(C) ZON-21-07 Chapel Landing 6th, Rezoning approximately 3.3 acres of the R-1 zoned district and platting approximately 14.62 acres of the R-1 and R-4 use zoning district to allow two-family duplex use:

The planning commission had viewed a sketch plan last year regarding this area. City staff placed an ad in the ark valley newspaper and contacted property owners within the notification area. An updated ad was placed in the Ark Valley Newspaper to announce the new hearing date February 10th. The most recent plat revision is in the packet, easements have been added.
(see Chapel Landing 5th zoning 7 bullets for approval)
The city staff can conditionally recommend this based on the submittals.

(D) SD-21-06. Skyview at Block 49, 2nd Addition:

Platting and rezoning approximately 22.76 acres of the C-1 to R-5 residential use zoning district-Final plat. The case was readvertised for the February 10th meeting in the Ark Valley Newspaper, No one has inquired about the development to date. Every indicated easements requested for the preliminary hasn't been added; if not added on the final Every would need those to install their infrastructure. The most recent map submitted to the city will be included in the packet. The city staff can conditionally recommend this based on the submittals.

(E) SD-21-04. Skyview at Block 49, 3rd Addition:

Platting and rezoning approximately 12.44 acres of the AG Zoning District to be zoned to C-1 and C-2 Commercial uses. Final plat and PUD. The case was readvertised for the February 10th meeting, only that screening should be provided for the parking lot have been received to date.

The newest revisions are in your packet for the plat and site plan. The site plan does depict a concrete screening wall. Staff had asked to have the development connected to the city sidewalk system provided in the Tierra Verde South development; the developer has indicated that will be part of the improvements for the project. Staff can conditionally recommend the Final plat and PUD based on the process requirements below.

Process. No property which has a zoning district classification which requires approval of a PUD may be developed or redeveloped without a preliminary PUD first having been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission shall review the preliminary PUD to determine:

- a. satisfactory quality of design in the individual buildings;
- b. satisfactory quality of design for the site;
- c. appropriateness of the building or buildings to the intended use;
- d. aesthetic appropriateness of the development to its surroundings.

(F) CON-21-03 Property owner has requested to add an LED message board to an existing sign pole base in an utility easement in a C-1 commercial district:

An ad was placed in the Ark Valley News as required and the property owners with 200 f.t. of the lot were mailed a certified letter with the hearing information. Only one caller within the notification area contacted the city, prior to the final packet completion. Below is the criteria to review the proposal. The city review is in the packet to the sign company. An updated ad was placed in the paper to announce the hearing date revision to February 10th.

The standard the city has used in the past has been 3000 nits or less during the evening (A measurement of the brightness of light. One nit is equal to one candela (one candlepower) per square meter (1cd/m²). The sun at noon is rated at 1.6 billion nits.)

- One condition that should be placed on the sign pole located in the easement-all expenses for Utility providers related to removing the sign or any part of the sign to include the power supply in the event of needing access to the easement based on receiving prior approval from the City and or an unperceived emergency requiring immediate access. (To be refined by the city legal department).
- If the building or sign use changes, the latest adopted zoning and building codes would apply, or the conditions within the agreement if addressed specifically.
- If the Evergy or Bel Aire public works department doesn't have any concerns, then staff would be in favor of conditionally approving using the sign pole for the new LED sign.

CONDITIONAL USE. A use which may be appropriate within a specific zoning district, but due to the nature of such use and the nature of the effected zoning district, must be approved by recommendation of the Planning Commission and action of the Governing Body. A conditional use runs with the land until the use of the land changes, as set forth within this Code.

General Standards for Consideration of Request. The objective of permitting specific conditional uses within a district is to provide adequate consideration of the conditions in terms of these Regulations to assure:

1. That proposed uses will not be contrary to the public interest.
2. That the spirit of the Code is observed.
3. That public safety and welfare is secured.
4. That substantially equal treatment under the law is preserved.

E. Criteria for Review. The following criteria arising out of the above listed standards, and any others applicable to any specific situation, shall be evaluated in terms of how such criteria relate to any specific case being considered and any stipulation as deemed appropriate by the Commission shall be incorporated into approval of a conditional use in association with the following concerns:

1. Access and traffic load and/or flow.
2. Noise, light and odor.
3. Screening.
4. Parking, refer to parking section.
5. Services (public utilities).
6. Public health and safety.
7. Adequacy of facility and lot size.
8. Signs.
9. Review by fire marshal for designation.
10. Other considerations as appropriate.

- a. satisfactory quality of design in the individual buildings;
- b. satisfactory quality of design for the site;
- c. appropriateness of the building or buildings to the intended use;
- d. aesthetic appropriateness of the development to its surroundings.

(G) CON-22-01 Private swimming pool in an R-5 zoning district:

The hearing was published in the Ark Valley Newspaper and property owners were notified per the city regulations. Only one caller had contacted the city inquiring why the city had sent the notice; no concern was voiced.

History:

The city approved a conditional use for all of Rock Spring addition to allow a small shed in each yard to meet city code by Ordinance 576. There has been two private swimming pool in Rock Spring addition approved by conditional use process as well.

The city staff can conditionally recommend this based on the submittals in your packets.

(H)

CON-21-01 Swimming pool in an R-5 zoning district:

The case has been withdrawn. The new property owner will resubmit the application; once complete a different case number will start the new process. This just needs to be closed as an agenda item.

<https://www.betterontheedge.org/>

